

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

CC-150

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

MARCH 27, 1951

Transmit the following message to:

SACS, NEW YORK DEFERRED
ST. LOUIS
WASHINGTON FIELD (BSM)

COVES. DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION.
BORN FEBRUARY EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTEEN, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, VERIFY.
EDUCATION: COLUMBIA COLLEGE, NEW YORK CITY, NINETEEN THIRTYTHREE
TO THIRTYSEVEN, RECEIVED A. B. DEGREE. COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL,
NINETEEN THIRTYSEVEN TO FORTY, RECEIVED LL. B. DEGREE. ADMITTED
TO BAR NINETEEN FORTYONE, NEW YORK. ALBANY ADVISED. EMPLOYMENT:
I. N. THURMAN, TWO FIVE NAUGHT FIFTYSEVENTH ST., NEW YORK CITY,
AS ATTORNEY, JANUARY, FORTYONE, TO JANUARY, FORTYTWO. NEWMAN
AND BISCO, TWO NINE BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY, AS ATTORNEY, JULY,
FORTY, TO JANUARY, FORTYONE. REFERENCE: [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

ST. LOUIS

U. S. ARMY, SERIAL NUMBER THREE THREE THREE THREE TWO NINE NINE
NAUGHT, SEPTEMBER EIGHT, FORTYTWO TO FEBRUARY TEN, FORTYSIX.

WASHINGTON FIELD

RESIDENCE: TWO ONE TWO NAUGHT SIXTEENTH ST., N. W., WASHINGTON,
D. C. MARITAL STATUS: SINGLE. IN NINETEEN FORTYEIGHT ADMITTED

TO PRACTICE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. VERIFY. EMPLOYMENT:
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, D. C. AND
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA, AS ASST. TO COMMISSIONER MC ENTIRE
AND ATTORNEY, JUNE, FORTYSEVEN, TO PRESENT, MARCH, FORTYSIX, TO
JUNE, FORTYSEVEN AND MARCH, FORTYTWO, TO SEPTEMBER, FORTYTWO.

REFERENCES: [REDACTED]

BOTH SEC, FOUR TWO

FIVE SECOND ST., N. W., D. C.

Washington Field - By Special Messenger

SENT VIA

Per

77-49096

CAM:MMW/111

RECORDED - 111

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
- - - -

0-9a

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

Transmit the following message to:

SACS, NEW YORK
ST. LOUIS
WASHINGTON FIELD

SUTEL MARCH THIRTYONE. SUREP APRIL THREE. SEE SECTION ONE
NAUGHT THREE, VOLUME THREE, MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS.

HOOVER

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Nichols _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Harbo _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

- 2 -

SENT VIA

pol
7 M

Per

18

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 27 1961

TELETYPE

NEW YORK 51 FROM WASH DC 27 8-05 PM

SAC DEFERRED

COVES. DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION. BORN
FEBRUARY EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTEEN, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, VERIFY. "EDUCATION.
COLUMBIA COLLEGE, NEW YORK CITY, NINETEEN THIRTYTHREE TO THIRTYSEVEN,
RECEIVED A. B. DEGREE. COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL, NINETEEN THIRTYSEVEN TO
FORTY, RECEIVED LL. B. DEGREE. ADMITTED TO BAR NINETEEN FORTYONE,
NEW YORK. ALBANY ADVISED. EMPLOYMENT... I. N. THURMAN, TWO FIVE NAUGHT
FIFTYSEVENTH ST., NEW YORK CITY, AS ATTORNEY, JANUARY, FORTYONE, TO JAN-
UARY, FORTYTWO. NEWMAN AND BISCO, TWO NINE BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY,
AS ATTORNEY, JULY, FORTY, TO JANUARY, FORTYONE. REFERENCE...

ST. LOUIS.....

U. S. ARMY, SERIAL NUMBER THREE THREE THREE THREE TWO NINE NINE NAUGHT,
SEPTEMBER EIGHT, FORTYTWO TO FEBRUARY TEN, FORTYSIX.

END PAGE ONE

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO

WASHINGTON FIELD.....

RESIDENCE... TWO ONE TWO NAUGHT SIXTEENTH ST., N. W., WASHINGTON,
D. C. MARITAL STATUS... SINGLE. IN NINETEEN FORTYEIGHT ADMITTED TO
PRACTICE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. VERIFY. EMPLOYMENT... SECURITIES
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, D. C. AND PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYL-
VANIA, AS ASST. TO COMMISSIONER MC ENTIRE AND ATTORNEY, JUNE, FORTYSEVEN,
TO PRESENT, MARCH, FORTYSIX, TO JUNE, FORTYSEVEN AND MARCH, FORTYTWO,
TO SEPTEMBER, FORTYTWO. REFERENCES...
BOTH SEC, FOUR TWO FIVE SECOND ST., N. W., D. C. SUTEL MARCH THIRTY-
ONE. SUREP APRIL THREE. SEE SECTION ONE NAUGHT THREE, VOLUME THREE,
MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS.

b6
b7C

HOOVER

SL WFO ADVISED

AK AND HOLD PLS

WA R 51 NYCREOT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 27 1946

TELETYPE

ST. LOUIS 18 FROM WASH DC 27 7-42 PM

SAC DEFERRED

COVES. DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION. BORN
FEBRUARY EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTEEN, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, VERIFY. EDUCATION..
COLUMBIA COLLEGE, NEW YORK CITY, NINETEEN THIRTYTHREE TO THIRTYSEVEN,
RECEIVED A. B. DEGREE. COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL, NINETEEN THIRTYSEVEN TO
FORTY, RECEIVED LL. B. DEGREE. ADMITTED TO BAR NINETEEN FORTYONE,
NEW YORK. ALBANY ADVISED. EMPLOYMENT... I. N. THURMAN, TWO FIVE NAUGHT
FIFTYSEVENTH ST., NEW YORK CITY, AS ATTORNEY, JANUARY, FORTYONE, TO JAN-
UARY, FORTYTWO. NEWMAN AND BISCO, TWO NINE BROADWAY, NEW YORK CITY,
AS ATTORNEY, JULY, FORTY, TO JANUARY, FORTYONE. REFERENCE...

b6
b7C

ST. LOUIS.....

U. S. ARMY, SERIAL NUMBER THREE THREE THREE THREE TWO NINE NINE NAUGHT,
SEPTEMBER EIGHT, FORTYTWO TO FEBRUARY TEN, FORTYSIX.

END PAGE ONE

PAGE TWO

WASHINGTON FIELD.....

RESIDENCE... TWO ONE TWO NAUGHT SIXTEENTH ST., N. W., WASHINGTON,
D. C. MARITAL STATUS... SINGLE. IN NINETEEN FORTYEIGHT ADMITTED TO
PRACTICE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. VERIFY. EMPLOYMENT... SECURITIES
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, D. C. AND PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYL-
VANIA, AS ASST. TO COMMISSIONER MC ENTIRE AND ATTORNEY, JUNE, FORTYSEVEN,
TO PRESENT, MARCH, FORTYSIX, TO JUNE, FORTYSEVEN AND MARCH, FORTYTWO,
TO SEPTEMBER, FORTYTWO. REFERENCES...
BOTH SEC, FOUR TWO FIVE SECOND ST., N. W., D. C. SUTEL MARCH THIRTY-
ONE. SUREP APRIL THREE. SEE SECTION ONE NAUGHT THREE, VOLUME THREE,
MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS.

HOOVER

ADVISED NY AND WFO

HOLD PLS FOR CONF.....

Subj: Friedman, Daniel M.

Address: _____

Birthdate: _____ SUPV: _____

Misc: _____

R# _____

Date 3/23

Searcher

Initial 10FILE NUMBERSERIALSFriedman, Daniel

NR 87-1931-120, 7X, 63, NR NR NR

NR 712 ✓

NR 61-7341-34-550, 1107, 132, NR NR ✓

NR 100-60022-1 ✓

NR 100-338892-149, End of 12; ✓

NR 62-32646-46 ✓

NR 62-44828 (main card) ✓

87-1931-7

Peyton Ford

Department of Justice
Washington

MAR 22 1951

The Assistant to the Attorney General

Deputy

MEMORANDUM FOR MR. HOOVER, DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Please obtain a report relative to the character, reputation and qualifications of the individual named below who is under consideration for appointment to the position indicated below with the Department of Justice:

NAME IN FULL Daniel M. Friedman
(Female Applicants - Maiden Name)

POSITION UNDER CONSIDERATION Trial Attorney - Antitrust Division

LEGAL RESIDENCE New York (2120 - 16th Street, N.Y., Washington 9, D.C.)

DATE OF BIRTH February 8, 1916 PLACE OF BIRTH New York, New York

If not born in the United States and not naturalized, date and port of entry of applicant into the United States

If naturalized, date and place of naturalization

MARITAL STATUS Single

EDUCATION: a. High school or equivalent Fieldston School, New York, N.Y.

b. College or Technical Columbia College, N.Y.C., 1933 to 1937, A.B. degree
Columbia Law School, 1937 to 1940, LL.B degree.

c. Miscellaneous

Names of clubs, societies and similar organizations of which applicant is a member

Date of admission to the Bar 1917 Name of State in which admitted New York

In 1948 admitted to practice United States Supreme Court

BUSINESS EXPERIENCE:

Name and Address of Employer	Position	Period of Employment
Securities & Exchange Commission Washington, D.C.	Asst. to Commissioner McEntire	June 1917 to present time
Philadelphia, Pa.	Attorney	Mar. 1916 to June 1917
		Mar. 1912 to Sept. 1912
United States Army (33332990)		Sept. 8, 1912 to Feb. 10, 1916
I. N. Thurman, Esquire 250 West 57th St., N.Y.C.	Attorney	Jan. 1917 to Jan. 1912
Newman & Bisco 29 Broadway, N.Y.C.	Attorney	July 1910 to Jan. 1911

Arrests or law suits in which applicant has been involved None

List names of any relatives in the Government service with degree of relationship and where employed

None

REFERENCES: (Preferably five)

Name	Residence Address	Business Address
	SEC. 425 - 2nd Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.	
	SEC. 425 - 2nd Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.	

QUICK TELETYPE XXXX

REGULAR REPORT

MAR 23 1951

Peyton Ford

The Assistant to the Attorney General
Deputy

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 29 1951

TELETYPE

FBI, ALBANY 3-29-51 2-20PM

FJM

DIRECTOR, FBI.....URGENT

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION. REBUTEL MARCH
TWENTYSEVEN LAST. RECORDS NYS BOARD OF LAW EXAMINERS REFLECT DANIEL
MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, NY, APPLIED AND TOOK NYS BAR EXAM JUNE, NINETEEN
FORTY PASSED AND CERTIFIED TO FIRST JUDICIAL DEPT. NYC, SAME MONTH.
EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND BA AND LLB DEGREE COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY. RECORDS
NYS COURT OF APPEALS REFLECT APPLICANT ADMITTED NYS SUPREME COURT,
APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST JUDICIAL DEPT., NY, FEB. NINETEEN FORTYONE.
FILED OATH TO PRACTICE SAME MONTH. RECORD CLEAR, REPORT FOLLOWS.

ACK AND HOLD PLS

2-22 PM OK FBI WA LIR

RECORDED - 121

APR 20 1951

64

66 APR 26 1951

WALL

b6
b7c

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

CC-150

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

Transmit the following message to: MARCH 27, 1951

SAC, ALBANY DEFERRED

COVES. DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION,
ADDRESS: TWO ONE TWO NAUGHT SIXTEENTH ST., N. W., WASHINGTON,
D. C. BORN FEBRUARY EIGHT, SIXTEEN, NEW YORK, NEW YORK. ADMITTED
NEW YORK BAR NINETEEN FORTYONE. VERIFY. OTHER OFFICES
ADVISED THEIR LEADS. SUTEL MARCH THIRTYONE. SUREP APRIL THREE.
SEE SECTION ONE NAUGHT THREE, VOLUME THREE, MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS.

CAM:MMW/mu)

HOOVER

77-49096

Tolson _____
Ladd _____
Clegg _____
Glavin _____
Nichols _____
Rosen _____
Tracy _____
Harbo _____
Mohr _____
Tele. Room _____
Nease _____
Gandy _____

APR 20 1951

APR 9 1951

SENT VIA

6-508 M

Per

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 27 '51

PFE
TELETYPE

FBI WASH D C

3-27-51

6-50 PM

PFE

SAC ALBANY

DEFERRED

COVES. DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION,
ADDRESS -- TWO ONE TWO NAUGHT SIXTEENTH ST., N. W., WASHINGTON,
D. C. BORN FEBRUARY EIGHT, SIXTEEN, NEW HORK, NEW YORK. ADMITTED
NEW YOUK BAR NINETEEN FORTY ONE. VERIFY. OTHER OFFICES
ADVISED THEIR LEADS. SUTEL MARCH THIRTYONE. SUREP APRIL THREE.
SEE SECTION ONE NAUGHT THREE, VOLUME THREE, MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS.

HOOVER

CORR LINE THREE WORD SEVEN SHOULD BE YORK

END

OK FBI AL HWD

V

3-29-51

WASHINGTON AND PHILADELPHIA FROM WASH FIELD

29

5:10p

DIRECTOR AND SAC

DEFERRED

COVES DANIEL H. FRIEDMAN, AKA, DANIEL MORTLER FRIEDMAN, DANNY, DAPLI,
TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION. BUFILE SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE
NAUGHT NINE SIX. BORN FEB. EIGHT, NINETEEN SIXTEEN, NEW YORK, NY. RESIDED
THREE THREE SIX SOUTH SMEDLEY ST., APRIL FORTY THREE TO SEPT. FORTY TWO AND
SEPT. FORTY SEVEN TO OCT. FORTY SEVEN, FIFTEEN TWENTY SPRUCE ST., JUNE FORTY
SEVEN TO SEPT. FORTY SEVEN AND SIXTEEN THIRTY TWO PINE ST. APRIL FORTY
SIX TO SEPT. FORTY SIX, ALL PHILADELPHIA, WHILE EMPLOYED BY SECURITIES AND
EXCHANGE COMMISSION. HANDLE. SUTEL MARCH THIRTY ONE. SURAP APRIL THREE.
SEL SECTION ONE NAUGHT FIVE, VOLUME THREE, MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS.

STEIN

EFS:hk
77-34889

RECORDED - 121

APR 20 1951

64

3-29-51

WASHINGTON AND NEW YORK FROM WASH FIELD 29 5:15p

DIRECTOR AND SAC

DEFERRED

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DANNY, DAPLI. REBUFILE
SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE NAUGHT NINE SIX. APPLICANT'S FATHER HENRY
MICHAEL FRIEDMAN BORN NYC, MOTHER IS JULIA FRIEDMAN, NEE FREEDMAN, BORN
IN RUSSIA. APPLICANT RESIDED ONE EIGHT SIX RIVERSIDE DRIVE, NYC OCT.
THIRTY SEVEN TO JAN. FORTY. EMPLOYED SUMMER THIRTY EIGHT CAMP CAYUGA,
ADIRONDACKS, OWNED BY JULIUS RITTER SIX SEVENTEEN WEST END AVE., NYC. SUMMER
THIRTY NINE DID RESEARCH UNDER PROF. JOSEPH P. CHAMBERLAIN, COLUMBIA UNIV.
AND WAS EDITOR OF SPECTATOR COLUMBIA COLLEGE. HANDLE.

STEIN

WFS:hk
77-34889

CWS
H2

RECORDED - 121

13-17

7.1 *5*
APR 20 1951
64

N

66 APR 29 1951

RECEIVED
U.S. ARMY
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 30 1951

TELETYPE

b6
b7C

WASH 15 FROM ST. LOUIS 30

9-440. PM

NRL

DIRECTORDEFERRED

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, DAPLI, TRIAL ATTY., ANTI TRUST DIV. RECORDS RACAG
REFLECT DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, THREE THREE THREE THREE TWO NINE NINETY,
IN U.S. ARMY SEPT. EIGHT, FORTY TWO TO FEB. TEN, FORTYSIX. HONORABLY
DISCHARGED AS A MASTER SGT. BY REASON OF DEMOBILIZATION. BORN FEB.
EIGHT, SIXTEEN, NYC. NO DEROGATORY INFO. REPORT FOLLOWS.

NORRIS

END

HLD PLS

RECORDED - 121

EX-47

APR 20 1951

64

65 APR 26 1951

LAR 11 31

TELETYPE

14
WA 43 FROM PHILADELPHIA

3-31-51

920 P

44 DIRECTOR.....DEFERRED.....

COVES DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA, DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DANNY, DAPLI, TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION. BUFILE SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE NAUGHT NINE SIX. [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED], ADVISED THAT THE APPLICANT WAS A FRIEND OF HERS, HE LIVED AT THIS ADDRESS IN FORTYONE OR FORTYTWO FOR ABOUT TWO YEARS, BUT SHE WAS INDIGNANT ABOUT THE FACT THAT A PERSON OF HIS CALIBRE WHO HAD GIVEN TEN YEARS TO GOV-T. SERVICE SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED FOR A POSITION OF TRUST WITH THE GOVT. AND WAS RELUCTANT TO FURNISH DEFINITE DATES OF RESIDENCE OR ANY OTHER INFO. EXCEPT HER RECOMMENDATION OF HIM,

INFORMATION IN PHILA. FILES FURNISHED BY RELIABLE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANTS REFLECT [REDACTED] ON MAILING LISTS OF FOLLOWING ORGANIZATIONS WITHIN E. O. NINE EIGHT THREE FIVE. PHILADELPHIA SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE AND ART, SPANISH REFUGEE APPEAR OF JOINT ANTI-FACIST REFUGEE COMMITTEE AND PHILA. CHAPT., AMERICAN COUNCIL FOR DEMOCRATIC GREECE. OTHER NEIGHBORS VAGUELY REMEMBER APPLICANT DURING EARLY FORTIES AND IN ABOUT FORTY SIX AND FORTYSEVEN WHILE LIVING WITH [REDACTED] ONE NEIGHBOR AT [REDACTED], CASUALLY KNEW APPLICANT AND STATED HE RESIDED AT THIS ADDRESS WITH ANOTHER MAN, NAME UNRECALLED, FROM ABOUT EARLY SUMMER FORTYEIGHT TO ABOUT JULY FORTYEIGHT WHEN HE MOVED TO WASHINGTON. NO RECORD APPLICANT ESTABLISHED AT [REDACTED] AND ITS NEIGHBORHOOD. REPT. FOLLOWS.

b6
b7C

CORNELIUS

RECORDED - 121

APR 20 1951

64

CORR. 10TH LINE AFTER "OF HIM" SHLD BE A PERIOD AND NEXT LINE A NEW SENTENCE.

65 APR 26 1951

b6
b7C

WASH FROM NEW YORK

53

31

EX

9-01 P

DIRECTOR

DEFERRED

4738

4K
DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DAPLI. REBUFILE
SEVEN SEVEN - FOUR NINE ZERO NINE SIX. BIRTH FEB. EIGHT SIXTEEN,
NY, NY, VERIFIED. EDUCATION, COLUMBIA COLLEGE AND LAW SCHOOL,
NINETEEN THIRTYTHREE TO FORTY, VERIFIED. RECEIVED AB AND LLB DEGREES
AND RECORD CLEAR. EMPLOYED SUMMER OF THIRTY EIGHT AS COUNSELLOR,
CAMP CAYUGA, SCHROON LAKE., FOUR WEEKS DURING JULY AND AUG., THIRTY
NINE, OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE DRAFTING FUND, COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL.,
JULY FORTY TO FEB. FORTYONE AS LAW CLERK, NEWMAN AND BISCO LAW
FIRM, AND FEB. FORTYONE TO JAN. FORTYTWO AS LAWYER, I.N. THURMAN,
ATTORNEY, BOTH NYC. RECORD CLEAR AT ALL EMPLOYMENTS AND LAW
ASSOCIATES ALSO RECOMMEND AS TO ABILITY. REFERENCE [REDACTED] HIGHLY
FAVORABLE. ADMITTED TO PRACTICE APPELLATE DIVISION, FIRST JUDICIAL
DISTRICT, NYC IN FEB. FORTYONE. RECORD CLEAR HERE AND IN BAR
ASSOCIATION GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE. NO CREDIT EXPERIENCE, NYC. RE-
CEIVED SUMMONS, JUNE THIRTYEIGHT FOR TRAFFIC VIOLATION. CHARGE
DISMISSED IN TRAFFIC COURT. NO OTHER ARREST RECORD, NYC. REPORT
FOLLOWS.

b6
b7C

RECORDED - 121

SCHEIDT

HOLD
APR 26 1951

APR 20 1951

64

3-31-51

WASHINGTON FROM WASH FIELD

31

3 P.M.

DIRECTOR

D E F E R R E D

4
DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA, DAPLI. REBUFILE SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE NAUGHT
NINE SIX. EMPLOYMENT SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, PHILADELPHIA AND
WASHINGTON, D. C., VERIFIED. SUPERVISOR, REFERENCES, AND ASSOCIATES
RECOMMEND AND DESCRIBE AS ESPECIALLY CAPABLE, THOROUGH, AND CONSCIENTIOUS
ATTORNEY, BUT SHY AND NOT A DOMINANT INDIVIDUAL. HAS PREPARED AND TRIED
CASES BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, RECEIVING RECOGNITION
FOR OUTSTANDING JOB ON ONE CASE BEFORE THE COMMISSION. ADMISSION TO
PRACTICE BEFORE US SUPREME COURT VERIFIED. NEIGHBORHOOD FAVORABLE. HGUA
AND MPD, WASH., D. C., NEGATIVE. SATISFACTORY CREDIT RECORD AT THE CREDIT
BUREAU. G DASH TWO AND CSC OUTSTANDING. WILL SUTEL WHEN RECEIVED.

STEIN

EFS:EMJ

77-34889

RECORDED - 121

77-149-9-9
APR 20 1951

64

EX-47

✓
151 CWS
23 APR 26 1951

Mr. Tolson.....
Mr. Ladd.....
Mr. Clegg.....
Mr. Glavin.....
Mr. Nichols.....
Mr. Rosen.....
Mr. Tracy.....
Mr. Egan.....
Mr. Gurnea.....
Mr. Harbo.....
Mr. Hendon.....
Mr. Jones.....
Mr. Mumford.....
Mr. Quinn.....
Mr. Nease.....
Mr. Gandy.....

APR 19 1951
cab
 TELETYPE

b6
 b7C

WASH 16 FROM PHILA 4-3-51 511 PM

fk DIRECTOR... URGENT

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA, DAPLI. REBUFILE SEVENTYSEVEN DASH FOUR NINE
 NAUGHT NINE SIX AND RE PHILA TEL MARCH THIRTYONE. FURTHER INFO
 DEVELOPED RE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] REFLECTING ASSOCIATIONS SINCE APPROX. FORTYSEVEN, WITH
 PERSONS BELIEVED TO BE COMMUNISTS BECAUSE OF THEIR ASSOCIATION
 WITH [REDACTED] DESCRIBED BY INFORMANT AS WELL KNOWN
 COMMUNIST. INFO IN PHILA FILES SO FURNISHED BY CIS REFLECT
 [REDACTED] MEMBER AND ACTIVE IN CP AFFAIRS FOR PAST FIVE YRS.
 NO INFO HERE INDICATING APPLICANT EVER MEMBER OR ACTIVE IN CP.
 REPORT FOLLOWS.

b6
 b7C

CORNELIUS

CORR LINE SIX IXXX EIGHTH WRD SHUD BE INFORMANT

END

XXXXXX

PH R 16 WA RD

INDEXED - 121

RECORDED - 121

77-1 4967-10

APR 20 1951

64

65 APR 26 1951

4-4-51

WASHINGTON AND NEW YORK FROM WASH FIELD

4

9 A.M.

DIRECTOR AND SAC

U R G E N T

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA, DAPLI. REBUFILE SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE
NAUGHT NINE SIX. FILES OF CSC REFLECT ONE DANIEL FRIEDMAN, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SIGNED COMMUNIST PARTY NOMINATING PETITION,

PAGE EIGHT NAUGHT NINE, FOR CANDIDATES [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] ON AUGUST
TEN, FORTY, AND PAGE EIGHTY THREE FOR CANDIDATE [REDACTED] ON AUGUST TWO,
THIRTY NINE, IN KING COUNTY, NEW YORK. ONE D. FRIEDMAN, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SIGNED A COMMUNIST PARTY NOMINATING

PETITION, PAGE SIX FOUR ONE, FOR CANDIDATES [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] ON

SEPTEMBER FOURTEEN, FORTY, IN BRONX COUNTY, NY. SIGNATURE COPIES OF ABOVE
PETITION ARE INDICATED AVAILABLE IN SECOND CIVIL SERVICE REGIONAL OFFICE.

CSC FILES FURTHER REFLECT ONE [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] REGISTERED AS A VOTER FOR THE CP NINETEEN THIRTY SIX DASH
THIRTY SEVEN ELECTION, BRONX, NY. HANDLE. CSC WILL BE REPORTED IN DETAILS
AS T DASH ONE BY WFO. G DASH TWO OUTSTANDING. WILL SUTEL WHEN RECEIVED.

STEIN

RECORDED - 121

APR 20 1951

EFS:EMJ

INDEXED - 121

64

77-34889

APR 26 1951

4-5-51

WASHINGTON AND BALTIMORE FROM WASH FIELD

5

10 A.M.

DIRECTOR AND SAC

U R G E N T

COVES: DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA., DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DANNY, TRIAL
ATTORNEY, ANTI-TRUST DIVISION. BUFILE SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE NAUGHT
NINE SIX. BORN FEBRUARY EIGHT, SIXTEEN, NY, NY. FILES G DASH TWO CONTAIN
LETTER DATED JUNE SEVEN, FORTY THREE, FROM HEADQUARTERS, THIRD SERVICE
COMMAND, BALTIMORE, MD., INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, TO AC OF S, G DASH TWO,
WAR DEPT., WASHINGTON, D. C., RE APPLICANT STATING QUOTE SOLDIER HAS BEEN
INVESTIGATED, AND THERE IS NO VACANCY FOR WHICH HE IS BELIEVED SUITABLE
UNQUOTE. BALTIMORE WILL HANDLE. SUTEL, SUREP. BUDED PAST. NOTHING
ADDITIONAL AT G DASH TWO, NOR HOLABIRD. CIC NEGATIVE. WFO REPORT FOLLOWS.

STEIN

EFS:EMJ

77-34889

SE 38

RECORDED - 4

FY-56

APR 20 1951

64

20 APR 27 1951

Cus
and

b6
b7c

WA 7 FROM BA 4-7-51 2-48 PM

MLF

DIRECTOR URGENT

4
DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, AKA, DAPLI, BUFILE SEVEN SEVEN DASH FOUR NINE ZERO
NINE SIX. RETEL FROM WFO, APR. FIVE LAST. G TWO FILES, HEADQUARTERS
SECOND ARMY, FT. MEADE, MD. REFLECT APPLICANT WAS SUBJECT OF
BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION CONDUCTED BY G TWO IN NINETEEN FORTYTHREE
IN CONNECTION WITH FRIEDMAN-S APPLICATION FOR ASSIGNMENT TO CIC.
INVESTIGATION DEVELOPED NO INFO REFLECTING ADVERSELY UPON THE
INTELLIGENCE, ABILITY, CHARACTER, INTEGRITY, LOYALTY OR DISCRETION
OF APPLICANT, BUT HE WAS NOT FAVORABLY CONSIDERED BECAUSE OF HIS
GENERALLY POOR PHYSICAL CONDITION AND APPEARANCE. APPLICANT WAS
REJECTED FOR OFFICERS CANDIDATE SCHOOL, APR. NINE, FORTYTHREE,
APPARENTLY FOR SAME REASONS. ON MAY NINETEEN, FORTYTHREE, APPLICANT
WAS PLACED ON LIMITED SERVICE WITH ARMY BECAUSE OF DEFECTIVE
VISION. REP FOLLOWS.

CARSON

END

RECORDED - 121

APR 20 1951
64

CORRECTION THIRD LINE FROM END FOURTH WORD "REASONS"

BA R 7 W A JAK

6 APR 20 1951

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

FILE NO. **77-2815**

b6
b7C

REPORT MADE AT ALBANY, NEW YORK	DATE WHEN MADE 4/10/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 4/3,5/51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto;"></div> rom
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT - Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division

This report covers the investigation.
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

No credit or criminal record located for applicant while employed at Schroon Lake, New York.

- RUC -

REFERENCE: New York teletype to Albany dated 3/30/51.

DETAILS:

AT PLATTSBURG, NEW YORK:

b6
b7C

Plattsburg Credit Bureau, whose records include the town of Schroon Lake, New York, advised that her files reflected that the applicant had established no credit rating during the time he was employed at Schroon Lake.

AT ELIZABETHTOWN, NEW YORK:

b6
b7C

Deputy County Clerk, Elizabethtown, New York, stated that her files reflected no criminal record for DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN.

- REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN -

CC TO: *15.17.15.16...*

REQ. REC'D. *1.2.7.64*

DEC 17 1964

ANS. BY: *Guy. J...*

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>R W Wall</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 - Bureau (AMSD) 1 - Albany <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.5em; font-family: cursive;">CB</div>		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">17</div>

PROPERTY OF FBI--THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

NY FILE NO. 77-12946 FMH

REPORT MADE AT NEW YORK	DATE WHEN MADE 4/9/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/29, 30, 31/51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN aka Daniel Mortimer Friedman, Danny			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT - TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Applicant born February 3, 1916, New York, NY. Education - Columbia University - September, 1933 to June, 1937; received AB Degree; Columbia Law School, September, 1937 to June, 1940, receiving LLB Degree. Record clear. Employment - Camp Cayuga, Schroon Lake, New York, summer 1933 as counsellor. - Office of Legislative Drafting Research Fund, Columbia University, for a period of four weeks during July and August, 1939, as research assistant. - Newman and Bisco, NYC, July, 1940 to February, 1941 as law clerk. - I.N. THURMAN, NYC, February, 1941 to January, 1942 as lawyer. Record clear at all employments and law assistants also recommend as to ability. Reference [redacted] highly favorable. Admitted to Bar, New York City, 2/10/41. Record clear at New York City Bar Association Grievance Committee. No credit record reflected in Credit Bureau files, NYC. Received summons June, 1933 for traffic violation. Charge dismissed in Traffic Court. No credit or criminal record on family members.

b6
b7c

CC TO: (SAC, NY) (SIA)..
 REQ. REC'D. 2-7-64
 DEC 17 1964
 ANS. BY: [signature]

- R U C -

REFERENCE:

Bureau File 77-49096.
 Bureau teletype to New York, March 27, 1951.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>Edward Schacht</i> <small>SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE</small>	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 - Bureau 1 - New York	77-4; 7-1	

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

NY 77-12946

DETAILS:

At New York, New York:

The name of DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN is being added to the title of this report to reflect FRIEDMAN's full name as it appears in his birth and education records.

Birth:

The records of the New York City Board of Health, under certificate number 3622 reflect that DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN was born February 3, 1916 at New York, New York. His father was listed as HENRY M. FRIEDMAN, an insurance broker, born in the United States and his mother, as JULIA FRIEDMAN, nee FREEDMAN; born in Russia.

b6
b7C

Education:

The following investigation at Columbia was conducted by SA [REDACTED]

Columbia College:

[REDACTED] clerk, Registrar's Office, Records Section, advised that DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN attended here from September, 1933 to June, 1937. He was awarded a Bachelor of Arts Degree, June 1, 1937 with honors in Government and History. His record is clear.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] clerk, Dean's Office, advised that they have no record of any disciplinary action having been taken against FRIEDMAN. [REDACTED] said FRIEDMAN'S date of birth was listed as February 3, 1916 at New York City and his address as 309 West 104th Street and 136 Riverside Drive, both New York City. [REDACTED] advised that FRIEDMAN'S instructors are now either deceased or no longer with the College and their whereabouts unknown.

b6
b7C

Columbia Law School:

[REDACTED] clerk, Professional Schools

b6
b7C

NY 77-12946

Records Section, Registrar's Office, advised that DANIEL MORITZ FRIEDMAN attended the Law School from September, 1937 to June, 1940. He maintained an excellent academic record and received an LL.B. Degree June 4, 1940.

[redacted], clerk, Dean's Office, Columbia University Law School, advised that FRIEDMAN's record was clear and said that no disciplinary action had been taken against him. She said that his grades were excellent and that he had been elected to the Law Review Staff during his second and third years.

b6
b7C

[redacted] Faculty of Law, advised that he was well acquainted with FRIEDMAN during the period of his Law School attendance. He stated that he has had no contact with him since that time. [redacted] said that he had been favorably impressed by FRIEDMAN and said that he has considered him to be of excellent character, associates and to be loyal to the United States. [redacted] said that he was unable to suggest the names of any other Professors who might be able to recall FRIEDMAN.

b6
b7C

Employment:

Camp Cayuga
Schroon Lake, New York

JULIUS RITTER, 215 West 92nd Street, a retired school teacher and former director of Camp Cayuga, advised SA [redacted] that he has no employment records available to verify the exact dates of the applicant's employment but said that he recalls FRIEDMAN was employed as an associate tennis counsellor for one summer during the late 1930's. Mr. RITTER said that FRIEDMAN's employment had been entirely satisfactory and said his services were terminated at the time the camp closed. He stated that he has had no contact with FRIEDMAN since that period but stated that on the basis of his association during his one summer of employment, he would recommend FRIEDMAN in all respects as to character, reputation, associates and loyalty to the United States.

b6
b7C

NY 77-12946

Office of Legislative Drafting Research Fund,
Columbia University

[redacted] clerk, advised that FRIEDMAN was employed here for four weeks during July and August, 1939 as a research assistant. [redacted] said the director of this program was Professor JOSEPH P. CHAMBERLATIN but added that all of the work was performed by law students under the supervision of Professor CHAMBERLATIN. [redacted] advised, however, that Professor CHAMBERLATIN has been confined to his home for illness for several months and is unavailable for interview. As an indication of Professor CHAMBERLATIN's regard for FRIEDMAN, however, [redacted] produced an affidavit written by CHAMBERLATIN in September, 1940 which was presented to the Committee on Character and Fitness. In this affidavit Professor CHAMBERLATIN stated that he considered FRIEDMAN to be of good moral character, intelligent and qualified to membership in the New York Bar. b6 b7C

[redacted] advised that she recalled the applicant vaguely but said that she had not been well enough acquainted with him to comment favorably. She said, however, that from her recollection and from her records, there had never been any unfavorable comment concerning FRIEDMAN. b6 b7C

The following investigations were conducted by SA [redacted]

[redacted]
Newman and Pisco
29 Broadway

b6
b7C

[redacted] Attorney, advised that FRIEDMAN was employed here as a law clerk from July 15, 1940 to February 1, 1941 at which time he voluntarily resigned. Both [redacted] and [redacted] Attorney, advised that they would recommend FRIEDMAN as to character, reputation, associates, loyalty and ability and both stated that his services with this firm had been entirely satisfactory. Each stated that on the basis of his acquaintance with FRIEDMAN he would be willing to recommend him for any legal position of trust and confidence. b6 b7C

I. M. THURMAN
250 West 51st. Street

ISRAEL M. THURMAN, Attorney, advised that FRIEDMAN was

NY 77-12946

employed as lawyer from February 1941 to January 1942 at which time he resigned to enter the armed services. Mr. THURMAN stated that he is a distant relative of FRIEDMAN and has been acquainted with him all of his life. He stated that FRIEDMAN comes from an excellent family and has always had good associates. He said that FRIEDMAN had been completely satisfactory in his law practice and said he would recommend him without hesitation as to his legal ability. He also said that FRIEDMAN is completely loyal to the United States and could be intrusted with any type of confidential matter. Mr. THURMAN said FRIEDMAN had been employed at his law office which was formerly located at 393 7th Avenue and said that at that time FRIEDMAN was the only other employee. He said that, therefore, there would not be any other attorneys in a position to comment concerning FRIEDMAN.

Reference:

[redacted] advised that he has been acquainted with FRIEDMAN for 15 years and said that he would recommend him without reservation as to character, loyalty, associates, reputation and legal ability. *

b6
b7C

Admission to Bar

Records of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, First Judicial District, 25th Street and Lexington Avenue, reflected that DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN was admitted to practice on February 10, 1941. Investigation conducted by the Committee on Admissions was favorable and no complaints or actions have been filed against him. These records reflected FRIEDMAN's date of birth as February 3, 1916 and indicated that he was single and resided with his parents at 110 West 36th Street. His previous address was listed as 186 Riverside Drive. It was stated that FRIEDMAN had no brothers or sisters and his parents were listed as HENRY M. FRIEDMAN and JULIA FRIEDMAN.

Included in the file was a recommendation furnished by LEONARD C. BISCO, Attorney, 29 Broadway, in his capacity as employer.

Miscellaneous:

[redacted] attorney for the Grievance Committee,

b6
b7C

NY 77-12946

New York Bar Association, 42 West 44th Street, advised that they have no record of any complaints or actions concerning DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN.

Credit:

The files of the Credit Bureau of Greater New York were checked by SA [] and reflected a report of the Credit Bureau of Washington, D.C., dated September, 1943 on one DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, 425 2nd Street, Northwest, Washington, D. C. The report indicated that FRIEDMAN had no credit experience in either New York City or Washington, D. C. b6 b7C

The files contained no record on FRIEDMAN's parents, HENRY and JULIA FRIEDMAN.

Criminal:

The files of the New York City Police Department, checked by SA [] reflected that DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, 22 years of age, residing at 136 Riverside Drive, was charged on June 25, 1933 with violation of Traffic Regulations in that he had no registration in his possession and made a left turn on a red light. The case was dismissed on June 30, 1933 in Traffic Court. b6 b7C

The files contained no record pertaining to HENRY or JULIA FRIEDMAN.

- REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN -

NY 77-12946

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

The birth record check was performed by SE

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

FILE NO. **77-4236**


REPORT MADE AT BALTIMORE	DATE WHEN MADE 4-9-51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 4-6-51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> /pk
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, aka Daniel Mortimer Friedman, Danny			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT - TRIAL ATTORNEY ANTITRUST DIVISION

b6
b7c

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Files of Balto. CI T-1, another Governmental investigative agency, reflect Mr. FRIEDMAN was subject of background investigation conducted by T-1 in 1943 in connection with his application for position with T-1. Investigation developed no information reflecting adversely upon intelligence, ability, character, integrity, loyalty or discretion of FRIEDMAN, but he was not favorably considered for position because of his generally poor physical condition and appearance.

- R U C -



REFERENCE:

WFO teletype dated 4-5-51.
Teletype to Bureau dated 4-7-51.

DETAILS:

The files of Baltimore Confidential Informant T-1, another agency of the Federal Government, which conducts personnel and intelligence investigations, were reviewed with reference to DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN and it was ascertained that he had been the subject of a background investigation conducted by Informant T-1 in 1943, in connection with FRIEDMAN's application for a position with Informant T-1.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>C. H. Carson</i> SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 - Bureau (77-49096) 1 - Baltimore	<div style="text-align: center;"> APR 10 1951 13 </div>

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

BA 77-4236

A Personal History Statement dated April 19, 1943, submitted by FRIEDMAN in connection with that application, and an interview with FRIEDMAN on May 17, 1943 in connection with his application, reflected that he had been born on February 8, 1916 in New York, New York. His father, HENRY MICHAEL FRIEDMAN was also a native born citizen of the United States. His mother, JULIA FREEDMAN FRIEDMAN, was born in Russia, emigrated to the United States approximately forty years prior to 1943, and became a citizen of the United States by reason of her marriage to FRIEDMAN's father. The interviewing official reported that FRIEDMAN's general appearance was unfavorable, that he was very slow and not too forceful in his speech, and that he had had no previous experience in connection with the type of position he was seeking.

In addition to the interview with Mr. FRIEDMAN, the investigation conducted by Informant T-1 consisted of a review of his employment record with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; a review of his Army service record at Camp Lee, Virginia; a check of the records of the FBI, Philadelphia; a check of the files of the Pennsylvania Motor Police, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania; and interview with fourteen persons in Philadelphia and Camp Lee who had known FRIEDMAN from six months to fifteen years as supervisors, co-workers, neighbors, landlords and/or acquaintances.

The files of Baltimore Confidential Informant T-2, another agency of the Federal Government, conducting personnel and intelligence investigations, were also checked.

This investigation by informant T-1 developed no information reflecting adversely upon the intelligence, ability, character, integrity, loyalty or discretion of FRIEDMAN; however, two of the persons interviewed, declared that they did not believe he was strong or rugged physically and one questioned his ability to stand up under the pressure of hard work or long hours. A former landlady described FRIEDMAN as frail and almost sickly, and of a very retiring nature. A number of persons commented unfavorably on FRIEDMAN's general physical appearance, but added that his pleasing personality largely offset this appearance after a person became better acquainted with him. Because of his generally poor physical condition and appearance, FRIEDMAN was not favorably considered for the position.

The files of Informant T-1 further reflect that FRIEDMAN on April 9, 1943, had been rejected for attendance at Officers Candidate School.

BA 77-4236

[REDACTED] Personnel Section, Sixth Quartermaster Training Regiment, Camp Lee, Virginia, expressed the opinion to T-1 that FRIEDMAN had been refused for Officers Candidate School because of his poor physical appearance and because the quota for the school had been cut. The files further reveal that on May 19, 1943, FRIEDMAN was placed on limited military service because of defective vision.

b6
b7C

- REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN -

BA 77-4236

INFORMANTS

T-1

ZI Intelligence Division, G-2 Section,
Headquarters Second Army, Fort George
G. Meade, Md., the files of which were
made available by [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] Domestic Intelligence Branch.

T-2

ONI, 4th Naval District, Philadelphia,
Pa.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6
b7c

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **WASHINGTON, D. C.**

FILE NO. **77-34889**

REPORT MADE AT WASHINGTON, D. C.	DATE WHEN MADE 4/6/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/28, 29, 31; 4/2-5/51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="text-align: right;">EAS</div>
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, aka, Daniel Mortimer Friedman, "Danny"			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT TRIAL ATTORNEY ANTITRUST DIVISION

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Employment Securities and Exchange Commission, Philadelphia, Pa., and Washington, D. C., verified. Supervisor, fellow employees, references and associates recommend and describe applicant as exceptionally capable, thorough and conscientious attorney. Associate says applicant shy and supervisor states he is not dominant individual. Has prepared and tried cases before Securities and Exchange Commission and received recognition for outstanding job on one occasion before Commission. Neighborhood 2120 16th St., N.W., favorable. Negative at 2022 Q Street, N.W., and 1826 R St., N.W., all Washington, D. C. Admission to practice before the U.S. Supreme Court verified. HCUA and MPD, Washington, D.C., negative. No additional pertinent or identifiable information in files of CSC. Satisfactory credit record at CSC. G-2 reflects prior investigation and no vacancy for which applicant believed suitable. Nothing additional at G-2 or Holabird. CIC negative. Satisfactory credit record at Credit Bureau. Central files of FBI negative re loyalty.

- RUC -

REFERENCE: Bufile 77-49096
Bureau teletype March 27, 1951.

CC TO: *C.S.P. U.S.P.A.*
REQ. REC'D *12.7.64*
DEC 17 1964
ANS. BY: *[Signature]*

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; width: 100%;"></div>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 - Bureau 1 - Washington Field		<i>600</i>	

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

(WFO 77-34889)

DETAILS: At Washington, D. C.

The names DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN and "DANNY" have been added to the title of this report, inasmuch as the applicant's personnel file at the Securities and Exchange Commission reflects his full name to be DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, and investigation reflects him to be affectionately known among his friends as "DANNY."

EMPLOYMENT

The applicant's personnel file in the Personnel Office of the Securities and Exchange Commission reflects that he received a temporary appointment as associate attorney, Public Utilities Division, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, effective March 23, 1942. He was placed on furlough (Army), effective September 25, 1942. He returned from military furlough and was transferred as attorney (temporary), Opinion Writing Office, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, effective March 18, 1946. He was promoted to attorney (permanent), Opinion Writing Office, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on September 9, 1946. The applicant was transferred from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to Washington, D. C., effective January 5, 1948, and was promoted to attorney--adviser (assistant to Commission), Executive Staff, Office of Commissioner McENTIRE, Washington, D. C., effective September 23, 1948, which position he holds at the present time.

This file further reflects that the applicant was born February 18, 1916 at New York, New York, to HENRY MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, born in New York City, and JULIA FRIEDMAN, nee FREEDMAN, born in Russia. From October 1, 1937 to October 1, 1940, the applicant lived at 186 Riverside Drive, New York, New York. From April 1, 1942 to September 10, 1942, and from September 15, 1947 to October 6, 1947 he resided at 336 South Smedley Street. From April 1, 1946 to September 15, 1946 he lived at 1632 Pine Street, and from June 25, 1947 to September 15, 1947 he resided at 1520 Spruce Street, all Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The applicant was employed during the Summer of 1938 at Camp Cayuga, Adirondaks, owned by JULIUS RITTER, 617

(WFO 77-34889)

West End Avenue, New York City. During the Summer of 1939 he did research under Professor JOSEPH P. CHAMBERLAIN, Columbia University, and was Editor of the "Spectator," Columbia College, date not given.

Commissioner RICHARD B. McENTIRE, Securities and Exchange Commission, advised that he chose the applicant as his assistant in the early part of 1948, and that he selected the applicant because of his legal ability and general good reputation. He stated that he does not know much about the applicant's personal life, but that he is unmarried, his personal habits are good, he is a social drinker only, and appears to have a normal, active social life. He stated that he has found the applicant to be completely trustworthy and his views to be in no way subversive. Commissioner McENTIRE said that the applicant appears somewhat young for his age and is not a dominant individual. He said that the applicant is a very competent writer, that he expresses himself somewhat better on paper than orally, although he does a good job orally. He stated that the applicant has prepared and tried cases before the Securities and Exchange Commission, and that he received recognition for an outstanding job on one especially involved case before the Commission. He further stated that he would like very much to keep the applicant in his present position because he is the best assistant that he has had or hopes to have, but that he realizes that the applicant should have a better opportunity to use his legal ability. He concluded by stating that he recommends the applicant as an exceptionally capable, thorough and conscientious attorney.

[redacted] attorney, Public Utilities Division, Securities and Exchange Commission, advised that he has known the applicant socially and professionally since 1947, and that he has high regard for the applicant's character, associates, loyalty and reputation. He said that he is well acquainted with the applicant's work before the Commission, and that he has no doubt of the applicant's ability to prepare and try a case. He said that the applicant has prepared and tried cases for the Commission, and that in one involved and complicated case he won the respect of lawyers, both in and out of the Commission. [redacted] described the applicant as a very capable legal scholar, and said that he recommends the applicant to the Department of Justice as an attorney.

b6
b7C

(WFO 77-34889)

[redacted] Personnel, Securities and Exchange Commission, stated that he has known the applicant for about ten years as a fellow employee. He said that the applicant is affectionately known as "DANNY" by his friends. He stated that he is well acquainted with the applicant, but that he does not know any other members of his family. [redacted] declared that the applicant has the reputation of being a very capable, thorough and hard-working attorney. He stated that Commissioner McENTIRE is the applicant's immediate superior, and that Commissioner McENTIRE is a perfectionist and will not accept anything but the best in his office. He said that the applicant is respected and well regarded by the other lawyers in the Commission, and that everyone speaks highly of his outstanding legal ability. [redacted] stated that as far as he knows, the applicant's loyalty has never been questioned, and that he recommends him without hesitation to the Department of Justice as an attorney.

b6
b7C

The following interview was conducted by SA [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] attorney, Office of Price Stabilization, advised that he has known the applicant since early 1946, when he and the applicant were fellow employees of the Securities and Exchange Commission. He said that he knows applicant socially and considers him to be his best friend. [redacted] described the applicant as sober, of good morals, intelligent and a social drinker only. He stated that he does not know any other members of the applicant's family, but that the applicant's associates, loyalty, character and reputation are good. He concluded by stating that there is no question of the applicant's complete loyalty to our present form of government, and that he recommends the latter highly as an attorney.

b6
b7C

REFERENCES

[redacted] Division of Public Utilities, Securities and Exchange Commission, stated that he has known the applicant for about five years, both socially and professionally. He said that the applicant is single and that

b6
b7C

(WFO 77-9398)

he does not know any other members of his family. [] stated that the applicant has a good legal mind, writes well and is experienced in legal drafting and opinion writing. He said that the applicant handled a case before the Commission involving attorneys' fees, that he prepared this case for hearing, handled the examination of witnesses on both direct and cross, and did an excellent job. [] said that this case required a great deal of discretion and tact, inasmuch as many of the witnesses were attorneys. He further stated that the applicant was complimented on the job he did, and that these compliments are rare. He concluded by stating that in his opinion the applicant is a capable attorney whose character, associates, loyalty and reputation are excellent, and that he recommends him as an attorney to the Department of Justice.

b6
b7C

[]
Securities and Exchange Commission, advised that he has known the applicant since about 1945, both professionally and socially. [] made substantially the same comments and recommendations as did [] above.

b6
b7C

ASSOCIATES

[] The following interview was conducted by SA []

b6
b7C

[] attorney, National Labor Relations Board, advised that he has known the applicant since 1937, when they were classmates at Columbia University Law School. He stated that the applicant was either number one or two in his law school graduating class, and is very intelligent and conscientious. [] said that he and the applicant are warm personal friends. He said that the applicant has high moral standards, and that he is sure that the applicant is a good security risk. He stated that the applicant is shy, and for this reason he does not believe he would be forceful in the courtroom. He said that the applicant has a good reputation as a lawyer, and that he understands that he did an excellent job on several occasions for the Securities and Exchange Commission. He said that the applicant is honest

b6
b7C

(WFO 77-9398)

and sincere in his viewpoint about the Government's responsibility to litigates. [] further stated that he has frequently visited in the home of the applicant's parents, and that they are good, loyal American citizens. He concluded by stating that the applicant has told him about the job for which he is being considered, and that he recommends him for this position with the Justice Department.

b6
b7C

Mr. DAVID BRODY, attorney, Washington Office, Anti-Defamation League, B'nai Brith, 1003 K Street, N. W., advised that he has known the applicant since they were classmates at Columbia University Law School in 1938. He said that since the applicant was transferred to Washington in 1948, he has talked with and visited him frequently, both in his own home and in the applicant's apartments at 2022 Q Street, N. W., and 2120 16th Street, N. W. Mr. BRODY stated that the applicant was an honor student in the Law School and that he considered him to be a "top-notch" lawyer. He said that the applicant is a gentleman at all times and is a credit to the legal profession. He further stated that the applicant is a thorough and capable lawyer about whom he knows nothing unfavorable. He said that there is no question of the applicant's complete loyalty to our present form of government, and that he recommends him to the Justice Department without hesitation or qualification.

NEIGHBORHOOD

[] the Washington House, 2120 16th Street, N. W., advised that the applicant has occupied an apartment at this address since November 19, 1949. She described the applicant as a very quiet, nice person who is a gentleman at all times. She said that he has never caused any trouble, and that she has never seen him when he appeared to have been drinking. She stated that she has never seen any indication of disloyalty on his part, and that she knows of no reason why he should not have a position of trust and confidence with the Government. [] said that she is sure that none of the other tenants are acquainted with the applicant.

b6
b7C

(WFO 77-9398)

[redacted], and [redacted]
[redacted] advised that they do not
remember the applicant's ever living at [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted], advised that she does not remember the
applicant's residing at this address. She said, however, that he
probably rented an apartment from [redacted] who is
outside the United States at the present time, and is not
expected to return during the next two months.

b6
b7C

MISCELLANEOUS

[redacted] Clerk's Office, U. S. Supreme
Court, advised that his records reflect that the applicant was
admitted to practice before the Supreme Court on May 17, 1948.

b6
b7C

The files of the House Committee on Un-American
Activities and the Metropolitan Police Department, Washington,
D. C., were checked and no information identifiable with the
applicant was found.

No additional pertinent or identifiable information
was found in the files of the Investigations Division of the
Civil Service Commission.

The files of G-2, Department of the Army, contain
a letter regarding the applicant dated June 7, 1943, from
Headquarters, Third Service Command, Baltimore, Maryland,

(WFO 77-9398)

Intelligence Division, to AC of S, G-2, War Department, Washington, D. C., which states in part: "Soldier has been investigated and there is no vacancy for which he is believed suitable." There was no further information in the files of G-2, nor at Holabird.

The files of the Counter-Intelligence Corps were checked relative to the applicant with negative results.

The files of the Credit Bureau reflect a satisfactory credit record for the applicant and show previous addresses of 2022 Q Street, N. W., and 1826 R Street, N. W., dates not given.

The Bureau has advised that a search of the central files of the FBI has been made and no information of a derogatory nature concerning loyalty which could be identified with the applicant was found.

- REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN' -

(WFO 77-9398)

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

Agency checks were made as indicated.

G-2 and CIC - SE [REDACTED]

HCUA - SE [REDACTED]

Credit Bureau - SE [REDACTED]

MPD - SE [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

It is noted that a loyalty investigation was conducted under the purview of Executive Order 9835 in 1950 on JOSEPH P. CHAMBERLAIN, under whom the applicant did research at Columbia University during the Summer of 1939. This information is not set out in the body of this report inasmuch as the degree of association between the applicant and CHAMBERLAIN is not known, and the New York Office is handling this employment.

(NY 77-9398)

INFORMANT PAGE

Confidential Informant T-1 -- files of the
Investigations Division of CSC.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

WASHINGTON, D. C.

FILE NO.

77-34889

REPORT MADE AT WASHINGTON, D. C.	DATE WHEN MADE 4/6/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/28, 29, 31; 4/2-5/51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>	b6 b7C EAS
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, aka, Daniel Mortimer Friedman, "Danny"			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT TRIAL ATTORNEY ANTITRUST DIVISION	

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Employment Securities and Exchange Commission, Philadelphia, Pa., and Washington, D. C., verified. Supervisor, fellow employees, references and associates recommend and describe applicant as exceptionally capable, thorough and conscientious attorney. Associate says applicant shy and supervisor states he is not dominant individual. Has prepared and tried cases before Securities and Exchange Commission and received recognition for outstanding job on one occasion before Commission. Neighborhood 2120 16th St., N.W., favorable. Negative at [redacted],

[redacted] all Washington, D.C. Admission to practice before the U.S. Supreme Court verified. Files of T-1 reflect one DANIEL FRIEDMAN and one D. FRIEDMAN, both in N.Y., signed CP NP in 1940, and one DANIEL FRIEDMAN registered as voter for CP in 1936-1937 election in New York. HCUA and MPD, Washington, D.C., negative. No additional pertinent information in files of CSC. G-2 reflects prior investigation and no vacancy for which applicant believed suitable. Nothing additional at G-2 or Holabird. CIC negative. Satisfactory credit record at Credit Bureau. Central files of FBI negative re loyalty.

- RUC -

REFERENCE: Bufile 77-49096.
Bureau teletype March 27, 1951.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES		
COPIES OF THIS REPORT (3) - Bureau 1 - Washington Field		<div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">b-c</div>		

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

(WFO 77-9398)

[redacted] and [redacted]
[redacted], advised that they do not
remember the applicant's ever living at [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]
[redacted] advised that she does not remember the
applicant's residing at this address. She said, however, that he
probably rented an apartment from [redacted], who is
outside the United States at the present time, and is not
expected to return during the next two months.

b6
b7C

MISCELLANEOUS

[redacted] Clerk's Office, U. S. Supreme
Court, advised that his records reflect that the applicant was
admitted to practice before the Supreme Court on May 17, 1948.

b6
b7C

last
The files of Confidential Informant T-1, another
Government agency conducting security-type investigations,
reflect that one DANIEL FRIEDMAN, [redacted]
[redacted] signed a Communist Party Nominating Petition, page
809, for candidates [redacted] and [redacted] on August 10,
1940, and on page 83 for candidate [redacted] on August 2, 1939,
in Kings County, New York, and that one D. FREEDMAN, [redacted]
[redacted] signed a Communist Party
Nominating Petition, page 641, for candidates [redacted] and
[redacted] on September 14, 1940, in Bronx County, New York.
These files further reflect that one DANIEL FRIEDMAN, [redacted]
[redacted] registered as a voter for
the Communist Party in the 1936-1937 election, Bronx County,
New York.

b6
b7C

The files of the House Committee on Un-American
Activities and the Metropolitan Police Department, Washington,
D. C., were checked and no information identifiable with the
applicant was found.

is identifiable
not No additional pertinent information was found in the
files of the Investigations Division of the Civil Service
Commission.

The files of G-2, Department of the Army, contain
a letter regarding the applicant dated June 7, 1943, from
Headquarters, Third Service Command, Baltimore, Maryland,

(WFO 77-9398)

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

Agency checks were made as indicated.

G-2 and CIC - SE [REDACTED]

HCUA - SE [REDACTED]

Credit Bureau - SE [REDACTED]

MPD - SE [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

It is noted that a loyalty investigation was conducted under the purview of Executive Order 9835 in 1950 on JOSEPH P. CHAMBERLAIN, under whom the applicant did research at Columbia University during the Summer of 1939. This information is not set out in the body of this report inasmuch as the degree of association between the applicant and CHAMBERLAIN is not known, and the New York Office is handling this employment.

(WFO 77-9398)

INFORMANT PAGE

Confidential Informant T-1 -- files of the
Investigations Division of CSC.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

NY FILE NO. **77-12946 GK**

REPORT MADE AT NEW YORK	DATE WHEN MADE APR 25 1961	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 4/6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 18, 20/51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, aka. Daniel Mortimer Friedman, Danny			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT - TRIAL ATTORNEY, ANTITRUST DIVISION

b6
b7C

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Descriptions of individuals named by Washington Field office Confidential Informant T-1 set out and compared with description of DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, the applicant.

CC TO *SC(USIA)*...
REQ. REC'D. *12-17-64*.
DEC 17 1964
ANS. BY: *W. J. Jan...*

- R U C -

REFERENCES:

Bureau file **77-49096**.
Report of SA
4/9/51, at New York;
Washington Field teletype to New York, 4/4/51.

b6
b7C

DETAILS:

It is noted that Washington Field Confidential Informant T-1 advised that one DANIEL FRIEDMAN, signed a Communist Party nominating petition in August, 1939, and again in August, 1940.

b6
b7C

Records of the New York City Board of Elections reflect that a DANIEL FRIEDMAN registered to vote from in 1939 and in 1940. Records of the Board of Elections further reflect that this DANIEL FRIEDMAN, in 1940, was 22 years of age, was single, had lived in the County

b6
b7C

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>Edward Schacht</i> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: small;">SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE</div>	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 Bureau 1 New York	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> 77-12946 18 APR 25 1961 </div>	

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

NY 77-12946

ten years, and in the Election District for twenty-two months. The record indicated that he had last voted in 1939 from the same address.

The records of the Board of Elections for Manhattan reflect that the applicant, DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, registered to vote in 1939 from 186 Riverside Drive, and registered to vote in 1940 from 110 West 86 Street, New York City. These records indicate that the applicant, DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, in 1940 was twenty-four years of age, single, and resided 24 years in the County, and 36 days in the Election District. His occupation was listed as attorney, and it was indicated that he had last registered in 1939 from 186 Riverside Drive, New York City.

Confidential Informant, Washington Field, T-1 also advised that one D. FRIEDMAN, [redacted] signed a Communist Party nominating petition in September, 1940.

b6
b7C

Records of the Board of Elections for the Bronx, New York City, failed to reflect any registration for D. FRIEDMAN, [redacted] between 1939 and 1941.

b6
b7C

[redacted], a tenant of [redacted] [redacted], advised [redacted] that he has resided in this building for 26 years, and stated that the only FRIEDMAN who ever lived in this building during this period was an elderly gentleman who was married and had two daughters.

b6
b7C

[redacted] recalled that he had once made an extraction for this FRIEDMAN, but said that he was unable to recall his first name. He did recall that the FRIEDMAN family lived in apartment [redacted]

b6
b7C

Confidential Informant, Washington Field, T-1 also advised that one DANIEL FRIEDMAN, [redacted] registered as a voter in 1936 and 1937, indicating his preference for the Communist Party.

b6
b7C

NY 77-12946

Records of the Board of Elections for the Bronx, New York, reflect that one DANIEL FRIEDMAN registered for the Communist Party in 1936; his address was listed as 540 Southern Boulevard, Bronx, New York. Information in the records further reflect his age as 31, and the length of time in New York State as thirteen years. The records indicate that he was born in Russia and had last registered to vote from 1686 Grand Concourse, in 1935. b6 b7c

It is noted that DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, the applicant, is American-born, and in 1936 was twenty years old, and resided at 186 Riverside Drive, New York City.

REFLEND UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN

NY 77-12946

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

The Election Records for the Borough of Brooklyn,
New York, were checked by SE [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

The Election Records for the Borough of the Bronx,
New York City, were checked by SE [REDACTED]

The Manhattan Election Records were checked by SE
[REDACTED]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

BUREAU

FILE NO.

77-2815

REPORT MADE AT ALBANY, NEW YORK	DATE WHEN MADE 3/31/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/28/51	REPORT MADE BY SAC R. W. WALL, JR. mb
DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, aka. Daniel Mortimer Friedman			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT - Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Records NYS Board of Law Examiners reflect DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN applied and took NYS bar examination 6/40, passed and certified to First Judicial Department, New York, New York same month. Educational background B.A. degree and LLB degree from Columbia. Records NYS Court of Appeals reflect applicant admitted NYS Supreme Court, First Judicial Department, New York February 1941. Filed oath to practice same month. Record clear.

-RUC-

REFERENCE:

Bureau teletype to Albany March 27, 1951.

DETAILS:

AT ALBANY, NEW YORK

The name DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN is being added to the title of this case to reflect applicant's name as reported to the New York State Board of Law Examiners.

Records of the New York State Board of Law Examiners reflected that DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, 186 Riverside Drive, New York City, applied to take the bar examination June 6, 1940. At that time he gave his age as 24 and his birth place as New York City. Applicant's educational background consisted of a B.A. degree from Columbia University received in 1937 and an LLB degree from the same University, no dates given, attendance three years.

Applicant took the bar examination June 26, 27, 1940, passed and certified to the First Judicial Department, New York, New York, on June 27, 1940.

CC TO: SAC, N.Y.C.
REC'D: 3-7-51
DEC 17 1964
ANS. BY: [Signature]

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>R. W. Wall Jr.</i> SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 Bureau (AMSD) 1 Albany	11-47796-19	RECORDED - 122 14-85

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

77-2815

b6
b7C

[REDACTED], Clerk, New York State Court of Appeals, advised that DANIEL MORRIMER FRIEDMAN was admitted to the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Judicial Department, New York, New York on February 10, 1941; further that applicant filed his oath to practice in New York State February 11, 1941.


There was no derogatory information in the files of the New York State Court of Appeals and the New York State Board of Law Examiners regarding the applicant.

~~-REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN-~~

77-2815

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

SE



Investigation at Albany, New York was conducted by

b6
b7C

NEW YORK, NEW YORK
MARCH 30, 1951

ALBANY

DEFERRED

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, DAPLI. REYRFILE. APPLICANT EMPLOYED SUMMER NINETEEN

THIRTY EIGHT AS COUNSELOR AT CAMP CAYUGA, SCHROON LAKE, NEW YORK. EMPLOYMENT
BEING VERIFIED, NYC, THROUGH CAMP DIRECTOR, JULIUS RITTER. ALBANY DO CREDIT
AND CRIMINAL.

SCHEIDT

CC: BUREAU

RWF:EA (#11)
77-12946

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

b6
b7C

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

FILE NO. **77-7398**

REPORT MADE AT St. Louis, Missouri	DATE WHEN MADE 4-2-51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3-30-51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> bas
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN			CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT Trial Attorney Antitrust Division

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

The records of AGO, DFRB, Dept. of the Army, SLMO, indicate DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, ASN 33332990, in U. S. Army 9-8-42 to 2-10-46. Honorably discharged as M/Sgt. by reason of demobilization. No derogatory information. Born 2-8-16 at New York City. In MRC 9-8-42 to 9-22-42.

- RUC -

REFERENCE:

Washington teletype dated 3-28-51.

DETAILS:

AT ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

CC TO: *RECEIVED*
REQ. REC'D. *12-17-64*
DEC 17 1964
ANS. *[Signature]*
BY: *[Signature]*

A review of the Army service record on file at the Adjutant General's Office, Demobilized Personnel Records Branch, Department of the Army, for DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, Army Serial No. 33332990, indicates that he was inducted into the U. S. Army on September 8, 1942 and entered on active duty on September 22, 1942 at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. He was honorably discharged on February 10, 1946 as a Master Sergeant from the 219th Quartermaster Battalion by reason of demobilization. He was in the Enlisted Reserve Corps from September 8, 1942 to September 22, 1942. His military occupation was listed as Legal Clerk and civilian occupation as Lawyer. He served 1 year, 4 months, and 7 days in foreign service in Europe and participated in the battles and campaigns of Central Europe and Rhineland. He was awarded the Good Conduct Medal, World War II Victory Medal, American Theater Service Ribbon, and EAME Theater Medal.

His character and efficiency ratings ranged from unknown to excellent. There is no record of Courts Martial, AWOLs, or derogatory information. The date and place of birth were shown as February 8, 1916 at New York City.

REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO OFFICE OF ORIGIN

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>[Signature]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 3 - Bureau (AMSD) <i>[Signature]</i> 1 - St. Louis <i>[Signature]</i>		17-136-20	RECORDED - 129
		<i>[Signature]</i>	EX - 85

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Records Branch

SPECIAL

, 19__

- ☐ Name Searching Unit, 4543 JEH-FBI Bldg.
☐ Service Unit, 4654 JEH-FBI Bldg.
☒ Forward to File Review
☐ Attenti
☒ Return

Room Ext.

b6
b7c**Type of Search Requested:**

- ☐ Restricted Search **Not** Applied
☒ All Reference (Subversive & Nonsubversive)
☐ Subversive Search
☐ Nonsubversive Search
☐ Main _____ References Only

Special Instructions:

- ☐ Restricted to Locality of DC, MD, VA, NY
☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)
☐ Buildup ☐ Variations

Subject Friedman, Daniel Mortimer
 Birthdate & Place _____
 Address _____

Localities

R# 327 Date 8/5 Searcher Initials 318
 Prod. 15

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

VTD 77-49096 Feb 1951
 77-49096 I
 Daniel M
 10116 - 19142 - 61 ep #2
 10125 - 144579-104
 Daniel
 SNIF 62-115530-12796
 77-49096-11
 NR 94-5 81460
 NR 100-331834-134 149
 NR 100-430176-3
 NR 105-18932-8
 NR 105-227180-2
 NR 101-2455419773
 Daniel M
 10116 - 109119-3013 ep 3
 10125 - 42830-72
 NJ 140-7173-7

- 19

- ☐ Name Searching Unit, 4543 JEH-FBI Bldg.
☐ Service Unit, 4654 JEH-FBI Bldg.
☐ Forward to File Review
☐ Attention _____
☐ Return to _____

Supervisor	Room	Ext.
------------	------	------

☒ Restricted Search **Not** Applied
☐ All Reference (Subversive & Nonsubversive)
☐ Subversive Search
☐ Nonsubversive Search
☐ Main _____ References Only

☒ Restricted to Locality of DC, MD, VA, NY, WVA
☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)
☐ Buildup ☐ Variations

Subject Friedman, Leah T. person
 Birthdate & Place _____
 Address NY

Localities

R# ~~3-95~~ 74 Date 8/5 Searcher Initials 318
Prod.

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

~~NR Friedman, Leah
105-59453-23
NR 77-47926-17 p#15
Leah (miss)
I 100-135-53-301 I
Leah
I 105-58678-148~~

AUG 11 1977

5

426

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT **BUREAU**

FILE NO. 77-5653 ebn

b6
b7C

REPORT MADE AT PHILADELPHIA, PA.	DATE WHEN MADE 4/3/51	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 3/30, 31; 4/2, 3/51	REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>
TITLE DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, aka Daniel Mortimer Friedman, Danny			DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT TRIAL ATTORNEY ANTITRUST DIVISION

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

[redacted]
Philadelphia, advised applicant was friend of hers and lived at this address in 1941 or 1942 for about two years. Reluctant to furnish definite dates of residence or any other information except her recommendation of applicant. Other neighbors vaguely remember FRIEDMAN during early 1940's and about 1946 and 1947 [redacted] One neighbor in vicinity of 1632 Pine St., Philadelphia, casually knew applicant and stated he resided at this address with another man, name not recalled, from approximately early summer 1948 to about July 1948 when he moved to Washington, D.C. No record established of applicant's residence at [redacted] in 1947. Information set forth as furnished by confidential informants reflecting [redacted]

b6
b7C

Phab Apr
CC TO: 50/2/51...
REQ. REC'D. 12-7-64.
DEC 17 1964
ANS. BY: *W. J. [unclear]*

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted] No credit or criminal record, Philadelphia.

*Sean Virginia
Friedman
(former spouse)*

- RUC -

N

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: <i>a. [unclear]</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
COPIES OF THIS REPORT (3) - Bureau 1 - Philadelphia		<i>77-41216-21</i>
		RECORDED - 129
		INDEXED - 129
		EX-72

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

PH 77-5653

REFERENCE: Bureau File 77-49096
Washington Field teletype, dated
March 29, 1951.

DETAILS: AT PHILADELPHIA PA -

NEIGHBORHOOD

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that the applicant was a friend of hers, whom she had met in about 1941 or 1942 through friends of hers. [redacted] starting about 1941 or 1942, and lived at this address for about two years. Concerning the applicant's character, she stated that he is a gentleman, is highly educated, discharges obligations, does not drink, and is of the highest calibre. Concerning the applicant's loyalty she stated, "It is below the dignity of such a person to be investigated for a position with the Government after he has already given ten years of his life to the Government. The Government should have more men like him in it." She would not make any positive statement concerning the applicant's loyalty to this country. Concerning the applicant's associates, [redacted] stated that she knew none of the applicant's associates in Philadelphia. She advised that she hears about him occasionally from friends, but refused to divulge the identity of any persons who might know the applicant or any further information concerning him.

[redacted] apartment building at 331 S. Smedley Street, advised that he remembers the applicant, but that his acquaintance with him was casual, and he could furnish no information concerning his character, loyalty, or associates. He stated that he remembers FRIEDMAN from 1946 to 1947, during which time the applicant was rooming at [redacted] 336 S. Smedley Street. [redacted] stated that the applicant's appearance was neat, and he believed him to be an educated man.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that he remembers a person by the name of FRIEDMAN, who resided at 336 S. Smedley Street, who would be in his late twenties or early thirties at this date. He stated that it was his belief that this person roomed at [redacted] during the early part of the recent war. He then moved from this address to a Pine Street address nearby, and later moved back to [redacted] at

b6
b7C

336 S. Smedley Street. The dates of the applicant's residence could not be recalled by [redacted] however he stated that he has not seen this person for three or four years. He stated that this person was of good appearance, quiet, and genteel, but that their casual acquaintance afforded him no information concerning this person's character, loyalty, or associates. [redacted] stated that he believed that [redacted] and this person were friends rather than [redacted] acquaintances. It is to be noted that 1632 Pine Street is located nearby 336 S. Smedley Street.

b6
b7C

Confidential Informant T-1, of unknown reliability, advised that he recalled the person by the name of FRIEDMAN, but could furnish no information concerning him except that a [redacted] [redacted] who formerly resided at [redacted] visited FRIEDMAN at 1632 Pine Street.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that she did not know FRIEDMAN. She advised that she resided at [redacted] from November 1948 to May 1949, and from November 1949 to May 1950. She stated that she had visited a friend at 1632 Pine Street, but that it was not the applicant, and she had not known any such person.

b6
b7C

[redacted] remembers DAN FRIEDMAN, who resided at this address about Spring or early Summer of 1948 with a person by the name of [redacted] last name not recalled, until about July of 1948, when Mr. FRIEDMAN went to Washington. She advised that her acquaintance with this person was very casual, and she could furnish no information concerning his character, loyalty, or associates.

b6
b7C

The following were also interviewed in the vicinity of 336 S. Smedley Street, and 1632 Pine Street, but advised that the applicant was unknown to them: [redacted]

b6
b7C

PH 77-5653

[redacted] of
Touraine Properties, [redacted]
advised that he had no record reflecting the applicant's
residence at the above listed properties.

b6
b7C

The following were also interviewed in the vicinity
of [redacted] but advised that the applicant was unknown
to them: [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]
at the George B. Gay Company, 1701 Walnut Street, advised that
this company is the rental agency for 1632 Pine Street, [redacted]
[redacted] This company has represented [redacted]
[redacted] property at this address since January 1, 1948, but
has no record for the applicant.

b6
b7C

Confidential Informant T-2, of unknown reliability,
advised that he could not recall the applicant, but stated he
is acquainted with [redacted]

b6
b7C
b7D

Concerning [redacted]
[redacted] and [redacted] the
following is set forth:

b6
b7C

[redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]

b6
b7C

PH 77-5653

[REDACTED]

In January 1948 Confidential Informant T-5, of known reliability, advised that [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]

The American Council for a Democratic Greece, the Philadelphia School of Social Science and Art, and the Joint Anti-Fascist Refugee Committee have been declared by the Attorney General of the United States to be within the purview of Executive Order 9835.

Confidential Informants T-6 and T-7 of known reliability, advised that [REDACTED]

b6
b7C
b7D

[REDACTED]

In November 1947, T-3 advised that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

In January 1949 Confidential Informant T-8, of known reliability, advised that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

The Communist Party, USA, and the Socialist Workers Party have been declared by the Attorney General of the United States to be within the purview of Executive Order 9835.

During the present investigation T-6 and T-7 as well

PH 77-5653

as Confidential Informants T-9, T-10, T-11, and T-12 were contacted. These informants who are familiar with some of the membership and activities of the Communist Party in the Philadelphia area, could furnish no information indicating that the applicant was ever a Communist Party member or engaged in Party affairs.

CREDIT AND CRIMINAL

The files of the Philadelphia Credit Bureau, Inc., and the Philadelphia Police Department, contain no record of the applicant.

- REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO OFFICE OF ORIGIN -

PH 77-5653

ADMINISTRATIVE

Employee Credit and criminal checks were made by Special

b6
b7C

PH 77-5653

INFORMANTS

T-1: [redacted] whose
identity is concealed at her request.

b6
b7C
b7D

T-2: [redacted]
[redacted] who requested his identity be concealed.

b6
b7C
b7D

T-3:

[redacted]

b7D

T-4:

T-5: Anonymous.

T-6:

[redacted]

b7D

T-7:

T-8: Trash cover on [redacted]

b6
b7C

T-9:

[redacted]

b7D

T-10:

T-11: Anonymous

T-12:

[redacted]

b7D

PH 77-5653

REFERENCE: Bureau File 77-49096.
Washington Field teletype, dated
March 29, 1951.

DETAILS: AT PHILADELPHIA, PA.:

NEIGHBORHOOD

[redacted] advised that the applicant was a friend of hers, whom she had met in about 1941 or 1942 through friends of hers. [redacted] starting about 1941 or 1942, and lived at this address for about two years. Concerning the applicant's character, she stated that he is a gentleman, [redacted] highly educated, a Harvard graduate, discharges obligations, does not drink, and is of the highest calibre. Concerning the applicant's loyalty she stated, "It is below the dignity of such a person to be investigated for a position with the Government after he has already given ten years of his life to the Government. The Government should have more men like him in it." She would not make any positive statement concerning the applicant's loyalty to this country. Concerning the applicant's associates, [redacted] stated that she knew none of the applicant's associates in Philadelphia. She advised that she hears about him occasionally from friends, but refused to divulge the identity of any persons who might know the applicant or any further information concerning him.

b6
b7C

[redacted] apartment building at 331 S. Smedley Street, advised that he remembers the applicant, but that his acquaintance with him was casual, and he could furnish no information concerning his character, loyalty, or associates. He stated that he remembers FRIEDMAN from 1946 to 1947, during which time the applicant was rooming at [redacted] 336 S. Smedley Street. [redacted] stated that the applicant's appearance was neat, and he believed him to be an educated man.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that he remembers a person by the name of FRIEDMAN, who resided at 336 S. Smedley Street, who would be in his late twenties or early thirties at this date. He stated that it was his belief that this person roomed at [redacted] during the early part of the recent war. He then moved from this address to a Pine Street address nearby, and later moved back to [redacted] at

b6
b7C

AUG 4 1977

318

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Memorandum

322

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 7/27/77

FROM: Philip H. Modlin
Deputy Associate
Attorney General

SUBJECT. DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN	DATE OF BIRTH 2/8/16
POSITION Attorney	OFFICE OR DIVISION (Location) Solicitor General
(CHECK ONE) Attorney - Sol. Gen. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMPLOYEE <input type="checkbox"/> APPLICANT	TYPE OF POSITION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SENSITIVE <input type="checkbox"/> NON-SENSITIVE
ENTERED ON DUTY 1951	TYPE OF APPOINTMENT

☒ Please institute the usual character investigation in this matter and forward copies of the reports to this office.☐ Please furnish results of Name Check and search of Identification Records prior to completion of investigation

Standard Forms 86 are attached. Please return the original signed copy with the final report of this investigation.

☐ Name Check Made☐ Fingerprint Chart attached☐ Please institute a name check of this individual whose Standard Form 171/86 is enclosed. The fingerprint chart is being forwarded to the Identification Building.

POS Code:

☐ Please discontinue the character investigation in this matter. Standard Form 86 submitted with our request should be returned to this office.

1 DAG-16's attached

REC-88

1 DAG-33's attached

Please update for CIA clearance. copies of previous investigation are not needed.

See attached memo

ST-118

AUG 4 1977

NINE

DOJ

ENCLOSURE
SEP 5 19771cc to Assoc AG
8/24/77
243

FORMERLY DJ-99

FORM DO
5-15-7

87 JUL 1977

b6
b7C

[] Chief
Security Programs Management Group
Security Programs Staff

Special Access to Sensitive Compartmented Information
Granted To: Daniel M. Friedman

Philip H. Modlin
Deputy Associate Attorney General

The subject Department of Justice employee is presently —
assigned to duties in the Solicitor General's
Office, which requires access to Sensitive Compartmented
Information (SCI).

Director of Central Intelligence Directive (DCID) No. 1/14
requires a re-investigation where the previous investigation
is more than five years old whenever access to Sensitive
Compartmented Information is granted. In order to fulfill
our commitment to the Central Intelligence Agency, it is
requested that you arrange for the background investigation
concerning this individual to be updated by the Federal
Bureau of Investigation. This action will enable us to
certify as current the Top Secret Clearance for this employee
and to meet the requirements of DCID 1/14 for Special access
to Sensitive Compartmented Information.

A completed Form 86 for the subject employee is attached.

Daniel M. Friedman's last FBI investigation was completed
on April 10, 1951.

It is requested that you advise this office telephonically
when the background investigation has been initiated.

CONTINUATION SHEET, STANDARD FORM 86 OF

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN

ITEM NO. 20:

Bermuda	August 1971	September 1972	Recreation
Austria	September 1967	October 1967	Recreation
Mexico	August 1964		Recreation
Norway, Sweden, Denmark	August 1962		Recreation
Scotland, France, Switzerland, Italy, Belgium, Holland	April 1959	June 1959	Recreation

ITEM NO. 24:

Columbia College Alumni Assn.	N.Y. N.Y.	Alumni	Present
Columbia Law School Alumni Assn.	N.Y. N.Y.	Alumni	Present

ITEM NO. 27:

I believe that a full field investigation was made when I joined the Department of Justice in 1959.

I also was informed that I was given a top-secret clearance some time in the mid-1950's, when I was in the Antitrust Division.

Standard Form 86
AUGUST 1964
U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
(F.P.M. CHAPTER 235)
86-107

SECURITY INVESTIGATION DATA FOR SENSITIVE POSITION

CASE SERIAL NO. (CSC use only)

INSTRUCTIONS.—Prepare in triplicate, using a typewriter. Fill in all items. If the answer is "No" or "None," so state. If more space is needed for any item, continue under item 28.

1. FULL NAME (Initials and abridgements of full name are not acceptable. If no middle name, show "(NMN)"; if initials only, show "(no given or family name)"		(LAST NAME) FRIEDMAN	(FIRST NAME) Daniel	(MIDDLE NAME) Mortimer	2. DATE OF BIRTH February 8, 1916
3. PLACE OF BIRTH New York, New York		4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MALE <input type="checkbox"/> FEMALE			
5. HEIGHT 5'8"		WEIGHT 125		COLOR EYES Gray	COLOR HAIR Brown
6. <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MARRIED <input type="checkbox"/> WIDOW(ER) <input type="checkbox"/> DIVORCED		7. IF MARRIED, WIDOWED, OR DIVORCED, GIVE FULL NAME AND DATE AND PLACE OF BIRTH OF SPOUSE OR FORMER SPOUSE. INCLUDE WIFE'S MAIDEN NAME. GIVE DATE AND PLACE OF MARRIAGE OR DIVORCE. (Give same information regarding all previous marriages and divorces.) Married Washington, D.C. 10/18/75. to Elizabeth M. Ellis. Her maiden name was Elizabeth C. Mueller, born in Milwaukee, Wis. 9/5/23. (the year is probable)			
8. DATES AND PLACES OF RESIDENCE. (If actual places of residence differ from the mailing addresses, furnish and identify both. Begin with present and go back to January 1, 1937. Continue under item 28 on other side if necessary.)					
FROM		TO		NUMBER AND STREET	CITY STATE
October 1975		present		3249 Newark Street, N.W.	Washington, D.C.
Sept. 1970		Oct. 1975		2475 Virginia Ave., N.W.	Washington, D.C.
				Apartment 321	Washington, D.C.
1965		Sept. 1970		325 Constitution, N.E.	Washington, D.C.
Jan. 1956		1965		1611 - 45th St., N.W.	Washington, D.C.
Jan. 1955		Jan. 1956		2500 Q Street, N.W.	Washington, D.C.
1950		Jan. 1955		2120 - 16th Street, N.W.	Washington, D.C.
9.					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. CITIZEN		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BY BIRTH <input type="checkbox"/> NATURALIZED		ALIEN REGISTRATION NO.	DATE, PLACE, AND COURT
		CERT. NO.		PETITION NO.	
		<input type="checkbox"/> DERIVED-PARENTS CERT. NO(S).			
<input type="checkbox"/> ALIEN		REGISTRATION NO.		NATIVE COUNTRY	DATE AND PORT OF ENTRY
10. EDUCATION. (All schools above elementary.)					
NAME OF SCHOOL		ADDRESS		FROM (Year)	TO (Year) DEGREES
11. THIS SPACE FOR FBI USE. (See also item 29.)					
12. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 097-12-7912					
13. MILITARY SERVICE (Past or present)					
SERIAL NO. (If none, give grade or rating at separation)		BRANCH OF SERVICE (Army, Navy, Air Force, etc.)		FROM (Yr.)	TO (Yr.)

ENCLOSURE 77-49096-22

26a. REFERENCES. (Name three persons, not relatives or employers, who are aware of your qualifications and fitness.)

NAME IN FULL

HOME ADDRESS

BUSINESS ADDRESS

YEARS KNOWN

26b. CLOSE PERSONAL ASSOCIATES. (Name three persons, such as friends, schoolmates or colleagues, who know you well.)

NAME IN FULL

HOME ADDRESS

BUSINESS ADDRESS

YEARS KNOWN

Oscar H. Davis

1101 3rd St. S.W.

Ct. of Cls.

25

25

39

27. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN THE SUBJECT OF A FULL FIELD OR BACKGROUND PERSONAL INVESTIGATION BY ANY AGENCY OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT? ☒ YES ☐ NO. (If your answer is "Yes," show in item 28, (1) the name of the investigating agency (2) the approximate date of investigation, and (3) the level of security clearance granted, if known.)

28. SPACE FOR CONTINUING ANSWERS TO OTHER QUESTIONS. (Show item numbers to which answers apply. Attach a separate sheet if there is not enough space here.)

Item No. 7:

Former wife died December 12, 1969. She was Leah Lipson, born January 1, 1912, Fairmont, West Virginia. ✓ Friedman

29. REPORT OF INFORMATION DEVELOPED. (This space reserved for FBI use.)

DATE:

Before signing this form check back over it to make sure you have answered all questions fully and correctly.

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY that the statements made by me on this form are true, complete, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and are made in good faith.

False statement on this form
is punishable by law.

July 20, 1977
(DATE)

(SIGNATURE—Sign original and first carbon copy)

INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY AGENCY

INSTRUCTIONS TO AGENCY: See Federal Personnel Manual Chapter 736 and FPM Supplement 296-31, Appendix A, for details on when this form is required and how it is used. If this is a request for investigation before appointment, insert "APPL" in the space for Date of Appointment and show information about the proposed appointment in the other spaces for appointment data. The original and the first carbon copy should be signed by the applicant or appointee. Submit the original and the unsigned carbon copy of the form, Standard Form 87 (Fingerprint Chart), and any investigative information about the person received on voucher forms or otherwise, to the United States Civil Service Commission, Bureau of Personnel Investigations, Washington, D.C., 20415. If this is a request for full field security investigation, submit these forms to the attention of the Division of Reimbursable Investigations; if this is a request for preappointment national agency checks, submit these forms to the attention of the Control Section.

RETAIN THE CARBON COPY OF STANDARD FORM 86 (SIGNED BY THE APPLICANT OR APPOINTEE) FOR YOUR FILES

DATE OF APPOINTMENT	TYPE OF APPOINTMENT <input type="checkbox"/> EXCEPTED <input type="checkbox"/> COMPETITIVE. (Include indefinite and temporary types of competitive appointments.)	CIVIL SERVICE REGULATION NUMBER OR OTHER APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY	TITLE OF POSITION AND GRADE OR SALARY
DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY	DUTY STATION	SEND RESULTS OF PREAPPOINTMENT CHECK TO:	
THIS IS A SENSITIVE POSITION			
(SIGNATURE AND TITLE OF AUTHORITY AGENCY OFFICIAL)			

19. HAVE YOU EVER HAD A NERVOUS BREAKDOWN OR HAVE YOU EVER HAD MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR A MENTAL CONDITION? ☐ YES ☒ NO.
(If your answer is "Yes," give details in item 28.)

20. FOREIGN COUNTRIES VISITED (SINCE 1930). (Exclusive of military service.)

COUNTRY	DATE LEFT U.S.A.	DATE RETURNED U.S.A.	PURPOSE
Greece	April 1977	May 1977	Recreation
Italy, Great Britain-	Oct. 1976	Nov. 1976	Recreation
Uganda, Kenya, Tanzania	Aug. 1972	Sept. 1972	Recreation

21. ARE YOU NOW, OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN, A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY, U.S.A., OR ANY COMMUNIST OR FASCIST ORGANIZATION? ☐ YES ☒ NO.

22. ARE YOU NOW OR HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A MEMBER OF ANY FOREIGN OR DOMESTIC ORGANIZATION, ASSOCIATION, MOVEMENT, GROUP, OR COMBINATION OF PERSONS WHICH IS TOTALITARIAN, FASCIST, COMMUNIST, OR SUBVERSIVE, OR WHICH HAS ADOPTED, OR SHOWS, A POLICY OF ADVOCATING OR APPROVING THE COMMISSION OF ACTS OF FORCE OR VIOLENCE TO DENY OTHER PERSONS THEIR RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, OR WHICH SEEKS TO ALTER THE FORM OF GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES BY UNCONSTITUTIONAL MEANS? ☐ YES ☒ NO.

23. IF YOUR ANSWER TO QUESTION 21 OR 22 ABOVE IS "YES," STATE THE NAMES OF ALL SUCH ORGANIZATIONS, ASSOCIATIONS, MOVEMENTS, GROUPS, OR COMBINATIONS OF PERSONS AND DATES OF MEMBERSHIP. IN ITEM 28 OR ON A SEPARATE SHEET TO BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE A PART OF THIS FORM, GIVE COMPLETE DETAILS OF YOUR ACTIVITIES THEREIN AND MAKE ANY EXPLANATION YOU DESIRE REGARDING YOUR MEMBERSHIP OR ACTIVITIES.

NAME IN FULL	ADDRESS	FROM	TO	OFFICE HELD
--------------	---------	------	----	-------------

24. MEMBERSHIP IN OTHER ORGANIZATIONS. (List all organizations in which you are now a member or have been a member, except those which show religious or political affiliations.) (If none, so state.)

NAME IN FULL	ADDRESS	TYPE	FROM	TO	OFFICE HELD
American Bar Assn.	Chicago	Legal		Present	
Federal Bar Assn.	Wash., D.C.	Legal		Present	
American Law Institute-Phila. Pa.		Legal		Present	
Cosmos Club	Wash., D.C.	Social		Present	
U.S. Classics Phila- telic Society		Philatelic		Present	
American Phila- telic Society	State College, Pa.	Philatelic		Present	

25. RELATIVES. (Parents, spouse, divorced spouse, children, brothers, and sisters, living or dead. Name of spouse should include maiden name and any other names by previous marriage. If person is dead, state "dead" after relationship and furnish information for other columns as of time of death.)

RELATION	NAME IN FULL	YEAR OF BIRTH	ADDRESS	COUNTRY OF BIRTH	PRESENT CITIZENSHIP
Wife	Elizabeth M. Ellis	1923(?)	3249 Newark St., N.W. Wash., D.C.	U.S.A.	U.S.A.

14. h
(If answer

15. EMPLOYMENT
and addresses.

L dates

YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM THE ARMED FORCES UNDER OTHER THAN HONORABLE CONDITIONS? ☐ YES ☒ NO.
is "Yes," give details in item 28.)

(List ALL employment dates starting with your present employment. Give both month and year for all dates. Show ALL when unemployed. Give name under which employed if different from name now used.)

<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>	<u>NAME OF EMPLOYER (Firm or agency) AND SUPERVISOR (Full name, if known)</u>	<u>ADDRESS (Where employed)</u>	<u>TYPE OF WORK</u>	<u>REASON FOR LEAVING</u>
Jan. 1959	Present	Office of the Solicitor General	Dept. of Justice	Legal	
1951	1959	Appellate Section, Antitrust Div.	Dept. of Justice	Legal	

16. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED (FIRED) FROM EMPLOYMENT FOR ANY REASON? ☐ YES ☒ NO.

17. HAVE YOU EVER RESIGNED (QUIT) AFTER BEING INFORMED THAT YOUR EMPLOYER INTENDED TO DISCHARGE (FIRE) YOU FOR ANY REASON? ☐ YES ☒ NO.
(If your answer to 16 or 17 above is "Yes" give details in item 28. Show the name and address of employer, approximate date, and reasons in each case. This information should agree with the statements made in item 15—EMPLOYMENT.)

18. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ARRESTED, TAKEN INTO CUSTODY, HELD FOR INVESTIGATION OR QUESTIONING, OR CHARGED BY ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY? (You may omit: (1) Traffic violations for which you paid a fine of \$30 or less; and (2) anything that happened before your 16th birthday. All other incidents must be included, even though they were dismissed or you merely forfeited collateral.) ☐ YES ☒ NO.

IF YOUR ANSWER IS "YES," GIVE FULL DETAILS BELOW:

<u>DATE</u>	<u>CHARGE</u>	<u>PLACE</u>	<u>LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY</u>	<u>ACTION TAKEN</u>
-------------	---------------	--------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------

AUTHORITY TO RELEASE INFORMATION

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

In connection with the background investigation being conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, I hereby authorize any Special Agent or other authorized representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation bearing this release, or copy thereof, within one year of its date, to obtain any information in your files pertaining to my employment, military, credit or educational records including, but not limited to, academic, achievement, attendance, athletic, personal history, and disciplinary records; medical records, and credit records. I hereby direct you to release such information upon request of the bearer. This release is executed with full knowledge and understanding that the information will be used in connection with the consideration of my employment by the Department of Justice and will be disseminated only to those individuals or agencies directly involved in this determination or to fulfill other obligations imposed by law, regulation or presidential directive or executive order. I hereby release you, as the custodian of such records, and any school, college, university, or other educational institution, hospital, or other repository of medical records, credit bureau, lending institution, consumer reporting agency, or retail business establishment including its officers, employees, or related personnel, both individually and collectively, from any and all liability for damages of whatever kind, which may at any time result to me, my heirs, family or associates because of compliance with this authorization and request to release information, or any attempt to comply with it. Should there be any question as to the validity of this release, you may contact me as indicated below.

Full Name:

Daniel M. Friedman
(Signature)

Full Name:

(Type or Print)

Parent or Guardian:
(If Required)

Date:

July 20, 1977

Current Address:

3249 Newark Street, NW,
Washington, DC 20008

Telephone Number:

966-4615 (home)
739-2208 (office)

AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE FEDERAL TAX INFORMATION

In connection with the background investigation being conducted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, I hereby authorize (pursuant to Internal Revenue Code 6103 (c) as amended) the Internal Revenue Service to provide the FBI with tax information, limited to the following:

1. Whether I have filed returns with respect to Federal income taxes for the immediately preceding 3 years.
2. Whether I have failed to pay any tax within 10 days after notice and demand, or have been assessed any penalty under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, for negligence, in the current year or immediately preceding 3 years.
3. Whether I have been or am under investigation for possible criminal offenses under the Internal Revenue laws and the results of any such investigations.
4. Whether I have been assessed any civil penalty under the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, for fraud.

In order to assist you in locating this Federal tax information, I am voluntarily disclosing my Social Security Number, which is 097-12-7912.


Daniel M. Friedman

(Taxpayer's Signature)

July 20, 1977

(Date)

3249 Newark Street, NW,
Washington, DC 20008

(Taxpayer's Address)

FORM DAG-33
January 1977

*Original to
WFO for IRS
7-28-77*

TELETYPE

PRIORITY

CLEAR

AUGUST 3, 1977

FM DIRECTOR (77-49096)

TO WASHINGTON FIELD (77-34889) PRIORITY

ST. LOUIS (NPRC-C) (77-7398) PRIORITY

BALTIMORE (77-4236) PRIORITY

ALEXANDRIA PRIORITY

BT

CLEAR

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, ATTORNEY,
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL, BUDED: AUGUST 15, 1977.

APPLICANT BORN FEBRUARY 8, 1916, NEW YORK, NEW YORK.

SSAN 097 12 7912. MARRIED OCTOBER 18, 1975, WASHINGTON, D. C.,

TO ELIZABETH M. ELLIS. HER MAIDEN NAME WAS ELIZABETH C.

MUELLER, BORN SEPTEMBER 5, 1923, MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN. FORMER

WIFE LEAH LIPSON, BORN JANUARY 1, 1912, FAIRMONT, WEST VIRGINIA,

DIED DECEMBER 12, 1969.

RESIDENCES: 1950 TO JANUARY, 1955, 2120 16TH ST., NW.,

WASHINGTON, D. C.; JANUARY, 1955 TO JANUARY, 1956, 2500 Q

ST., NW, WASHINGTON, D. C.; JANUARY, 1956 TO 1965, 1611 45TH

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Adm. Serv. _____
Crim. Inv. _____
Fin. & Pers. _____
Ident. _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Insp. _____
Rec. Mgnt. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Tech. Servs. _____
Training _____
Public Affs. Off. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director's Sec'y _____

SAS:ksw (IX)
(3)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

AUG 3 1977

REC-88
ST-118

AUG 30 1977

TELETYPE

FBI

RETURN TO

ROOM 3245

FBI/DOJ

56 SEP 15 1977

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

b6
b7c

PAGE TWO CLEAR

ST., NW, WASHINGTON, D. C.; 1965 TO SEPTEMBER, 1970, 325
CONSTITUTION, NE, WASHINGTON, D. C.; SEPTEMBER, 1970 TO
OCTOBER, 1975, 2475 VIRGINIA AVE., NW, APARTMENT 32], WASHINGTON,
D. C.; OCTOBER, 1975 TO PRESENT, 3249 NEWARK ST., NW, WASHINGTON,
D. C.

MILITARY SERVICE: NONE.

EMPLOYMENT: 1951 TO 1959, APPELLATE SECTION, ANTITRUST
DIV., DEPT. OF JUSTICE, LEGAL; JANUARY, 1959 TO PRESENT,
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL, DEPT. OF JUSTICE, LEGAL.

RELATIVES: WIFE, ELIZABETH M. ELLIS, BORN 1923, RESIDES
WITH APPLICANT; FORMER WIFE, LEAH LIPSON, BORN 1912 DECEASED.

ASSOCIATES: OSCAR H. DAVIS, HOME - 1101 3RD ST., SW,
WASHINGTON, D. C., BUSINESS - COURT OF CLAIMS, KNOWN 25 YEARS;

[REDACTED] HOME - [REDACTED] KNOWN 25 YEARS; b6
[REDACTED] HOME - [REDACTED] b7C
[REDACTED] BUSINESS - [REDACTED] KNOWN
39 YEARS.

ADDENDUM: A PRIOR DAPLI INVESTIGATION WAS CONCLUDED IN
APRIL, 1951. BRING UP TO DATE SINCE THAT TIME.

ALEXANDRIA: APPLICANT HAS TRAVELED EXTENSIVELY IN EUROPE

PAGE THREE CLEAR

AND AFRICA. CHECK CIA.

WASHINGTON FIELD: INTERVIEW APPLICANT OT OBTAIN THREE REFERENCES AND SET FORTH LEADS TO INTERVIEW. ALSO CHECK IRS.

ALL OFFICES: APPLICANT'S ENTIRE ADULT LIFE SINCE GRADUATION FROM HIGH SCHOOL MUST BE ACCOUNTED FOR INCLUDING SUMMER AND PART-TIME EMPLOYMENTS AND ACTIVITIES DURING ALL PERIODS OF UNEMPLOYMENT MUST BE ESTABLISHED BY ACTIVE INVESTIGATION.

CURRENT RESIDENCES OF CLOSE RELATIVES MUST BE VERIFIED BY ACTIVE INVESTIGATION, THEIR REPUTATION DISCREETLY ASCERTAINED, AND ARREST CHECKS ONLY CONDUCTED.

VERIFY ADMITTANCE TO STATE BAR AT PLACES OF RESIDENCE OR EMPLOYMENT SINCE GRADUATION FROM LAW SCHOOL AND CHECK RECORDS OF GRIEVANCE COMMITTEES WHERE APPROPRIATE. UTILIZE TELETYPES IN SETTING OUT LEADS. FOLLOW DAPLI INSTRUCTIONS. EDUCATIONAL RELEASE FORMS FOLLOW.

BUREAU DEADLINE AS SET FORTH ABOVE MEANS THAT REPORT MUST BE RECEIVED AT THE BUREAU ON THAT DAY OR PRIOR THERETO.

BT

#

Routing Slip

FD-4 (Rev. 3-1-73)

To: ☒ Director

Date 8-3-77

BA: 77-0-3961

Att.: SPECIAL INQUIRY FILE
SECTION

HQ: 77-49096

Title DANIEL FORTLIER FRIEDMAN

☐ SAC _____
☐ ASAC _____
☐ Supv. _____
☐ Agent _____
☐ SE _____
☐ SC _____
☐ CC _____
☐ Steno _____
☐ Clerk _____

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT,

ATTORNEY, OFFICE OF THE

SOLICITOR GENERAL

BUDED: 8-15-77

RE: Dir. tel. dtd. 8-3-77

☐ Rotor #: _____

ACTION DESIRED

☐ Acknowledge ☐ Open Case
☐ Assign _____ Reassign _____ ☐ Prepare lead cards
☐ Bring file ☐ Prepare tickler
☐ Call me ☐ Return assignment card
☐ Correct ☐ Return file
☐ Deadline _____ ☐ Search and return
☐ Deadline passed ☐ See me
☐ Delinquent ☐ Serial # _____
☐ Discontinue ☐ Post ☐ Recharge ☐ Return
☐ Expedite ☐ Send to _____
☐ File ☐ Submit new charge out
☐ For information ☐ Submit report by 77-49096-24
☐ Handle ☐ Type
☐ Initial & return
☐ Leads need attention
☐ Return with explanation or notation as to action taken.

NOT RECORDED

23 AUG 30 1977

FOLLOWING IS RESULT OF

CHECK OF INDICES FBI BALTIMORE

☒ NO RECORD 1 & 2
☐ NO DEROGATORY INFORMATION
☐ NO INFO. NOT PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED
☐ NO IDENTICAL INFORMATION
☐ NO IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION

SAC F B I, BALTIMORE
See reverse side

Re Ref: 1. _____

2. _____

SAC GEORGE T. GILLES

Office BALTIMORE

56 SEP 15 1977 245

9-12

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ Airtel

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☒ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ E F T O
☒ CLEAR

Date 8/11/77

FM WASHINGTON FIELD (77-105009) (P)

TO DIRECTOR MAIL ROUTINE

CHICAGO PRIORITY

NEW YORK PRIORITY

PHILADELPHIA PRIORITY

BT

CLEAR

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, ATTORNEY,
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL, BUDED: AUGUST 15, 1977.

FOR INFORMATION OF RECEIVING OFFICES, APPLICANT BORN
FEBRUARY 8, 1916, AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK. FORMER WIFE WAS
LEAH LIPSON, NOW DECEASED. APPLICANT NOW MARRIED TO
ELIZABETH M. ELLIS. APPLICANT HAS BEEN EMPLOYED AS FOLLOWS:
1951 - 1959, APPELLATE SECTION, ANTI-TRUST DIVISION, UNITED
STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; JANUARY, 1959 - PRESENT, OFFICE
OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

THIS IS A ROUTINE UPDATE FOR APPLICANT'S SECURITY
CLEARANCE. PRIOR DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT INVESTIGATION
CONDUCTED IN APRIL, 1951.

① Bureau
1- WFO
FM:emc
(2)

Approved: *ns/fm*

Transmitted

(Number)

(Time)

Per

56 SEP

1977

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☐ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ Airtel

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☐ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ E F T O
☐ CLEAR

Date _____

PAGE TWO WF 77-105009 CLEAR

ON AUGUST 10, 1977, APPLICANT PROVIDED SA [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] THE FOLLOWING REFERENCES: RALPH SPRITZER, PROFESSOR
OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA LAW SCHOOL, PHILADELPHIA,
PENNSYLVANIA; [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

ALL THE ABOVE REFERENCES PREVIOUSLY KNEW THE APPLICANT
IN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

LEAD. ALL RECEIVING OFFICES. CONTACT REFERENCE IN
YOUR DIVISION AND REPORT RESULTS.

BT

Approved: _____

Transmitted _____
(Number) (Time)

Per _____

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ Airtel

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☒ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☐ E F T O
☒ CLEAR

Date 8/11/77

FM ST. LOUIS (77-C) RUC
TO DIRECTOR (77-49096) MAIL
WASHINGTON FIELD (77-34889) ROUTINE

BT

CLEAR

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DAPLI. BUDED: AUGUST 15, 1977.

REBUTEL TO WASHINGTON FIELD, AUGUST 3, 1977.

INVESTIGATION AT NPRC-C, ST. LOUIS, MO., FAILED TO LOCATE
OFFICIAL PERSONNEL FOLDER (OPF) FOR APPLICANT'S EMPLOYMENT BY
ANTITRUST DIVISION, DEPT. OF JUSTICE, 1951 TO 1959. OPF SHOULD BE
MAINTAINED BY HIS CURRENT EMPLOYING AGENCY.

WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE, IF NOT ALREADY DONE, VERIFY ABOVE
EMPLOYMENT WHEN REVIEWING APPLICANT'S OPF AT OFFICE OF SOLICITOR
GENERAL, DEPT. OF JUSTICE.

BT

1 - BUREAU (AM)
1 - ST. LOUIS
WJE:ljb
(2)

77-49096-26

NOT RECORDED
1 AUG 13 1977

Approved: P/s

Transmitted _____

(Number)

(Time)

Per _____

FBI/DOJ

56 SEP 5 1977

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE Philadelphia	OFFICE OF ORIGIN Bureau	DATE 8/15/77	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 8/15/77
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY ec
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI-Attorney, Office of the Solicitor General	

REFERENCES

WFO Teletype to Director 8/12/77.

-RUC-

ADMINISTRATIVE

All persons contacted were advised of the confidentiality provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 and none requested confidentiality.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			

APPROVED <i>Ngw/gj</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: ③ - Bureau 1 - Philadelphia (77-5653) (SQ11)		77-49096-27	
		NOT RECORDED 14 AUG 17 1977	

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations <i>Spec. Inv.</i>
Agency	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General			
Request Recd.	General 8/24/77			
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

56 SEP 10 1977 95

A*
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of

Date: August 15, 1977

Office: Philadelphia, Pa.

b6
b7C

Field Office File #: 77-5653 (SQ11)

Bureau File #:

Title: DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT-ATTORNEY, OFFICE
OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

Synopsis:

Reference RALPH SPRITZER recommends.

-RUG-

REFERENCE

Details: On August 15, 1977, RALPH SPRITZER, Professor of Law, University of Pennsylvania Law School, Philadelphia, Pa., advised that he and the applicant worked together in the Solicitor General's Office in the late 1950's. Since that time, the two men have enjoyed continued contact, and SPRITZER considers the applicant to be a good friend.

SPRITZER described FRIEDMAN as a very able lawyer with an excellent character, conscientious and very highly regarded. SPRITZER added that he and others in the field of law have great respect for the applicant. He noted FRIEDMAN's reputation, associates and loyalty are excellent. SPRITZER has never heard any information which would reflect negatively on the applicant. SPRITZER concluded that FRIEDMAN was an outstanding lawyer and therefore would consider him qualified and recommend him for the position.


FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE NEW YORK	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 8/15/77	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 8/12/77
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY kmm
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI, ATTORNEY, OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	

REFERENCE

WFOtel to the Bureau and New York, dated 8/12/77.

-RUC-

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
								PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
3 - Bureau (RM) 1 - New York (77-12946)						77-4701-28 NOT RECORDED		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency	1 cc - Office of General Attorney							
Request Recd.	General 8/24/77							
Date Fwd.								
How Fwd.								
By								

-A*-

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

[Redacted]

Office: New York, New York

Date:

8/15/77

Field Office File #:

77-12946

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OFFICE OF THE
SOLICITOR GENERAL

Synopsis:

Reference recommends.

-RUC-

b6
b7C

NY 77-21946

DETAILS:

Reference

On August 12, 1977, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

advised he has known the applicant on social and professional levels for approximately ten years. He stated during the period 1969 to 1973, the applicant was the Senior Deputy Solicitor General with the United States Department of Justice, and he was a colleague and close friend of the applicant. He said the applicant has been with the Federal Government approximately thirty years, is a man of the highest integrity and ability, and is a citizen of unquestionable loyalty to the United States. He added he has the highest possible regard for the applicant, considering him excellent with regard to character and reputation, and also considering him one of the most distinguished attorneys in Washington, D.C. He stated he would have no hesitation in recommending the applicant for a position of trust and confidence with the Federal Government.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION


REPORTING OFFICE CHICAGO	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 8/15/77	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 8/12/77
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY meb
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI ATTORNEY OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	

REFERENCE: WFO teletype to Director 8/12/77.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE

Where appropriate, Privacy Act (e)(3) data was furnished to persons interviewed. Express promises of confidentiality, both limited and unlimited, have been noted where granted.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED <input type="checkbox"/> NONE						ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
APPROVED <i>WFB/JJG</i>						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
3 - Bureau (AM)						77-49096-29	
1 - Chicago (77-22262)						NOT RECORDED 14 AUG 17 1977	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations	
Agency	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General 8/24/77						
Request Recd.							
Date Fwd.							
How Fwd.							
By							

-A*-

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: SA [REDACTED]
Date: August 15, 1977

Office: CHICAGO

b6
b7c

Field Office File #: CG 77-22262

Bureau File #: _____

Title: DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
ATTORNEY
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

Synopsis: Reference comments favorably and recommends.

- RUC -

CG 77-22262

DETAILS:

REFERENCE

On August 12, 1977, [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]
advised that he has been acquainted with the applicant, both professionally and socially, for a period of 12 years. He advised he initially met the applicant and worked under him in the Solicitor General's Office for approximately two years. After leaving the Solicitor General's Office, he has maintained a social contact with the applicant over the last ten years.

He advised that in his opinion the applicant is a highly competent attorney who carries out his duties in a very professional manner. He stated that he knows nothing of a derogatory nature concerning the applicant's morals, character, integrity or reputation. He further added that he has known the applicant to only drink socially and never to excess. He stated that in his opinion the applicant is eminently qualified for the position he now maintains in the Solicitor General's Office.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 8/18/77	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 8/5-16/77
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY aih b6 b7c
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI	

St. Louis

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to WFO, 8/3/77;
St. Louis teletype to Director, 8/11/77;
WFO teletype to Director, 8/12/77.

-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

All persons contacted were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act. None requested confidentiality.

LEADWASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D.C. Report IRS and CSC. *let*

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			

APPROVED <i>NS/Rpw</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: ③ - Bureau 1 - WFO (77-105009)		77-49096-30	
		NOTED AUG 30 1977	

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations <i>7-5</i>
Agency	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General			
Request Recd.	General 8/24/77			
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By			A*	

56 SEP 1977

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA [REDACTED]

Office: Washington, D.C.

Date:

8/18/77

Field Office File #:

77-105009

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT

ATTORNEY

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

Synopsis: Employment verified. Applicant highly recommended.
Neighborhoods favorable. Close personal associates
recommend. Credit satisfactory. No arrest record
located. Admitted to Supreme Court bar, 5/17/48.

-F-

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D.C.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

FM:aih

1

EMPLOYMENT

United States Department of Justice (USDJ)

b6
b7c

On August 5, 1977, SC [] reviewed the applicant's official personnel file at the File Room, Office of the Associate Attorney General, USDJ. It listed his birth as February 8, 1916, New York City, and verified the following Government employment:

May 2, 1951	Entered on duty under excepted appointment as Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division, USDJ, Washington, D.C.
January 12, 1959	Reassigned to Office of the Solicitor General.
December 26, 1961	Promoted to Supervisory Trial Attorney, (Second Assistant to the Solicitor General), Office of the Solicitor General.
September 14, 1968	Designated Deputy Solicitor General.

He is currently serving in this capacity.

WFO 77-105009

FM:aih

1

b6
b7C

SC [] caused a search to be made of the files of the Department Security Staff, Office of Management and Finance, USDJ. She was informed on August 5, 1977, that applicant was satisfactorily processed under the provisions of Executive Order 10450 on October 13, 1953, on the basis of a full field background investigation. He was granted a Top Secret clearance on August 5, 1968, which is still in effect.

On August 16, 1977, SA [] interviewed WILDE H. MC CREE, JR., Solicitor General, United States Department of Justice (USDJ), who was not previously available for interview. He stated he has known and supervised the applicant for the past six months. Applicant is a superb legal craftsman and dedicated public servant. His legal ability is truly outstanding. He has observed applicant argue cases in the Supreme Court on many occasions, adding his demeanor and conduct are excellent. The applicant enjoys a fine reputation as an honest, scrupulous, and upstanding citizen with high rectitude. He is a loyal American who has the facility for getting the job done. He works long hours on a regular basis. The applicant handles the most complex legal matters and achieves the most effective results. He is an excellent leader and executive. Applicant is cooperative, thoughtful, stable, and upright, and his affable personality enables him to get along fine with others. He is a citizen who is discreet, reliable, and trustworthy. Mr. MC CREE highly recommended him for continued employment on important duties in the USDJ.

b6
b7C

On August 12, 1977, SA [] interviewed the following persons in the Office of the Solicitor General, USDJ:

b6
b7C

[] stated he has known the applicant as a close professional associate for the past ten years. He also knows him socially. He had high praise for applicant's intelligence and motivation, describing him as an outstanding lawyer and one of the most competent Supreme Court advocates he has ever met. Applicant is a valuable member of the team in the Office of the Solicitor General. [] has seen applicant argue cases in the Supreme Court and highly complimented his presentations and demeanor. The applicant is fair and considerate in all his dealings. He believes in equal rights for all people. [] vouched for his morals, reputation, and patriotism. Applicant listens to all sides of the problem before reaching a decision and he uses good judgement in his work. He is stable, sober, upright, and scrupulous. He displays an affable personality

b6
b7C

and is diplomatic in his approach. The applicant is balanced in his views and conducts himself as a gentleman at all times. His mature outlook enables him to function effectively and he can handle complex projects and achieve the most effective results. His executive and administrative ability is excellent.

[redacted] mentioned applicant's first wife died some years ago and he subsequently remarried. He considered his first wife to be a respectable citizen, adding applicant's present spouse is likewise an upstanding individual. [redacted] declared the applicant would be a credit to the USDO in a continued position of trust and responsibility and he so endorsed him without reservation.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated he has been acquainted with applicant for about five years. He described him as being an exceptionally competent and hard working attorney with a fine reputation. He exhibits a friendly and affable personality and is dependable and cooperative. He is scrupulous, stable, and energetic. His moral principles, associates, and loyalty to the country are above reproach. [redacted] recommended him for a high position in the Federal service.

b6
b7C

When interviewed, [redacted] [redacted] said the applicant has been known to her as a working associate for 12 years. He is an excellent lawyer who is completely trustworthy. Applicant is an upright and nobly motivated man who is objective and stands up well under pressure. He has a conscientious devotion to duty and exhibits a friendly personality. He is a forthright individual. She vouched for his loyalty and morals, adding he always conducts himself as a gentleman. [redacted] recommended him for a position of responsibility.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised he has been acquainted with applicant as a working associate for five years. He is impressed with his attitude, demeanor, and outlook. He is an outstanding attorney whose warm and pleasing personality enables him to get along fine with others. He is honest, forthright, and dedicated, and always conducts himself as a gentleman. He has high rectitude and is a loyal employee and citizen. Applicant is circumspect and cooperative. [redacted] feels he would be a credit to the Government in a continued position of responsibility and he so endorsed him without qualification.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated she has known the applicant as a working associate for eight years. He is a capable and upstanding lawyer with a conscientious devotion to duty. He displays a warm and friendly attitude and is neat in appearance. Applicant has poise and is fair and equitable in all his dealings. He enjoys an excellent reputation. His morals, patriotism, and associates are above question. [redacted] endorsed him for important duties in the USDJ.

b6
b7C

[redacted] said she has known the applicant as a co-worker for about ten years. He is a competent and hard working attorney who is well regarded by his associates. The applicant is pleasant, friendly, and cooperative. [redacted] has had no reason to doubt his personal habits, associates, or loyalty to the country. She recommended him for further employment in a position of trust and responsibility in the USDJ.

b6
b7C

The applicant was highly recommended for continued utilization on important and sensitive matters in the USDJ by [redacted]

[redacted] He has been acquainted with applicant for 15 years as a professional associate, adding he also knows him socially. He is highly impressed with applicant's intelligence and leadership qualities. Applicant is an outstanding lawyer who is fully knowledgeable in Supreme Court matters, and he is fair in all his dealings. He is open minded and listens to all sides of the problem. Applicant is highly regarded by USDJ personnel. He is even tempered, personable, and adept. Applicant has high professional skill with a conscientious devotion to duty. He is a good speaker with a fine command of the English language. He is a loyal American citizen with high moral principles and respectable associates. He is mature and stable and has a high sense of values. He willingly accepts responsibility and can quickly get to the heart of any problem. Mr. [redacted] believes that by experience and qualifications, the applicant is well suited for continued employment in a high position of trust and he so recommended him.

b6
b7C

He added that he is acquainted with applicant's wife and considers her to be an outstanding person.

On August 12, 1977, SA [] interviewed the following persons in the Antitrust Division, USDJ:

[]
Special Regulated Industries Section, advised applicant has been known to him as a professional associate since 1951. He is impressed with his demeanor, attitude, and outlook. He is an excellent attorney who is attentive to his responsibilities. Applicant is articulate, alert, and cheerful. He is positive in his thinking and exacting in his work. He made favorable comments concerning his loyalty and moral character. [] endorsed him for a position of trust and responsibility.

[] Judgements and Judgement Enforcement Section, has been acquainted with applicant for 26 years as a professional associate. Applicant is an extremely competent lawyer who is pleasant, helpful, reliable, and trustworthy. He works long hours on essential projects and is highly regarded by his associates. He praised applicant's intelligence and intellectual capacity. [] vouched for his moral character, associates, and patriotism. He endorsed him for a high Government post.

The applicant was recommended for a continued position of responsibility by []

[] Trial Section. For about 26 years he has been acquainted with him as a professional associate. He is a loyal, honest, and circumspect individual with an excellent reputation and a fine knowledge of the law. Applicant has an affable and outgoing personality and he is diligent and hard working. He is sober and reliable with a strong sense of responsibility. Concluding, [] declared he is aware of nothing unfavorable concerning him.

[] Trial Section, stated he knew the applicant as a close professional associate from 1951 to 1959. He has had only infrequent subsequent contact with him. He praised him as being an intelligent and able lawyer with a fine reputation. He is trustworthy, energetic, poised, and cheerful. The applicant is fair and equitable in all his dealings. His pleasant personality enables him to get along well with others. He is well dressed and projects a favorable image. He vouched for applicant's patriotism and moral character. [] recommended him for important duties.

RESIDENCES

2475 Virginia Avenue, N.W.
Apartment 321
Washington, D.C.

b6
b7C

On August 3, 1977, [REDACTED] Potomac Plaza Apartments, advised she is acquainted with applicant. She advised she no longer had records for the period of time applicant resided there but confirmed September, 1970 to October, 1975, was the approximate dates of his residence. She described him as an excellent tenant and nice person. She had no reason not to recommend applicant.

Canvassing of tenants in this high rise apartment building was prohibited.

3249 Newark Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

The following investigation was conducted by SA [REDACTED] on August 3, 1977:

b6
b7C

[REDACTED], has known applicant for approximately two years. He speaks to applicant frequently and has found him to be a nice, friendly person. He is extremely intelligent. He is acquainted with the applicant's wife and finds her to be a friendly, personable individual. He knows nothing derogatory concerning applicant's character, associates, reputation, or loyalty. He does not have first hand knowledge of applicant's legal abilities. He had no hesitation about recommending applicant for a position of trust and responsibility.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] advised he has known applicant since 1956. He worked with applicant at the Department of Justice. Applicant possesses excellent legal knowledge. He is intelligent, industrious, and conscientious. His personal character and conduct are above reproach. Nothing of a derogatory nature has ever come to his attention concerning applicant. He holds applicant in highest esteem and gave applicant his highest recommendation for any high legal position within the United States Government.

CLOSE PERSONAL ASSOCIATES

On August 8, 1977, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], advised he has known applicant since they were in law school together. Applicant possesses the highest level abilities. He is an outstanding attorney who is well thought of by his peers. He has impeccable integrity and honesty. He is a totally dedicated individual who is not adverse to working long hours. He has excellent courtroom demeanor and has excellent speaking ability. His personal character and reputation are impeccable and he is a totally loyal citizen. He feels applicant is a most capable individual and gave applicant an unqualified recommendation for continued service in any position requiring trust and responsibility.

b6
b7C

On August 12, 1977, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] advised he has known applicant for approximately twenty-five years. He first met applicant when applicant was working in the Department of Justice. He worked in the Office of the Solicitor General and as such had many dealings with applicant. Applicant is a very able attorney. He enjoys an excellent professional reputation. He is intelligent, industrious, and has a pleasing personality. He is very articulate and has excellent courtroom demeanor. He has never known applicant to conduct himself in any manner other than as a gentleman. His loyalty is without question. He gave applicant his highest recommendation.

b6
b7C

On August 15, 1977, Judge OSCAR H. DAVIS, United States Court of Claims, Washington, D.C., advised he has known applicant for at least twenty-five years. He first met applicant when he was in the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. Applicant is a superior attorney. He does not know of anyone any more qualified in antitrust matters. Applicant enjoys the highest personal and professional reputation. He is a fair and considerate individual. He has never heard anyone speak unfavorably of applicant. He is acquainted with applicant's wife. She enjoys an excellent reputation. He had no reservations about giving applicant his recommendation.

WFO 77-105009

FM:aih

1

CREDIT AND POLICE

SA [] caused a search to be made of the files of the Credit Bureau, Incorporated, Washington, D.C., and was advised on August 5, 1977, that the files contained a satisfactory credit record for the applicant.

b6
b7C

On August 5, 1977, SC [] determined that no arrest record was contained in the Metropolitan Police Department files concerning applicant or his wife.

b6
b7C

It is to be noted that at all times an indefinite number of unidentified records are out of file and not available for review.

b6
b7C

On August 5, 1977, SC [] determined that no record was contained in the Department of Motor Vehicles, Government of the District of Columbia files concerning the applicant or his wife.

b6
b7C

On August 5, 1977, SC [] searched the files of the United States Park Police and no identifiable adult criminal or traffic records could be located regarding the applicant or his wife.

MISCELLANEOUS

On August 8, 1977, SC [] determined that applicant was admitted on May 17, 1948, to practice before the United States Supreme Court.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE ALEXANDRIA	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 8/18/77	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 8/16/77
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER ^Q FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED] NJA	TYPED BY vjl
THIS DOES NOT COMPLETE THE INVESTIGATION. YOU WILL BE FURNISHED WITH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHEN IT IS RECEIVED.		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI ATTORNEY OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	

b6
b7c

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to WFO, 8/3/77.

- RUC -

ALEXANDRIA FILE WILL BE
DESTROYED IN 120 DAYS

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
								PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED <i>K/ser</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW
COPIES MADE: ③ - Bureau (77-49096) 1 - Alexandria (77-RG)		77-49096-31 NOT RECORDED 17 AUG 19 1977

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations <i>Spec. Inq.</i>
Agency	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General			
Request Recd.	General 8/24/77			
Date Fwd.				
How Fwd.				
By				

56 SEP 5 1977 595

A*
COVER PAGE

RECEIVED
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE
DIVISION

AUG 19 1 21 PM 1977

FBI
U. S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

FD 205 dated 8/15/77 From AY
Delayed to 8/22/77 Reason CIA outstanding
.....
.....

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA [REDACTED] /NJA

Office: Alexandria, Virginia

Date:

8/18/77

Field Office File #:

77-RG

Bureau File #: 77-49096

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
ATTORNEY, OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

Synopsis:

CIA files contain nothing derogatory concerning the applicant and no pertinent identifiable information concerning spouse.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

SC [REDACTED] caused a search to be made of the files of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), Langley, Virginia, and was advised on August 16, 1977, that the files contained no derogatory information concerning the applicant and no pertinent identifiable information concerning applicant's spouse, ELIZABETH M. ELLIS, nee MUELLER.

1*

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 8/24/77	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED]	TYPED BY jam
THIS COMPLETES THE INVESTIGATION.		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI	

REFERENCE: WFO report by SA [REDACTED],
dated August 18, 1977.

b6
b7C

-RUC-

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
								PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED <i>D5/77</i>						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
3-Bureau						77-105009-33		
1-WFO (77-105009)						NOT RECORDED		
						23 AUG 30 1977		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency	1cc to ASSOC AG 8/29/77					<i>7-58</i>		
Request Recd.								
Date Fwd.								
How Fwd.								
By								

56 SEP 5 1977

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

8/24/77

Office: Washington, D. C.

Field Office File #:

77-105009

Bureau File #: —

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
ATTORNEY, OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL

Synopsis:

No additional pertinent information at Civil Service Commission.

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.Civil Service Commission

On August 19, 1977, SC caused a search to be made of the files of the Bureau of Personnel Investigations, Civil Service Commission, and was advised that no additional pertinent information was found concerning the applicant.

b6
b7Cb6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Records Branch

, 19

- SPE**
- ☐ Name Searching Unit, 4543 JEH-FBI Bldg.
☐ Service Unit, 4654 JEH-FBI Bldg.
☒ Forward to File Review **612/810 3845**
☐ Attention
☐ Return to

Supervisor

Room

Ext.

Type of Search Requested:

- ☒ Restricted Search ~~Not~~ Applied
☒ All Reference (Subversive & Nonsubversive)
☐ Subversive Search
☐ Nonsubversive Search
☐ Main References Only

Special Instructions:

- ☐ Restricted to Locality of
☐ Exact Name Only (On the Nose)
☐ Buildup ☐ Variations

Subject Friedman, Daniel

Birthdate & Place

Address

Localities

R# 11 Date 2/22 Searcher Initials 525Prod. 2

FILE NUMBER

SERIAL

77-49096 IFriedman, Daniel, Mortimer(B20)Friedman, DanielNI 176-2308

FEB 24 1978

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Memorandum

TO : Director, FBI

DATE: 2/6/78

FROM: Philip H. Modlin
Deputy Associate
Attorney General

SUBJECT DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN	DATE OF BIRTH 2/8/16
POSITION Judge (CHECK ONE) Dep. S.G. Justice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EMPLOYEE <input type="checkbox"/> APPLICANT	OFFICE OR DIVISION (Location) U.S. Court of Claims TYPE OF POSITION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SENSITIVE <input type="checkbox"/> NON-SENSITIVE
ENTERED ON DUTY 1951	TYPE OF APPOINTMENT

☒ Please institute the usual character investigation in this matter and forward copies of the reports to this office.

☐ Please furnish results of Name Check and search of Identification Records prior to completion of investigation

Standard Forms 86 are attached. Please return the original signed copy with the final report of this investigation.

☐ Name Check Made

☐ Fingerprint Chart attached

☐ Please institute a name check of this individual whose Standard Form 171/86 is enclosed. The fingerprint chart is being forwarded to the Identification Building.

POS Code:

☐ Please discontinue the character investigation in this matter. Standard Form 86 submitted with our request should be returned to this office.

0 DAG-16's attached

0 AAG-17's attached

Please do full update. Copies of previous investigation are not needed.

1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General

ENCLOSURE

REC-36

77-49096-34

DOJ investigations furnished to Department
54 MAR 1978

FEB 22 1978

FORMERLY DJ-99 FORM DOJ-99
5-15-74

*Full
update*

ANSWERS OF DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN TO QUESTIONNAIRE REGARDING
QUALIFICATIONS FOR APPOINTMENT AS A JUDGE OF THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

1. Full name and social security number.

Daniel M. Friedman. 097-12-7912.

2. Office and home address, zip codes, telephone numbers
with area codes.

Office: Office of the Solicitor General,
Department of Justice,
Washington, D.C. 20530. (202) 739-2208.

Home: 3249 Newark Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008. (202) 966-4615.

3. Date and place of birth.

February 8, 1916. New York, New York.

4. Are you a naturalized citizen?

No.

5. Family status:

- (a) State whether you are single, married, widowed or
divorced. If married, state the date of marriage
and your spouse's full name.

Married. October 18, 1975. Elizabeth M. Ellis.
My previous marriage to Leah Lipson terminated with
her death in December 1969.

- (b) If divorced, state the date, the name of the moving
party, the court and the grounds.

Inapplicable.

Pg 1
ENCLOSURE

77-49096-84

- (c) Names of your children, with age, address and present occupation of each.

I have no children, but have four step-children by marriage.

6. Have you had any military service? If so, give dates, branch of service, rank, serial number and present status. If discharged, state character of discharge.

Yes. September 1942 to February 1946. Army of the United States, Quartermaster Corps. Master Sergeant. 33332990. Honorable discharge.

7. List each college and law school you attended, including dates of attendance, the degrees awarded and, if you left any institution without receiving a degree, the reason for leaving. Include the following:

- (a) College - major academic achievements and other significant activities.

Columbia College, A.B. 1937. Honors in government and history. Managing Board, Columbia Daily Spectator (Assistant Managing Editor). Also served on staff of Columbia Jester (humor magazine) and Columbian (yearbook).

- (b) Law School - major academic and other activities (e.g., Coif, Law Review, moot court, etc.)

Columbia Law School, LL.B. 1940. Editor, Columbia Law Review, 1938-1940. Second in class first year, first in class last year. Kent Scholar (honorary scholarship) 1938 and 1940.

8. List all courts in which you have been admitted to practice, with dates of admission. Give the same information for administrative bodies which require special admission to practice.

New York, 1941.

United States Supreme Court, 1948.

United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, 1955.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, 1957.

United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, 1959.

9. Describe chronologically your legal and other experience since your graduation from law school, including:

(a) whether you served as law clerk to a judge,

No.

(b) whether you practiced law alone;

I have never practiced alone.

(c) the dates, names and addresses of law firms or offices, companies, governmental agencies, or other organizations with which you have been connected, and the nature of your connection with each.

In private practice in New York, New York, 1940-1942:

1940-1941: Associated with Newman & Bisco,
29 Broadway.

1941-1942: Associated with I. N. Thurman,
393 Seventh Avenue (single practitioner).

1942-1951: Legal Staff, Securities and Exchange Commission, Philadelphia, Pa. and Washington, D.C. Served with the Public Utilities Division, the Opinion Writing Office, and as legal assistant to a Commissioner (Richard B. McEntire, deceased).

1951-1959: Appellate Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice. Became Assistant Chief of the Section in 1954.

1959-present: Office of the Solicitor General, Department of Justice. Served as Assistant to the Solicitor General, 1959-1962. Appointed Second Assistant to the Solicitor General in 1962 and First Assistant to the Solicitor General (now known as First Deputy Solicitor General) in 1968. That is the top staff post in the Office, directly under the Solicitor General.

(d) law teaching experience, including name of law school, dates, courses, and faculty rank.

None.

10. (a) If you have practiced law, what was or has been the general character of your practice, dividing it into periods with dates if its character has been changed over the years?

My private practice in New York City (1940-1942) was mainly corporate and banking work, and general business work with a single practitioner.

My work at the Securities and Exchange Commission (1942-1951) was in administrative, corporate and securities law. Some administrative litigation. Worked on major Commission opinions, and gave general legal assistance to a Commissioner.

My work at the Department of Justice has been exclusively appellate. In the Appellate Section of the Antitrust Division (1951-1959) I worked mainly on Supreme Court matters, but also handled a number of cases in the United States Courts of Appeals. Since joining the Office of the Solicitor General in 1959, I have worked exclusively on Supreme Court cases (other than reviewing recommendations whether the federal government should appeal cases it has lost in the lower courts to an appellate court, which is only a small part of the work of the Office).

A brief description of the functions of the Office of the Solicitor General may be helpful in putting my experience there in context and perspective. The primary function of the Solicitor General is representing the federal government before the Supreme Court. The Office has a small legal staff (ranging from 8 to 18 during the period I have been there). The lawyers' primary function is to prepare government briefs and other documents for filing in the Supreme Court. They frequently completely reshape and rewrite briefs that have been initially drafted in the operating divisions of the Department of Justice or in other departments and agencies. There are five deputies, each of whom has responsibility for particular subject matter. As the First Deputy, I have overall supervisory authority for the Office. During my years as a supervisor, I have performed reviewing functions for all types of government cases in the Supreme Court, including many in each of the areas within the jurisdiction of the Court of Claims: taxation, lands, Indian claims, civil claims against the United States, and government personnel.

I have argued more than 75 cases before the Supreme Court, and have reviewed and revised the briefs in several hundred. Many of these have been among the Court's major decisions in the past two decades.

- (b) Describe your typical clients, or former clients, and mention the areas, if any, in which you have specialized.

My clients have been the United States and its departments and agencies. My work has covered virtually all areas of federal law: administrative law (with particular emphasis on Federal Trade Commission, Federal Maritime Commission, Interstate Commerce Commission, National Labor Relations Board and Securities and Exchange Commission), antitrust, civil, criminal, environmental, government contracts and claims, lands, tax.

11. With respect to the last five years (or the last five years in which you practiced law):

- (a) Did you appear in court regularly, occasionally or not at all?

Regularly.

- (b) What percentage of these appearances was in
(1) Federal courts.

100 percent.

- (c) What percentage of your litigation was
(1) Civil.
(2) Criminal.

Approximately 90 percent civil and 10 percent criminal.

- (d) State the number of cases in trial courts of record you tried to verdict or judgment (rather than settled), indicating whether you were sole counsel, chief counsel or associate counsel.

None.

- (e) What percentage of these trials was
(1) Jury.
(2) Non-jury.

Inapplicable.

12. Summarize your experience in court prior to the foregoing five year period, indicating as to that period:

- (a) Whether your appearances in court were more or less frequent.

Approximately the same frequency from 1959 to 1972. Somewhat less frequent from 1951-1959, when I was in the Antitrust Division.

- (b) any significant changes in the percentages stated in your answers to question 11(b) and (c).

No significant changes.

- (c) any significant changes in the number of cases per year in courts of record you tried to verdict or judgment (rather than settled), as sole counsel, chief counsel or associate counsel.

Inapplicable.

13. Experience in litigation.

- (a) Describe ten of the most significant litigated matters which you personally handled, in either trial or appellate court, and give the citations, if the cases were reported. Give a capsule summary of the substance of each case, and a succinct statement of what you believe to be the particular significance of the case. Identify the party or parties whom you represented; describe in detail the nature of your participation in the litigation and the final disposition of the case. Also, state as to each case, the dates of the litigation, the name of the court(s) and the judges(s) by whom the case was heard and decided, and the individual name, address and telephone numbers of co-counsel and of counsel for each of the other parties.

All of the cases discussed in this question were in the Supreme Court. In most of them I had a major role in preparing the brief. I argued all of them.

1. Bates and O'Steen v. State Bar of Arizona, No. 76-316, decided June 27, 1977. This case involved the validity, under the First Amendment and the antitrust laws, of a rule of the Arizona Supreme Court prohibiting lawyers from advertising. The importance of the case was that it marked the first time the Supreme Court had considered the legality of a ban on lawyers' advertising.

I argued the case for the United States as amicus curiae. I played a relatively minor part in the preparation of the government's brief. The United States argued that the Arizona prohibition on lawyers' advertising was not compatible with the First Amendment because a complete ban on all such advertising was more sweeping than was necessary to accomplish permissible state objectives in regulating the profession; but that the prohibition was immune from challenge under the antitrust laws because it was "state action." The Supreme Court basically took those positions.

Bates and O'Steen were represented by William C. Canby, Jr., 413 E. Loyola Drive, Tempe, Arizona 85282, and the State Bar of Arizona was represented by John P. Frank of Lewis & Roca, 100 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85003.

2. E. I. duPont de Nemours & Co. v. Train, No. 75-978, decided February 23, 1977. The issues were: (1) Whether the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency has authority under the Federal Water Pollution Control Amendments of 1972 to promulgate for industrial categories binding numerical limits upon the amount of effluents that individual plants may discharge into navigable waters. The companies contended that the Administrator could only establish general guidelines for the different industrial categories, and then apply those guidelines to specify permissible limits for particular dischargers in the permits he would issue to them. (2) Whether the courts of appeals rather than the district courts have jurisdiction to review the Administrator's effluent limitations. The significance of the case is that under the petitioners' interpretation of the statute, the ability of the Administrator to meet

the deadlines Congress set for complying with the generalized standards it prescribed for reducing and eliminating water pollution would have been seriously handicapped by the protracted litigation that would have resulted.

I represented the Administrator. I had a major role in preparing the brief; much of the analysis in it is mine; and I wrote a major part of it. The Court held that the Administrator may promulgate binding effluent limitations, and that the courts of appeals and not the district courts have jurisdiction to review those limitations.

The petitioners were represented by Robert C. Barnard of Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton, 1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Telephone: Area Code 202, 223-2151.

3. Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1 (January 30, 1976). This was a major case involving the constitutionality of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971. It involved the validity of the Act's limitations upon individual campaign contributions and upon campaign spending, its requirement for reporting and public disclosure of contributions and spending, its provision for public funding of Presidential campaign activities, and its creation of the Federal Election Commission to administer and enforce the Act. The Court upheld the contribution limitations, the reporting and disclosure requirements and the provision for public financing of Presidential campaigns, upheld in part but invalidated in part the limitations on campaign expenditures and held that the Federal Election Commission was invalidly constituted. The importance of the case lies in the fundamental and major changes the Act made in the methods for financing American political campaigns for federal office.

I had a significant, although not the major role in the preparation of the government's brief supporting the constitutionality of the statute, and I argued the case in behalf of the Attorney General and the Federal Election Commission (except with regard to the validity of the creation of the Commission). Four lawyers argued in support of the Act's constitutionality: Lloyd N. Cutler of Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering, 1666 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Telephone: Area code 202, 872-6000; Archibald Cox, Langdell Hall, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138;

Ralph S. Spritzer, 3400 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174, and myself. Three lawyers argued against the validity of the Act: Ralph K. Winter, Jr., 127 Wall Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06520; Joel M. Gora, American Civil Liberties Union, 22 East 40th Street, New York, New York 10016; and Brice M. Clagett, of Covington & Burling, 888 - 16th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Telephone: Area code 202, 452-6306.

4. Administrator, Federal Aviation Administration v. Robertson, 422 U.S. 255 (1975). The question in this case was whether Exemption 3 of the Freedom of Information Act, which exempted from the disclosure requirements of that Act material "specifically exempted from disclosure by statute," applied where the statute providing exemption from disclosure left it to the discretion of the agency to decide whether to disclose. The case was important because there were approximately 100 statutes that provided exemptions from disclosure for various federal agencies, with different degrees of discretion and various standards for disclosure.

I represented the Administrator, and developed the basic theory upon which the government presented the case to the Supreme Court: that in Exemption 3 Congress intended to continue in effect the 100 statutes providing some form of exemption from disclosure. The Supreme Court so held.

The respondent was represented by Alan B. Morrison, 2000 P Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Telephone: Area code 202, 785-3704.

5. United States v. General Dynamics Corp., 415 U.S. 486 (1974). This was a major antitrust case in which the United States challenged, as a violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, the acquisition by a leading coal mining company in the midwest of another large coal company there. The case was important because it raised the question whether the Supreme Court would apply the principle developed in other merger cases that a merger producing a significant increase in the market share of the acquiring company in a concentrated industry was prima facie invalid. The Supreme Court held that the merger did not violate Section 7, primarily because it concluded that the government's data showing the substantial market shares of coal production that each of the merging companies held were refuted by evidence that in the coal industry the critical indicia of concentration related not to coal production but to coal reserves.

I played a major part in shaping and writing the government's brief, which framed the case so as to avoid the appearance of making a direct attack on the evidentiary basis of the district court's numerous detailed findings.

The appellee was represented by Reuben L. Hedlund of Kirkland & Ellis, 2900 Prudential Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60611.

6. United States v. Glaxo Group Ltd., 410 U.S. 52 (1973). There were two questions in this civil antitrust case: (1) whether the Attorney General may challenge the validity of patents that are involved in an antitrust violation, where the defendants do not rely upon the patents as a defense, and (2) whether the district court improperly refused, as part of the relief it ordered, to require compulsory licensing under the patents and mandatory sales of the patented product. The case was important because the authority of the Attorney General to challenge the patents was uncertain--earlier Supreme Court decisions seemingly had indicated that he could set aside a patent only because of fraud in its procurement--and the right of the government to obtain compulsory licensing and mandatory sales had not been established. The Supreme Court held in the government's favor on both issues.

I rewrote the government's brief from an earlier draft, and I developed the legal theories and arguments that the brief presented.

The appellees in the case was represented by Henry P. Sailer of Covington & Burling, 888 - 16th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Telephone: Area code 202, 452-6116, and Sigmund Timberg, 815 - 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. Telephone: Area code 202, 393-1074.

7. Richardson v. Perales, 402 U.S. 389 (1971). The question was whether written reports by physicians of medical examinations they made of claimants for social security disability benefits may constitute substantial evidence to support the finding of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare that the claimant was not disabled, where the physicians making the reports did not testify and the only live testimony at the hearing was that the claimant was disabled. The question was important because the Secretary made wide use of and relied heavily upon such written

reports, and was concerned that outstanding physicians would be reluctant to examine claimants if they would also be required to testify at the hearing. The Supreme Court held that the physicians' reports constituted substantial evidence of disability.

Although I did not play a major role in the writing of the government's brief, my oral argument was somewhat unusual. In preparation for the argument, I attended a hearing in a social security disability case. As a result, in response to questions I was able to describe the procedures at the hearing--a presentation that apparently satisfied the Court that the procedures of the Secretary were essentially fair.

The claimant in the case was represented by Richard Tinsman, 1907 National Bank of Commerce Building, San Antonio, Texas 78205.

8. Investment Company Institute v. Camp, 401 U.S. 617 (1971). The question was whether the Glass-Steagall Banking Act of 1933 prohibits a national bank from operating a mutual investment fund for its customers. The Comptroller of the Currency by regulation had authorized national banks to operate such a fund. The question was important because national banks had indicated a strong interest in operating such funds, while the investment company industry opposed them. The Supreme Court held that the Glass-Steagall Act does not permit such funds, and that the Comptroller's authorizing regulation therefore was invalid.

I appeared for the Comptroller of the Currency in defense of his regulation. I had a major role in writing the government's brief.

Archibald Cox, Langdell Hall, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, represented the First National City Bank, the organization seeking to operate the fund, and also supported the Comptroller's regulation. The petitioners were represented by G. Duane Vieth of Arnold & Porter, 1229 - 19th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. Telephone: Area code 202, 872-6700.

9. United States v. Grinnell Corp., 384 U.S. 563 (1966). This was a major civil antitrust case in which the United States charged that Grinnell Corp. had monopolized the central station protective service business by acquiring the three leading firms in the industry, in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act. The case presented a number of complex and difficult issues including the selection of the appropriate geographic and product markets for determining the existence of monopoly power, the propriety of various forms of relief the district court had ordered to cure the violations it found, and the defendants' claim that the trial judge — should have recused himself because he was personally biased and prejudiced against them. The Supreme Court upheld the district court's decision that Grinnell had illegally monopolized the industry, sustained (with one minor exception, which the United States did not defend) the relief ordered, and ruled that the district judge was not biased and prejudiced. The case is important because of the further light it shed on the elements of and bases for determining monopolization, and its recognition of the discretion of the district court to enter broad relief in monopolization cases.

I had a major role in writing the extensive government brief, in which a number of important legal theories were developed.

The defendants were represented by John F. Sonnett of Cahill, Gordon, Reindel & Ohl, 80 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005 (Mr. Sonnett is deceased); Macdonald Flynn of White & Case, 14 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005; John W. Drye, Jr. of Kelly, Drye, Newhall, Maginnes & Warren, 350 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022, and J. Francis Hayden of Stickles, Hayden, Kennedy, Hort & Van Steenburgh, 36 West 44th Street, New York, New York 10036.

10. United States v. Loew's Incorporated, 371 U.S. 38 (1962). This case involved the legality, under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, of "block booking" of motion pictures for television exhibition. Under the industry practice, motion picture distributors required television stations to accept a "package" containing a number of films selected by the distributors, and refused to license films on an individual basis. The case was important because the outcome would determine the method by which motion pictures would be distributed for television showing throughout the country. The Supreme Court held that the "block-booking"

practices violated the Sherman Act, and directed the district court to enter broad relief, including the requirement that the defendants price films individually and offer them on a picture-by-picture basis.

I had a major part in preparing the government's brief, and developed the legal arguments made.

The defendants were represented by Louis Nizer, 1501 Broadway, New York, New York 10036; Myles J. Lane, 19 East 70th Street, New York, New York; Mervin C. Pollak, 733 Third Avenue, New York, New York 20017, and Justin M. Golenbock, 60 East 42nd Street, New York, New York.

(b) In addition to the appellate litigation that may be described in your response to the preceding inquiry, list other appeals (not to exceed 10) which you personally have handled, giving the name of the case, the court, the date of decision, and the citation if reported. As to each, indicate whether you wrote the brief or presented oral argument. Omit cases in which you had only a small part and did not either write most of the brief yourself or present oral argument.

1. National Labor Relations Board v. Sears, Roebuck & Co., 421 U.S. 132 (1975) (argument).

2. United States v. Marine Bancorporation, 418 U.S. 602 (1974) (brief and argument).

3. Arnett v. Kennedy, 416 U.S. 134 (1974) (argument).

4. Ford Motor Co. v. United States, 405 U.S. 562 (1972) (brief and argument).

5. Tilton v. Richardson, 403 U.S. 672 (1971) (argument).

6. United States v. Phillipsburg National Bank & Trust Co., 399 U.S. 350 (1970) (brief and argument).

7. Citizen Publishing Co. v. United States, 394 U.S. 131 (1969) (argument).

8. Atlantic Refining Co. v. Federal Trade Commission, 381 U.S. 357 (1965) (brief and argument).

9. Platt v. Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co.,
376 U.S. 240 (1964) (brief and argument).

10. Malone v. Bowdoin, 369 U.S. 643 (1962) (argument).

14. (a) Have you ever held judicial office?

No.

15. Have you ever held public office other than judicial office?

No.

16. Have you ever been an unsuccessful candidate for elective, judicial, or other public office?

No.

17. Have you ever been engaged in any occupation, business or profession other than the practice of law, law teaching, or holding judicial or other public office?

No.

18. Are you now an officer or director or otherwise involved in the management of any business enterprise?

No.

19. Have you ever been arrested, charged, or held by federal, state, or other law enforcement authorities for violation of any federal law or regulation, state law or regulation, county or municipal law, regulation or ordinance?

No.

20. Have you, to your knowledge, ever been under federal, state or local investigation for possible violation of a criminal statute?

No.

B-14

21. Has a tax lien or other collection procedure ever been instituted against you by federal, state or local authorities?

No.

22. Have you ever been sued by a client?

No.

23. Have you ever been a party or otherwise involved in any other legal proceedings?

No.

24. Have you ever been disciplined or cited for a breach of ethics or unprofessional conduct by, or been the subject of a complaint to, any court, administrative agency, bar association, disciplinary committee, or other professional group?

No.

25. (a) What is the present state of your health?

Excellent.

(b) Have you in the past ten years (i) been hospitalized due to injury or illness or (ii) been prevented from working due to injury or illness or otherwise incapacitated for a period in excess of ten days? If so, give the particulars, including the causes, the dates, the places of confinement, and the present status of the conditions which caused the confinement or incapacitation.

Yes. In the past ten years I have undergone four operations for repair of an inguinal hernia. The original repair of a double hernia was done at George Washington University Hospital in Washington, D.C. in April and May 1969. Repairs were done at the same hospital in January 1970 and August 1971. The last repair was done in July 1974 at Fairview General Hospital in Cleveland, Ohio, by a senior surgeon formerly with

the Cleveland Clinic, who is particularly skilled in repairing hernias. Since then, I have had no problems, and recent checks have indicated that the repair is solid and tight.

- (c) Do you suffer from any impairment of eyesight or hearing or any other physical handicap?

No.

- (d) When did you have your most recent general physical examination and who was the supervising physician?

August 1977. Dr. Herbert A. Moskovitz, 1145 - 19th Street, N.W., Suite 702, Washington, D.C. 20036.

- (e) Are you currently under treatment for an illness or physical condition?

No.

- (f) Have you ever been treated for or had any problem with alcoholism or any related condition associated with consumption of alcoholic beverages or any other form of drug addiction or dependency?

No.

- (g) Have you ever been treated for or suffered from any form of mental illness?

No.

26. Attach a list of (a) all of your writings which have been published in a law review, law journal, or other legal periodical, (b) all writings which have been published in any other publication of general circulation, (c) all published books which you have written. Give title, full citation, and date of each. Furnish five examples of legal articles, books, briefs, or other legal writings which reflect your personal work. If briefs are submitted, submit only those which were written largely or entirely by you; indicate degree to which they represent your original work.

Articles; "Field Warehousing," 42 Columbia Law Review
991 (1942).

"SEC Regulation of Corporate Proxies," 63
Harvard Law Review 796 (1950).

"Expenses of Corporate Proxy Contests,"
51 Columbia Law Review 951 (1951).

I have not published any articles in non-legal periodicals,
or published any books.

The five briefs enclosed are ones in which I had a major
role in developing and shaping the argument, and which I
rewrote from a previous draft. They fairly reflect my
written legal work.

27. If you have served as a full-time member of a university
law faculty, describe any significant committee work,
institutional assignments, or other institutional contri-
butions while a faculty member.

Inapplicable.

28. List all bar associations and professional organizations
of which you are a member and give the titles and dates
of any offices which you have held in such groups. List
also chairmanships of any committees in bar associations
and professional societies, and memberships on any committees
which you believe to be of particular significance in
relation to legal ability and interests and qualifications
for Court of Claims office.

American Bar Association.

Federal Bar Association.

American Law Institute.

I have not held office in any bar association or professional
organization, and have not served on any committees that
would be significant in evaluating my qualifications for the
Court of Claims.

29. List all organizations and clubs other than bar associations or professional associations or professional societies of which you are or have been a member, including civic, charitable, religious, educational, social and fraternal organizations. If you are no longer a member, indicate approximate date your membership ceased.

Washington Ethical Society.
Woodley House (1968-1971).
Friends of Kennedy Center.
American Philatelic Society.
U.S. Philatelic Classics Society.
The Cosmos Club.

30. List any honors, prizes, awards or other forms of recognition which you have received (including any indication of academic distinction in college or law school) other than those mentioned in answers to the foregoing questions.

Attorney General's Exceptional Service Award (the highest award the Department of Justice gives) (1969).

Justice Tom C. Clark Award of the District of Columbia Chapter of the Federal Bar Association, presented annually to an outstanding career government lawyer (1976).

National Civil Service Career Service Award, presented annually to ten persons in the federal service (1976).

31. State any other information which may reflect positively or adversely on you, or which you believe should be disclosed in connection with consideration of you for nomination for the United States Court of Claims.

During my 26 years in the Department of Justice, and particularly during the 18 years I have been in the Office of the Solicitor General, I have developed a broad knowledge of all areas of federal law. I am sensitive to and thoroughly familiar with the policy considerations that control and determine the interpretation and application of that law in a wide variety of different circumstances. In the almost 15 years during which I have been in one of the two top supervisory posts in the Office, I have had a broad overview of the broader implications and concepts of federal law. As First Deputy Solicitor General, I have been delegated

Pg 18.

increasingly greater responsibility by the Solicitor General. While Solicitor General Bork was Acting Attorney General in late 1973 and early 1974, I ran the Office and was in effect the Solicitor General. I served as Acting Solicitor General for more than two months in the interval between the resignation of Solicitor General Bork in January 1977 and the assumption of office by Solicitor General McCree.

32. State, if you wish, the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of three persons who are well acquainted with your legal ability.

Judge Oscar H. Davis, United States Court of Claims,
717 Madison Place, Washington, D.C. 20005. Telephone:
Area code 202, 633-7294.

I hereby waive any privilege of confidentiality I may have concerning information which the Panel may desire to obtain from any source concerning my qualifications for judicial office. I specifically authorize all institutions, organizations, schools, physicians, hospitals, and individuals to make available to the Panel, any information concerning me which the Panel may request.

Daniel M. Friedman

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN

September 7, 1977.

TELETYPE

PRIORITY

CLEAR

FEBRUARY 7, 1978

FM DIRECTOR {77-49096}

TO WASHINGTON FIELD {77-105009} PRIORITY

CLEVELAND PRIORITY

NEW HAVEN PRIORITY

BT

C L E A R

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE,
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS, BUDED: FEBRUARY 17, 1978,
WITHOUT FAIL. {B}

REBUTEL CALL TO WASHINGTON FIELD FEBRUARY 7, 1978.

APPLICANT BORN FEBRUARY 8, 1916, NEW YORK, NEW YORK.
SSAN 097 12 7912. MARRIED OCTOBER 18, 1975, TO ELIZABETH M.
ELLIS. PREVIOUSLY MARRIED TO LEAH LIPSON, DECEASED,
DECEMBER, 1969.

RESIDENCES: AT PRESENT, 3249 NEWARK STREET, N. W.,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

EMPLOYMENT: 1959 TO PRESENT, OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Adm. Serv. _____
Crim. Inv. _____
Fin. & Pers. _____
Ident. _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Insp. _____
Rec. Mgnt. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Tech. Servs. _____
Training _____
Public Affs. Off. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director's Sec'y _____

NEG:KSW {VII}

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
FEB 07 1978
RECEIVED

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

FEB 07 1978

TELETYPE

TELETYPE UNIT RETURN TO

ROOM 3845

54 MAY 1 1978

b6
b7c

PAGE TWO {77-49096} CLEAR

RELATIVES: WIFE, ELIZABETH M. ELLIS. APPLICANT HAS
FOUR STEPCHILDREN BY MARRIAGE.

REFERENCES:

BUSINESS

JUDGE OSCAR H. DAVIS,

BUSINESS - UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS, 717 MADISON PLACE,
WASHINGTON, D. C.; BUSINESS -

MISCELLANEOUS: APPLICANT HAS UNDERGONE FOUR OPERATIONS
FOR REPAIR OF AN INGUINAL HERNIA, AT GEORGE WASHINGTON
UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL IN WASHINGTON, D. C., IN APRIL AND MAY,
1969; JANUARY, 1970; AND AUGUST, 1971. LAST REPAIR DONE IN
JULY, 1974, AT FAIRVIEW GENERAL HOSPITAL, CLEVELAND, OHIO.

PERSONAL PHYSICIAN:

LAST

EXAMINATION IN AUGUST, 1977.

PRIOR DAPLIS CONDUCTED, LAST BEING IN AUGUST, 1977.
BRING UP TO DATE.

WASHINGTON FIELD: INTERVIEW APPLICANT FOR COMPLETE BACK-
GROUND DATA, INCLUDING FULL NAME, IF NECESSARY. INCLUDE
RESULTS IN REPORT, AS WELL AS TABLE OF CONTENTS. ALL

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

PAGE THREE {77-49096} CLEAR

ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING SUMMERS AND PERIODS OF UNEMPLOYMENT SINCE PRIOR INVESTIGATION MUST BE ACCOUNTED FOR. SECURE IDENTITY ALL ORGANIZATIONS OF WHICH HE HAS BEEN OR IS PRESENTLY A MEMBER. LIST THESE UNDER HEADING "ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATIONS" NEAR BEGINNING OF REPORT. ASCERTAIN WHETHER APPLICANT IS SERVING AS AN OFFICER, DIRECTOR, OR EMPLOYEE OF ANY CORPORATION ORGANIZED FOR PROFIT. VERIFY. HAVE EDUCATIONAL RELEASE AND TAX WAIVER FORMS EXECUTED AND PROMPTLY FORWARD ORIGINAL OF LATTER TO BUREAU. FORWARD COPY OF RELEASE TO CLEVELAND FOR MEDICAL RECORDS CHECK.

SUTEL BUREAU IDENTITY AND BIRTH DATA OF ANY DECEASED CLOSE RELATIVES. INTERVIEW PERSONAL PHYSICIAN, PRESIDENTS OF LOCAL BARS, ATTORNEYS WHO HAVE OPPOSED APPLICANT, NUMBER OF MEMBERS OF OPPOSING POLITICAL PARTIES. ALSO INTERVIEW LABOR OFFICIALS, RELIGIOUS LEADERS, ATTORNEYS, MINORITY AND ETHNIC REPRESENTATIVES, AND APPROPRIATE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS, AS WELL AS FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL JUDGES. IF APPLICANT HAD HISTORY OF FINANCIAL

PAGE FOUR {77-49096} CLEAR

TROUBLE, ASSURE THAT APPROPRIATE RECORDS ARE CHECKED FOR LOCAL AND STATE TAX LIENS OUTSTANDING AGAINST HIM.

IF APPLICANT OWNS, OR HAS OWNED ANY REAL PROPERTY, DETERMINE WHETHER PROPERTY ENCUMBERED WITH ANY COVENANTS PERTAINING TO RACE, CREED, COLOR, OR RELIGION. DETERMINE IF GROUPS TO WHICH THE APPLICANT BELONGS OR HAS BELONGED DISCRIMINATE BECAUSE OF RACE OR RELIGION.

SUTEL ALEXANDRIA INFORMATION CONCERNING FOREIGN TRAVEL.
WASHINGTON FIELD: THIS IS A PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT.
ALSO CHECK INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE.

ALL OFFICES: IDENTIFY ALL CLOSE RELATIVES, DISCREETLY ASCERTAIN REPUTATION, VERIFY CURRENT RESIDENCES OF LIVING CLOSE RELATIVES, AND CONDUCT ARREST CHECKS. VERIFY BIRTH FROM BUREAU OF VITAL STATISTICS.

FOLLOW DAPLI INSTRUCTIONS.

WHERE APPROPRIATE, VERIFY ADMISSION TO STATE AND LOCAL BARS, AND CHECK GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE RECORDS. ALSO CHECK NEWSPAPER MORGUES FOR ANY PERTINENT INFORMATION.

BUREAU DEADLINE AS SET FORTH ABOVE MEANS THE REPORT MUST BE RECEIVED AT THE BUREAU ON THAT DATE OR PRIOR THERETO.

BT

W F0293 0402250Z

PP HI BS NH NY PH

DE WF

P 092250Z FEB 78

FM WASHINGTON FIELD (77-105009) (P)

TO DIRECTOR PRIORITY

BOSTON PRIORITY

NEW HAVEN PRIORITY

NEW YORK PRIORITY (NY VIA FBIHQ)

PHILADELPHIA PRIORITY

9 FEB 78 23 01z

RECEIVED
FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep. AD Adm.	_____
Dep. AD Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Adm. Serv.	_____
Crim. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Insp.	_____
Intell.	_____
Lab.	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Plan. & Insp.	_____
Rec. Mgmt.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Tech. Servs.	_____
Training	_____
Public Affs. Off.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director's Sec'y	_____

Emp Sec & Spec
100 Section
3823 JER TL# 231

BT

CLEAR

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE,
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS, BUDED FEBRUARY 17, 1978,
WITHOUT FAIL. (B)

REFERENCE BUREAU TELETYPE TO WASHINGTON FIELD DATED
FEBRUARY 7, 1978.

APPLICANT INTERVIEWED FEBRUARY 9, 1978, AND FURNISHED
THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION.

BIRTH: FEBRUARY 8, 1916, AT NEW YORK, NEW YORK.

MARITAL STATUS: MARRIED OCTOBER 18, 1975, AT WASHINGTON,

D. C., TO ELIZABETH M. ELLIS. FORMER WIFE LEAH LIPSON,

TELETYPED TO:
NY 291
54 MAY 1 1978

100 DESTROYED

G-18

PAGE TWO WF 77-105009 CLEAR

BORN JANUARY 1, 1912, AT FAIRMONT, VIRGINIA, DECEASED
DECEMBER 12, 1969.

RESIDENCE: 1975 TO PRESENT, 3249 NEWARK STREET, N.W.,
WASHINGTON, D. C.

EMPLOYMENT: 1959 TO PRESENT, FIRST DEPUTY SOLICITOR
GENERAL, OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL, DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC).

REFERENCES AND SOCIAL ACQUAINTANCES:

[REDACTED] YALE LAW SCHOOL, NEW HAVEN,
CONNECTICUT.

b6
b7C

JUDGE OSCAR H. DAVIS, UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS,
717 MADISON PLACE, WDC.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

PAGE THREE WF 77-105009 CLEAR

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CO-COUNSELS:

ARCHIBALD COX, LANGDELL HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS.

✓ RALPH S. SPRITZER, 3400 CHESTNUT STREET, PHILADELPHIA,
PENNSYLVANIA.

LLOYD N. CUTLER, 1666 K STREET, N.W., WDC.

OPPOSITION COUNSELS:

[REDACTED]

ORGANIZATIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, FEDERAL BAR ASSOCIATION,
WASHINGTON ETHICAL SOCIETY, WOODLEY HOUSE (1968 TO 1971),
FRIENDS OF KENNEDY CENTER, AMERICAN PHILATELIC SOCIETY,
UNITED STATES PHILATELIC SOCIETY, THE COSMOS CLUB.

APPLICANT IS ALSO ON THE BOARD OF VISITORS, CHICAGO
UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

HE HOLDS NO OFFICER'S POSITIONS NOR IS HE AN OFFICER
OR DIRECTOR IN ANY COMPANY OR ORGANIZATION ORGANIZED FOR
PROFIT.

b6
b7C

PAGE FOUR WF 77-105009 CLEAR

MISCELLANEOUS:

PERSONAL PHYSICIAN: [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

[REDACTED] APPLICANT STATES HIS HEALTH
IS EXCELLENT.

PROPERTY OWNED: APPLICANT'S CURRENT RESIDENCE IS IN
THE NAME OF HIS WIFE. APPLICANT AND WIFE JOINTLY OWN A
HOUSE ON OFF SLOUGH ROAD, EDGARTOWN, MASSACHUSETTS. THE
PROPERTY IS MANAGED BY AVERY AND COMPANY, EDGARTOWN.

APPLICANT ADVISED HE IS CURRENT IN FILING HIS DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA AND FEDERAL INCOME TAXES FOR THE PAST THREE
YEARS AND THAT HE HAS NEVER BELONGED TO ANY GROUP OR
ORGANIZATION WHICH HAS DISCRIMINATED BECAUSE OF RACE OR
RELIGION.

RECEIVING OFFICES FOLLOW DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
INSTRUCTIONS.

PAGE FIVE WF 77-105009 CLEAR

FOR INFORMATION OF NEW YORK, PHILADELPHIA AND BOSTON,
PRIOR DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT COMPLETED AUGUST, 1977.

NEW YORK VERIFY BIRTH THROUGH BUREAU OF VITAL
STATISTICS.

WASHINGTON FIELD FORWARDING RELEASE TO CLEVELAND BY
FACSIMILE.

BT

WFO450 0461830Z

PP/HQ NO

DE WF

P 151830Z FEB 78

FM WASHINGTON FIELD (77-10569200NCP) (77-105009) (P)

(77-105691) (P)

TO DIRECTOR ROUTINE

NEW ORLEANS PRIORITY

BT

CLEAR

HAROLD HERMAN GREENE, DAPLI, U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE, DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA (WFO 77-105692)

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, DAPLI, JUDGE, U. S. COURT OF
CLAIMS, (WFO 77-105009)

ABOVE CASES BUDED: FEBRUARY 17, 1978, (B) WITHOUT FAIL.

REBUTELETYPES TO WASHINGTON FIELD, FEBRUARY 7, 1978.

FOR INFORMATION OF NEW ORLEANS, HAROLD HERMAN GREENE,
BORN FEBRUARY 6, 1923, FRANKFURT, GERMANY IS CURRENTLY
THE CHIEF-JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT, WASHINGTON, D. C. (WDC)

TREAT AS ORIGINAL

54 MAY 1 1978

15 FEB 78 18 36

RECEIVED
FEDERAL BUREAU
OF INVESTIGATION

Assoc. Dir.	
Dep. AD Adm.	
Dep. AD Inv.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Adm. Serv.	
Crim. Inv.	
Ident.	
Insp.	
Intell.	
Lab.	
Legal Coun.	
Plan. & Insp.	
Rec. Mgmt.	
Tech. Servs.	
Training	
Public Affs. Off.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director's Sec'y	

b6
b7C

Emp Sec & Spec
Inq Section
3823 JEH TL# 231

b6
b7C

NOT RECORDED

20 APR 26 1978

6-18

PAGE TWO WF 77-105692 CLEAR

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, BORN FEBRUARY 8, 1916,
NEW YORK, NEW YORK IS CURRENTLY FIRST DEPUTY SOLICITOR
GENERAL, SOLICITOR GENERALS OFFICE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, WDC.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] THE D. C. BAR

(D. C. UNIFIED BAR) WILL HAVE TO BE CONTACTED REGARDING THE
ABOVE APPLICANTS PER DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT INSTRUCTIONS.

b6
b7C

WASHINGTON FIELD HAS DETERMINED THAT [REDACTED] IS
CURRENTLY ATTENDING A MEETING OF THE AMERICAN BAR
ASSOCIATION IN NEW ORLEANS. HE CAN BE CONTACTED IN THE
MORNING AT TELEPHONE NUMBER [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
LEAD: NEW ORLEANS: CONTACT [REDACTED] AND
SECURE COMMENTS REGARDING THE THREE APPLICANTS.

BUREAU DEADLINE AS SET FORTH ABOVE MEANS THAT THE REPORT
MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE BUREAU ON THAT DATE OR PRIOR THERETO.

BT

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE NEW YORK	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE FEB 15 1978	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/10 - 2/14/78	b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY dc	
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI - JUDGE US COURT OF CLAIMS		

REFERENCE:

WFO teletype to NY, 2/9/78.

- RUC -

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
APPROVED						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
3- Bureau 1- New York (77-12946)						77-12946 38		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency						<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 100px; position: relative;"> X </div>		
Request Recd.								
Date Fwd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney							
How Fwd.	cc. rel 2-24-78							
By								

- A* -
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

Date:

Office:

New York, New York

b6
b7C

Field Office File #:

FEB 15 1978

77-12946

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT -
JUDGE
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

Birth verified.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

NY 77-12946

Birth

On February 13, 1978, SC [REDACTED] caused the records of the Bureau of Vital Statistics, New York, New York to be searched and advised that the applicant was born on February 8, 1916 at New York, New York. The applicant's parents were listed as HENRY M. FRIEDMAN, place of birth United States, age 38, and JULIA FRIEDMAN, place of birth Russia, age 34.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE Philadelphia	OFFICE OF ORIGIN Bureau	DATE 2/15/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/13/78	b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY mvd	
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI, Judge, U.S. Court of Claims		

REFERENCE

WFO Teletype to Director on 2/9/78.
-RUC-

ADMINISTRATIVE

All persons contacted during the course of this interview were advised of the confidentiality Provision of the Privacy Act of 1974 and none requested confidentiality.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CON VIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
							PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED <i>ngw/gj</i>	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
COPIES MADE: ③-Bureau 1-Philadelphia (77-5653) (SQ 11)		77-49096-39 NOT RECORDED 22 FEB 16 1978 Spec. <i>[initials]</i>	

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				Notations
Agency				
Request Recd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney			
Date Fwd.	General J. - 24-78			
How Fwd.				
By				

14 MAY 1 1978

A*
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

[REDACTED]

Office: PHILADELPHIA

b6
b7C

Date:

FEBRUARY 15, 1978

Field Office File #: 77-5653 (SQ 11)

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT-JUDGE-UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

Co-counsel SPRITZER recommends.

-RUC-

Details: Investigation at Philadelphia conducted by SA [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

CO-COUNSEL

On February 13, 1978, RALPH S. SPRITZER, professor of law, University of Pennsylvania Law School, 3400 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa., advised that he first met the applicant in the mid 1950's when the applicant was employed at the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). Later, SPRITZER and FRIEDMAN became closely associated in the Solicitor General's Office where they worked together. SPRITZER observed that the applicant is a man of the highest integrity and a capable attorney. SPRITZER stressed that FRIEDMAN's character is excellent, his associates and loyalty to the United States is beyond reproach and his professional and personal reputation is very high. SPRITZER added that the ability and responsible conduct consistently demonstrated by the applicant while serving at the Solicitor General's Office has convinced him that the applicant is ideally suited to a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government. SPRITZER concluded by recommending FRIEDMAN.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE NEW HAVEN	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2-16-78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2-15-78	b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY lmz	
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE, U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS		

REFERENCE: WFO teletype to Bureau, 2-9-78.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE:

was advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act and did not request confidentiality.

b6
b7C

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED <input type="checkbox"/> NONE						ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
APPROVED JGD/pgo COPIES MADE: 3 - Bureau 1 - New Haven (77-7683)						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
						77-49096-40	
						NOT RECORDED 9 FEB 21 1978	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations	
Agency						Spec. Inq.	
Request Recd.							
Date Fwd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General						
How Fwd.	General 2-24-78						
By							

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA [REDACTED]

Office:

NEW HAVEN

Date:

February 16, 1978

b6
b7C

Field Office File #: NH 77-7683

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE, U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

[REDACTED] listed as reference, of
[REDACTED] recommends applicant highly.
Applicant served as Deputy Solicitor General when
[REDACTED]

b6
b7C

- RUC -

DETAILS:

On February 15, 1977, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] and
[REDACTED] has known applicant since January, 1973. Applicant
at that time was a Deputy Solicitor General of the
United States. Applicant is known to [REDACTED]
both socially and professionally and is considered
to be of the highest character and reputation.
Applicant was considered to be hard working, extremely
competent and was characterized as "the backbone of
the office". Associates of the applicant were found
to be professional both in and out of the government.
Applicant relates to people very well and is not biased
in any way. Applicant's loyalty to the U.S. was felt
to be beyond reproach due to previous years experience
in government service. [REDACTED] feels that the applicant
possesses the character and experience to make him an
ideal candidate for the position and gives the applicant
an unqualified recommendation.

b6
b7C

- 1* -

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE CLEVELAND	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/16/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/7/78 - 2/16/78
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA 	TYPED BY SS
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE, UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS	

b6
b7cREFERENCES

Bureau teletype to WFO, 2/7/78.
WFO airtel to Cleveland, 2/10/78.

- RUC -

ADMINISTRATIVE

One copy of applicant's FD-406 (Authority to Release Information) was made available to Fairview General Hospital pursuant to their request.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>					SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE: 3 - Bureau (77-49096) 1 - Cleveland (77-7817)					DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW <i>77-49096-41</i> <i>[Signature]</i>		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report					Notations		
Agency							
Request Recd.							
Date Fwd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General 2-24-78						
How Fwd.							
By							

A*

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA [REDACTED]

Office:

Cleveland, Ohio

Date:

2/16/78

Field Office File #:

77-7817

Bureau File #:

77-49096

b6
b7c

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE,
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

Applicant's hospitalization at Fairview General Hospital from 7/8/74 until 7/15/74, for surgery to repair inguinal hernia verified from hospital records; surgeon interviewed.

- RUC -

Details:MEDICAL RECORDS

On February 13, 1978, a review of applicant's microfilmed hospital records at the Medical Records Section, Fairview General Hospital, 18101 Lorain Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, revealed the following information:

DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN, 2475 Virginia Avenue, Washington, D.C., was admitted on July 8, 1974, and discharged on July 15, 1974. Applicant was admitted for an operation to repair a recurrent right inguinal hernia which was done on July 9, 1974. The final diagnosis was shown as this was applicant's third recurrence of a right inguinal hernia. His hospitalization was noted as completely uneventful. His condition upon discharge was shown as improved. His disposition was indicated as being discharged to a local hotel to return to see [REDACTED] in three or four days. Applicant's date of birth was shown as February 8, 1916; Social Security

b6
b7c

CV 77-7817

Number 097-12-7917; employment with the U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., and nearest relative [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted]
On February 16, 1978, [redacted]

b6
b7C



[redacted] advised he performed the above operation on applicant. He stated that applicant had come to Cleveland especially for the surgery by him because he had been recommended to applicant by a doctor in Washington, D.C., whose name he could not recall. He recalled that applicant, a lawyer with the Justice Department, had been released from the hospital early and his condition was improving when applicant last visited his office several days later after his release from the hospital.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/16/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/15/78	b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>	TYPED BY daw	
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI, JUDGE, U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS		

REFERENCE: Washington Field TT to Bureau, 2/9/78.

- RUC -

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
								PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED 						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE: 3-Bureau 1-Boston (77-17145)						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW		
						77-43291-412		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency								
Request Recd.								
Date Fwd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General 2-24-78							
How Fwd.								
By								

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of: [REDACTED]
Date: February 16, 1978

Office: Boston, Massachusetts

b6
b7C

Field Office File #: 77-17145

Bureau File #: _____

Title: DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character: DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT, JUDGE, UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis: ARCHIBALD COX, Former Solicitor General, advised he thinks very highly of applicant in terms of both personal and professional qualifications and recommends. Purchase of Edgartown, Massachusetts, residence in 1976 verified. Local credit and arrest checks negative.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

Investigation at Edgartown and Hyannis, Massachusetts, was conducted by Special Agent (SA) [REDACTED]

b6
b7CCO-COUNSEL

ARCHIBALD COX, Professor of Law, Harvard University Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and Former Solicitor General, Department of Justice (1961-1965) advised on February 15, 1978, he has known the applicant, DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, on a professional basis for a number of years.

COX said he thinks very highly of the applicant as an individual, in terms of both professional and personal qualifications, including his character, reputation, associates, and loyalty. He further described Mr. FRIEDMAN as a very competent, experienced appellate lawyer with a particular expertise in matters concerning questions of law. Commenting upon the applicant's attitude towards civil rights and minority groups, COX observed the applicant has never been an extremist and has always held moderately liberal views on those matters. COX said he would certainly recommend Mr. FRIEDMAN for a position of trust and responsibility with the government.

BS 77-17145

CREDIT

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED] Credit Bureau of Cape Cod, Inc., Hyannis, Massachusetts, credit reporting agency for Edgartown, Massachusetts, advised he could locate no credit record for anyone identifiable with the applicant.

b6
b7C

ARREST

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED] Edgartown Police Department, Edgartown, Massachusetts, advised records maintained by that office failed to reflect any reference to either the applicant, or the applicant's wife, Dr. ELIZABETH ELLIS.

b6
b7C

MISCELLANEOUS

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED] Avery Real Estate Company, Main Street, Edgartown, Massachusetts, advised that DANIEL M. FRIEDMAN and his wife, Dr. ELIZABETH ELLIS, had purchased the home located off Slough-cove Road in Edgartown through their office on November 16, 1976. Since that time, Avery Real Estate Company has served as caretaker for the property. The property was used by FRIEDMAN and his wife during the summer season of 1976 and their relationship with Avery Real Estate Company was described by [REDACTED] as most satisfactory.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE NEW ORLEANS	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/16/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/15 - 16/78	b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER C. FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA 		TYPED BY tac
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI - JUDGE - U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS		

REFERENCE:

WFO teletype to Bureau, 2/15/78.

- RUC -
BUDED: 2/17/78

ADMINISTRATIVE:

The person contacted in connection with this investigation was advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act and did not request confidentiality.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			

APPROVED COPIES MADE: ③ - Bureau 1 - New Orleans (77-5784)	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW 77-49096-43 FEB 21 1978 FBI - NEW ORLEANS NOTATIONS SEARCHED SERIALIZED INDEXED FILED MAR 1 1978 FBI - NEW ORLEANS
---	----------------------------	---

Dissemination Record of Attached Report					Notations
Agency					
Request Recd.					
Date Fwd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney General				
How Fwd.	General 2 - 24-78				
By					

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA [REDACTED]

Office:

NEW ORLEANS

Date:

2/16/78

Field Office File #:

77-5784

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT - JUDGE - U. S. COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

[REDACTED] D. C. Unified Bar,
stated name of applicant not familiar to him. He could not
comment as to qualification nor any unfavorable information.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [REDACTED] on the date indicated:

[REDACTED] D. C. Unified Bar,
Washington, D. C., was contacted at the Hyatt Regency Hotel,
New Orleans, Louisiana, on February 16, 1978. He advised that
the name DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN, First Deputy Solicitor
General, was not familiar to him. He stated he, therefore,
could not comment on FRIEDMAN as to his qualifications. He
knew of nothing unfavorable concerning the applicant.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/17/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/9-17/78
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED] 274.5	TYPED BY sjc
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI	

REFERENCE: Bureau teletype to WFO, 2/7/78, WFO teletypes to Director, 2/9/78 and 2/15/78.

- P -

ADMINISTRATIVE: All persons contacted were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act. None requested confidentiality.

LEADS:

WASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D. C. 1) Report opposing counsels

and [REDACTED]

2) Report District of Columbia Government Officials.

3) Report CSC.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
							PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
							PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

③-Bureau

1-WFO (77-105009)

0-760 WFO 2-24-78 JEG:jfm
1cc Destroyed

NOT RECORDED

100-258-25-218

Dissemination Record of Attached Report				
Agency				
Request Recd.				
Date Fwd.	1cc - Office of Associate Attorney General			
How Fwd.	General 2-24-78			
By				

Notations

AS
COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA [REDACTED]

Office:

Washington, D. C.

b6
b7C

Date:

2/17/78

Field Office File #:

77-105009

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
JUDGE, UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

Applicant interview set forth. Employment favorable; applicant highly recommended. Neighborhood favorable. References and associates highly recommend. Recommended by all Judges who know him and by [REDACTED]. Recommended by co-counsels and opposition counsels. Recommended by Director, B'nai B'rith, Past President, D. C. Unified Bar, and [REDACTED] United States Catholic Conference. Not known to Political or Labor Leaders. Credit satisfactory. No arrest record located. Admitted to Supreme Court Bar 5/17/48. No deeds located. Personal physician states health very good. GWU Hospital medical records reviewed.

b6
b7C

- P -

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTERVIEW OF APPLICANT	2
EMPLOYMENT	6
NEIGHBORHOOD	16
REFERENCES AND ASSOCIATES	21
INTERVIEWS OF JUDGES	27
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	28
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	31
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SUPERIOR COURT	34
[REDACTED]	37
CO-COUNSELS	39
OPPOSITION COUNSELS	41
CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS	43
BAR ASSOCIATION OFFICIALS	45
RELIGIOUS LEADERS	50
POLITICAL LEADERS	52
LABOR LEADERS	54
CREDIT AND POLICE	56
MISCELLANEOUS	58
BAR MEMBERSHIP	59
TAX LIENS AND COVENANTS	59
PERSONAL PHYSICIAN	60
GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL	61

b6
b7C

INTERVIEW OF APPLICANT

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

Applicant was interviewed February 9, 1978, and furnished the following information.

BIRTH

Applicant was born February 8, 1916, at New York, New York.

MARITAL STATUS

Applicant was married October 18, 1975, at Washington, D. C., to ELIZABETH M. ELLIS. Former wife LEAH LIPSON, born January 1, 1912, at Fairmont, Virginia, deceased December 12, 1969.

RESIDENCE

From 1975 to present applicant has resided at 3249 Newark Street, N.W., Washington, D. C.

EMPLOYMENT

From 1959 to present applicant has been employed as First Deputy Solicitor General, Office of the Solicitor General, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C. (WDC).

REFERENCES AND SOCIAL ACQUAINTANCES



Judge OSCAR H. DAVIS
United States Court of Claims
717 Madison Place, WDC.

b6
b7C



REFERENCES AND SOCIAL ACQUAINTANCES, continued



b6
b7C


CO-COUNSELS

ARCHIBALD COX
Langdell Hall
Cambridge, Massachusetts.

RALPH S. SPRITZER
3400 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

LLOYD N. CUTLER
1666 K Street, N.W., WDC.

OPPOSITION COUNSELS



b6
b7C

ORGANIZATIONAL MEMBERSHIP

American Bar Association
Federal Bar Association
Washington Ethical Society
Woodley House (1968 to 1971)
Friends of Kennedy Center
American Philatelic Society
United States Philatelic Society
The Cosmos Club.

The applicant is also on the Board of Visitors, Chicago University Law School, Chicago, Illinois.

He holds no officer's positions nor is he an officer or director in any company or organization organized for profit.

MISCELLANEOUS

Personal Physician

The applicant's personal physician is [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]
Applicant states his health is excellent.

Property Owned

Applicant's current residence is in the name of his wife. Applicant and his wife jointly own a house off Slough-cove Road, Edgartown, Massachusetts. The property is managed by Avery and Company, Edgartown.

Applicant advised he is current in filing his District of Columbia and Federal income taxes for the past three years and that he has never belonged to any group or organization which has discriminated because of race or religion.

EMPLOYMENT

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

EMPLOYMENT

Judge, United States Court of Claims

On February 13, 1978, SC [] reviewed the applicant's recruitment file at the File Room, Office of the Associate Attorney General, U. S. Department of Justice. It disclosed he is currently under consideration for the position of Judge, United States Court of Claims, and has not entered on duty. There was no additional pertinent information.

b6
b7C

U. S. Department of Justice (USDJ)

On February 13, 1978, SC [] reviewed the applicant's official personnel file at the File Room, Office of the Associate Attorney General, USDJ. It listed his birth as February 17, 1978, New York, New York, and verified the following Government employment:

b6
b7C

2/13/78 -

As of this date the file reflected he continues in the same position as Supervisory Trial Attorney (General), Office of the Solicitor General, USDJ, Washington, D. C.

b6
b7C

SC [] caused a search to be made of the files of the Department Security Staff, Office of Management and Finance, USDJ. She was informed on February 13, 1978, that applicant was satisfactorily processed under the provisions of Executive Order 10450 on October 13, 1958, on the basis of a full field background investigation. He was granted a Top Secret clearance on August 5, 1968, which is still in effect.

WFO 77-105009

CH:lab

1

The following interviews were conducted by SA [redacted] on January 13, 1978, at the U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.:

b6
b7c

WADE H. McCREE, JR., Solicitor General, advised that he has known the applicant for approximately one year. McCREE advised that he works with DANIEL FRIEDMAN on a daily basis. McCREE advised that he considers the applicant to be one of the finest lawyers that he has ever worked with. He stated that FRIEDMAN is an excellent researcher and writer and does a particularly outstanding job in arguing the Government's cases before the U.S. Supreme Court. McCREE advised that he has no reservations concerning the applicant's ability to render an impartial, fair decision.

McCREE advised that he considers the applicant's personal character and conduct to be above question. He advised that he has never heard or observed anything of a derogatory nature concerning his personal habits. McCREE stated that he has no doubts that the applicant does not harbor any biases or prejudices towards any racial or ethnic group.

McCREE stated that FRIEDMAN's leaving will be a great loss to the Office of the Solicitor General, however, he believes that the applicant would bring a quality that few have for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims. McCREE recommends the applicant without hesitation.

[redacted] advised that he has known and worked with DANIEL FRIEDMAN for approximately six months. He stated that the applicant has an excellent legal mind. He stated that FRIEDMAN is thorough and detailed in all his legal work. He advised that FRIEDMAN has exceptional knowledge of the law. [redacted] advised that FRIEDMAN has done extensive work in the Supreme Court and in this area is considered

b6
b7c

WFO 77-105009

CM:lab

2

one of the best in the Office of the Solicitor General. He advised he has no doubt that the applicant could administer justice in a fair and impartial manner. He stated he has the ability to review and analyze all the facts in a matter and come to a fair decision.

[] advised that FRIEDMAN has the highest character and that his personal habits are beyond reproach. He stated that the applicant is extremely honest and very loyal to the United States of America. [] recommended DANIEL FRIEDMAN in the highest manner for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[] advised that he has worked with DANIEL FRIEDMAN for approximately ten years. He described him as an extremely able lawyer whose writing and oral presentation are outstanding. [] stated that DANIEL FRIEDMAN is one of the top Supreme Court advocates in the Department of Justice. [] stated that the applicant has an ideally suited temperament for the position of Judge. He described him as fair minded and very mature in his judgement. [] stated that FRIEDMAN renders a fair evaluation in every aspect of the law.

b6
b7C

[] stated that during the past ten years he has also been socially acquainted with the applicant. He considers his personal character and conduct to be above question. He advised that he has never heard or observed anything derogatory concerning the personal habits of DANIEL FRIEDMAN. [] advised that in his evaluation FRIEDMAN is in excellent health.

b6
b7C

[] gave FRIEDMAN his highest recommendation for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[] advised that he has worked with DANIEL FRIEDMAN since 1972. He stated he has had numerous occasions to observe the applicant presenting the Government's case before the Supreme Court of the U.S.

b6
b7C

WFP 77-105009

CM:lab

3

He stated he considers the applicant to have excellent courtroom demeanor. He described the applicant as being very intelligent with fine working knowledge of the law of the U.S. He stated he is very thorough and exact in all aspects of his legal work.

[] advised FRIEDMAN is very well thought of in the Office of the Solicitor General, that his conduct and personal habits are above question. He advised that FRIEDMAN is in very good health and also one of the most sober individuals he has known.

b6
b7C

[] advised that he has no doubts that FRIEDMAN could render a fair and impartial ruling from the bench. He stated he considers FRIEDMAN to be one of the best oral advocates in the Supreme Court and recommends him, without reservation, for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[] advised he has known and worked for DANIEL FRIEDMAN since 1966. He advised that since 1973, they have worked very closely together. He described FRIEDMAN as one of the finest attorneys that he knows. He stated he is perfectly fair and would be completely neutral as a Judge. He advised that he has an even temperament and can view any situation in a fair and objective manner. [] advised that FRIEDMAN is considered one of the top advocates in the Supreme Court and presents a poised, relaxed, and professional appearance.

b6
b7C

During his association with FRIEDMAN, he has found him to be extraordinarily competent. He advised that his character and personal habits are the finest and he never heard or observed anything of a derogatory nature concerning him.

[] advised that he considers DANIEL FRIEDMAN to be an excellent choice for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised she has worked for DANIEL FRIEDMAN for approximately eight years. She stated she considers him to be well rounded in all aspects of the law. She further stated she considers him to be one of the finest attorneys she has known. She stated he enjoys an excellent professional reputation. She advised that he is experienced in the courtroom and possesses an excellent demeanor.

b6
b7C

She stated the applicant has an excellent personality and that his conduct and personal habits are beyond question. She advised that to her knowledge the applicant is in good health. [redacted] stated that FRIEDMAN possesses the highest integrity and is honest and loyal to the U.S.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that the applicant is even tempered and she has no doubt that he could be unbiased and objective in any decision that he would make. [redacted] highly recommends the applicant for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated he has known DANIEL FRIEDMAN since 1962. He advised that his association has been both professional and social. [redacted] advised that his comments concerning the applicant would all be superlative. He described FRIEDMAN as an extraordinarily competent and versatile lawyer. He advised that FRIEDMAN is extremely intelligent and has an excellent knowledge of the law. He stated that he has often observed the writing and speaking ability of the applicant and both are of the highest quality.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised DANIEL FRIEDMAN is very well thought of in the Office of the Solicitor General. He stated that his personal conduct and habits are beyond reproach. [redacted] advised that he believes the applicant to be in excellent state of health. [redacted] advised that he knows the applicant's wife and that he has never heard or observed anything derogatory about either of them.

b6
b7C

[] stated that the applicant has a remarkable even temperament, is fair, and would render an impartial and unbiased decision in any matter he might handle. [] recommends the applicant unreservedly and stated he considers an appointment as Judge to the U.S. Court of Claims to be well deserved. He stated he considers the applicant to be more than qualified for the high judicial appointment.

b6
b7C

[] Office of the Solicitor General, advised she has known DANIEL FRIEDMAN since June of 1969. [] stated that although she does not work with the applicant in his legal matters, she has had opportunities to observe him at work. She stated he is very intelligent and appears to have an exceptional knowledge of the law. She stated he is very well organized and uses his time very efficiently. She advised that he is considered one of the top Supreme Court advocates in the Solicitor's Office.

b6
b7C

[] advised that FRIEDMAN has a good personality, always gives an excellent appearance, and that his conduct and personal habits are unquestioned. [] stated the applicant appears to be even tempered and is always fair and impartial in his dealings in the office. [] advised that she would recommend him for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[] Office of the Solicitor General, advised that she has known DANIEL FRIEDMAN for approximately thirteen months. She stated she considers him an outstanding attorney and has no doubt in her mind that he would be a fair Judge.

b6
b7C

[] stated FRIEDMAN has a good personality and that his personal conduct and habits are always that of a gentleman. She stated she believes that he could render an impartial and objective decision in any matter he might handle. [] advised she would recommend DANIEL FRIEDMAN for a position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[redacted] Office of the Solicitor General, advised [redacted] for approximately fifteen years. [redacted] advised that FRIEDMAN is very intelligent and in her opinion extremely knowledgeable of the law. She stated she has read much of his work and observed his speaking ability and considers them both to be excellent. She advised he has argued many cases before the Supreme Court and is considered in the Solicitor's Office to be the best in this area. [redacted] stated that FRIEDMAN is a very sober individual who is in excellent health. [redacted] stated he is honest, ethical, and loyal to the U.S.

b6
b7C

She advised there is no question that as a Judge FRIEDMAN could render fair and impartial decisions. She advised that in all the years she has known him, she has never observed him to have any biases or prejudices. [redacted] advised that she highly recommends DANIEL FRIEDMAN for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[redacted] the Deputy Solicitor General DANIEL FRIEDMAN, advised that [redacted] for approximately one year. She described him as being intelligent, very knowledgeable of the law. She stated his speaking and writing abilities are excellent. [redacted] advised that he often argues cases before the U.S. Supreme Court and is considered to be an expert individual in this area.

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised that FRIEDMAN is well organized, has an good personality, and his personal habits and conduct are always of the highest order. [redacted] stated that FRIEDMAN is always fair and she has no doubt that any decision he would make would be fair and impartial. She advised that she does not know him to have any prejudices. [redacted] advised that she highly recommends the applicant for the position of Judge in the U.S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

CTM:cah

1

The following interviews were conducted by SA [redacted] on February 15, 1978:

b6
b7C

[redacted] Antitrust Division, U. S. Department of Justice advised that he has known DANIEL FRIEDMAN since 1952. [redacted] advised that DANIEL FRIEDMAN is second only to DANIEL WEBSTER in arguing cases before the U. S. Supreme Court. He stated he is an extremely intelligent attorney with an excellent knowledge of the law. [redacted] advised that FRIEDMAN is also a skillful appellate lawyer. [redacted] advised that the applicant is well liked and very well thought of throughout the Department of Justice.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that to his knowledge DANIEL FRIEDMAN is in very good health. He stated he knows of no reason to question his honesty, integrity or loyalty to the U. S. He described him as even tempered and always under control. He stated there is no doubt that the applicant would be impartial, always rendering fair and unbiased judgments. [redacted] further advised that to his knowledge FRIEDMAN has no prejudices concerning his race or creed. [redacted] advised that he would highly recommend DANIEL FRIEDMAN for a judicial position. He stated he is an excellent choice and will make a fine Judge in U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

[redacted] U. S. Department of Justice advised that he worked with DANIEL FRIEDMAN from 1952 to 1959. He stated he has maintained some contact with him since then. HUNZ advised that FRIEDMAN has the highest judicial qualities and his legal abilities are unsurpassed. He advised that he is extremely intelligent and has an excellent knowledge of the law.

b6
b7C

[redacted] stated that he considers him to be honest and very loyal to the United States and of the highest caliber. He stated he has no doubts that FRIEDMAN is impartial and unbiased and that any decisions he would make would reflect this. [redacted] advised that he highly recommends FRIEDMAN for a judicial position in the U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

2

[redacted], Antitrust Division, U. S. Department of Justice, advised that he has known DANIEL FRIEDMAN both professionally and socially since 1952. He stated that FRIEDMAN is one of the finest attorneys he has ever known. He stated he has argued numerous cases before the U. S. Supreme Court. He stated that FRIEDMAN possesses the necessary judicial temperament, writing ability, and courtroom presence to be an excellent Judge. [redacted] advised that he considers the applicant to be a very capable person who possesses fair and unbiased judgments. He stated that the applicant is conscientious, dedicated and hardworking and there is no question about his character, associates, reputation or loyalty to the U. S. Government. In conclusion [redacted] advised he would highly recommend DANIEL FRIEDMAN for a position of Judge on the U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

NEIGHBORHOOD

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

3249 Newark Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. (WDC)

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], advised he has known applicant
for approximately 20 years.

b6
b7C

He advised he became acquainted with the applicant when they both were in the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice. He considers the applicant to be a superior lawyer. He is intelligent, articulate and has excellent writing abilities. He is an extremely dedicated and motivated individual. He has no reservations concerning applicant's ability to be fair and impartial and his temperament is perfectly suited to a judicial position. He is a totally ethical and honorable attorney whose professional reputation is second to none.

As a person, the applicant is a fine human being. He is friendly, courteous and always a gentleman. His honesty, integrity and loyalty are above question. His personal reputation is spotless. He considers applicant and his wife to be excellent friends and neighbors. He knows of no participation by applicant in any professional or civic organizations and he has never had any reason to believe the applicant has any prejudices of any type.

He feels the applicant is eminently qualified for the position of Judge, United States Court of Appeals and gave him the highest recommendation.

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], advised she has known the applicant for approximately 2 years and applicant's wife for many years. She considers them to be fine neighbors who enjoy an excellent reputation in the neighborhood. She described applicant as bright, intelligent, articulate, friendly, polite and courteous.

b6
b7C

She advised that she is not personally familiar with applicant's legal abilities, but from conversations with some of her Civil Service friends, she gathers applicant enjoys an excellent professional reputation. She knows of no organizational participation on applicant's part and does not consider him prejudiced in any manner.

WFO 77-105009

2

She highly recommended the applicant for a position of trust and confidence with the Department of Justice.

WFO 77-105009

FM:lmg

1

b6
b7c

On February 16, 1978 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] advised he has known the applicant for approximately five years and his wife for considerably longer.

He advised they both enjoy excellent reputation. The applicant's personal character and conduct are — above reproach. He is friendly, personable and appears to be in good health. He has never had any doubts as to applicant's honesty, integrity or loyalty to the United States.

He stated he knows the applicant only as a neighbor and has no first hand knowledge of applicant's legal abilities. He advised he finds the applicant intelligent and articulate and has no reservation about recommending him for a high position of trust and responsibility.

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

On February 17, 1978, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], advised he has known the applicant
for 17 years.

b6
b7C

He is intimately familiar with applicant's legal abilities and considers him a superior lawyer in all respects. His speaking and writing abilities are excellent and he can think of no other attorney who has more Supreme Court experience than applicant. He is intelligent, extremely knowledgeable of the law and always thoroughly prepared for court.

His demeanor and temperament are well suited for a judicial position. He is a very conscientious individual and always reviews all aspects of any question. He has no doubts as to applicant's ability to be impartial and objective. The applicant is totally ethical.

He stated he considers the applicant and his wife to be close personal friends. He has known Mrs. FRIEDMAN since 1958 and advised her reputation is excellent. The applicant has a pleasing personality and his personal conduct is above reproach. He has no undesirable habits and his personal reputation is one of honesty, integrity and loyalty to his country. To his knowledge, the applicant is not active in any civic, professional or political organizations.

He feels the applicant is eminently qualified for the position of Judge, United States Court of Claims, and he endorsed him without reservation.

REFERENCES AND ASSOCIATES

WFO 77-105009

GRD:lmg

1

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] was contacted and advised that he has been acquainted with the applicant for the past forty years. He noted that he and the applicant went through law school together and further described him as maintaining the highest degree of integrity, and reputation and he is of unquestionable character and loyal to the United States. He continued to advise that applicant's Judicial temperament, if appointed as a Judge, would be impartial, unbiased and fair. He feels applicant is a most capable individual having a wealth of experience and gave an unqualified recommendation for a position of trust and confidence. He further noted that to his knowledge, applicant is in excellent health.

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was contacted and advised that he has known the applicant for the past fifteen years as he was formerly employed as the Deputy Solicitor General with the Department of Justice. He described the applicant as extremely conscientious, hardworking, and whose writing and speaking abilities are impeccable.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] continued to advise that he feels applicant is eminently qualified for the position as a Judge and further noted that applicant would be extremely impartial, fair and unbiased. He stated that he would not hesitate to highly recommend the applicant to a position of trust and confidence.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

GRD:lmg

1

On February 15, 1978, [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted] was contacted and advised that he has known the applicant for the past twenty-five years. He described the applicant as an outstanding Attorney, very able, conscientious, hardworking, and further maintains an impeccable reputation within the Washington, D. C. area. He continued by stating applicant's character, associates and loyalty are above reproach and that he is also extremely honest, fair and a perfect gentleman. He further advised that he truly admires the applicant for his courtroom demeanor, intelligence, writing and speaking ability and believes that he would be totally fair, impartial and unbiased if appointed to the Bench. He noted that he would give the applicant the highest recommendation to a position requiring trust and responsibility.

On February 15, 1978, [redacted]

[redacted] was contacted and advised that he has been acquainted with the applicant for the past eight year period. He stated that he was formerly employed as [redacted] at the Department of Justice and worked closely with the applicant. He described applicant as an excellent attorney whose ethics and moral standards were above reproach. Applicant has an outstanding reputation among peers not only within the Washington area but throughout the country. He stated applicant is articulate, an excellent writer and speaker, and whose character, associates and loyalty are above reproach.

b6
b7C

[redacted] further advised that applicant is even tempered, fair, honest, reliable and would be totally impartial. He concluded by stating that he has nothing but the highest praise for the applicant and would not hesitate to highly recommend.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

GRD:lmg

1

On February 15, 1978, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] National Labor Relations Board, Washington, D.C., was contacted and advised that he has been acquainted with the applicant both professionally as well as socially for the past eighteen years. He described applicant as having an excellent legal mind and maintains the highest degree on integrity among peers. He stated that the applicant is intelligent, conscientious, industrious, hardworking and a totally dedicated individual.

[REDACTED] continued to advise that if applicant were to be appointed as a Judge that he would be totally impartial and unbiased, extremely capable and his Judicial temperament would be unquestioned. He noted that applicant's character and reputation are impeccable and that he would give the highest recommendation to a position of trust and responsibility.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

GRD:lmg

1

On February 15, 1978, Judge OSCAR H. DAVIS, United States Court of Claims, Washington, D. C., was contacted and advised that he has known the applicant for the past twenty-five years professionally as well as socially, and has nothing but the highest regard for him. He described the applicant as an excellent attorney who maintains the highest degree of integrity and reputation among peers. He noted that he has never heard anyone speak unfavorably of applicant and further described him as intelligent, industrious, articulate and highly qualified for a position of trust and confidence.

DAVIS continued to advise that applicant's courtroom demeanor is excellent and if appointed to the Bench, he would be fair, honest, impartial and totally unbiased. He further stated that applicant's experience and ability is unquestioned and would endorse him highly for the position of Judge, United States Court of Claims.

WFO 77-105009

GRD:lmg

1

On February 16, 1978, [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] was contacted and advised that he has known the applicant professionally and socially for the past twenty years. He described applicant as very articulate, highly competent and experienced, and of unquestionable character, associates, reputation and loyalty. He noted that he has never heard anything of a derogatory nature concerning applicant and believes he is an excellent choice for a position on the Bench.

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] continued to advise that applicant, if appointed as a Judge in the United States Court of Claims, would be totally impartial, fair, honest and unbiased. He advised the applicant's speaking and writing ability and professional ethics and integrity are impeccable and he would not hesitate to highly recommend him to the position Judge, United States Court of Claims.

INTERVIEWS OF JUDGES

WFO 77-105009

AEP:sjs

1

On February 15, 1978, SA [] interviewed the Honorable WILLIAM B. BRYANT, Chief District Judge, United States District Court for the District of Columbia. BRYANT said he does not personally know DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN but is acquainted with his reputation, and his reputation is "top flight." He is competent, upright and stable, with a conscientious devotion to duty.

b6
b7c

Judge BRYANT has no reason to doubt the applicant's loyalty, moral character or associates. Judge BRYANT concluded by stating that he knows of nothing unfavorable concerning DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN.

On February 15, 1978, DAVID T. BAZELON, Chief Judge, United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, advised SA [] that he became acquainted with DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN while he was then serving as the Assistant Attorney General in 1946 and 1947.

Judge BAZELON characterized the applicant, DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN as a sober, dependable, cooperative, conscientious and discreet employee who was respected by his associates. Judge BAZELON commented favorably regarding the applicant's legal ability as well as his health, intelligence, appearance and personality. Judge BAZELON described FRIEDMAN as "one of the best lawyers" he has known.

Judge BAZELON recommended the applicant favorably for a position of trust and confidence with the United States Government.

WFO 77-105009

JPC:sjs

1
—

Judge GERHARD A. GESELL, U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia, Washington, D. C. (WDC), advised SA [] on February 14, 1978, that he has been professionally acquainted with the applicant, DANIEL FRIEDMAN, for over 10 years. He described Mr. FRIEDMAN as being a very able lawyer who has demonstrated outstanding abilities in the office of the Solicitor General. He stated Mr. FRIEDMAN has an excellent reputation in the legal community and characterized him as being an outstanding choice for a Federal Judgeship. He stated that he has not been socially acquainted with the applicant, but based upon his professional knowledge of Mr. FRIEDMAN he would have no reason to question any aspect of his character, associates, reputation or loyalty to the Government. He described Mr. FRIEDMAN as being a brilliant legal scholar and possessing a considerable depth of knowledge in legal matters. He stated that he has good writing and speaking abilities and, in his opinion, possesses the professional ethics and impartialities that would be prerequisite for his being considered for a Federal judicial position. He stated that to his knowledge the applicant is in an excellent state of health and knows nothing that would detract from Mr. FRIEDMAN being considered for a judicial position.

b6
b7c

Judge OLIVER GASCH, U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia, WDC, advised SA [] on February 14, 1978, that he has been slightly acquainted with the applicant on a professional basis for over 5 years. He stated that he is aware of Mr. FRIEDMAN having an excellent reputation in the Federal legal circles of WDC, and stated that he is certain the applicant is an excellent lawyer with first rate credentials for consideration as a Federal Judge. He stated that there is nothing in the applicant's background that would reflect unfavorably upon his character, associates, reputation or loyalty to the Government, and stated that he would recommend him highly for a Federal Judgeship.

b6
b7c

WFO 77-105009

JDD:sjs

1

Judge BARRINGTON PARKER, U. S. District Court,
for the District of Columbia, advised SA [redacted]
[redacted] on February 15, 1978, that he is neither
socially nor professionally acquainted with the applicant
and was therefore unable to comment on his suitability—
to be a Judge in the U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

JDD:sjs

1

Judge HAROLD LEVENTHAL, U. S. Court of Appeals, Washington, D. C., was interviewed on February 15, 1978, by SA [REDACTED] and stated that he has known the applicant socially and professionally for fifteen years. Applicant has an excellent working knowledge of the law. Applicant's writing and speaking ability are described as being excellent. Applicant's character, associates, and health are considered excellent. Applicant's reputation is excellent and he is described as being quite devoted to his wife. There is no question as to applicant's honesty, integrity, loyalty to the United States. Applicant is unbiased, objective and displays an excellent temperament throughout trial. Applicant does not have any political affiliations or belong to any racial organizations. Applicant is recommended without reservation for the position of Judge in the U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

JPC:sjs

1

Circuit Judge J. SKELLY WRIGHT, Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, Washington, D. C. (WDC), advised SA [REDACTED] on February 14, 1978, that he has been professionally acquainted with Mr. FRIEDMAN for approximately the past 15 years. He described the applicant as a stabilizing influence in the office of the Solicitor General, and said that Mr. FRIEDMAN is a brilliant legal scholar. He stated that he understands that Mr. FRIEDMAN is being considered for a judgeship on the U. S. Court of Claims in WDC, and stated that in this capacity he would highly recommend the applicant. He stated Mr. FRIEDMAN is a very low key individual who would undoubtedly display an even judicial temperament. He is certain that the applicant would be impartial and unbiased in his legal opinions and stated that it is his opinion that he displays the highest professional ethics. Judge WRIGHT indicated that he has not socialized with the applicant, but indicated that based upon his knowledge of Mr. FRIEDMAN he would have no reason to question his character, associates, reputation or loyalty to the Government.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

JPC:sjs

1

Circuit Judge CARL MC GOWAN, Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, Washington, D. C., advised SA [REDACTED] on February 16, 1978, that he has been acquainted on a social and professional basis with the applicant, DANIEL FRIEDMAN, for approximately the past 15 years. He described Mr. FRIEDMAN as being very intelligent and having a thorough knowledge of the law. He stated that his experiences in the Solicitor General's Office have given him an insight which will serve him well should he be appointed to the bench. Judge MC GOWAN stated that the applicant is a very quiet and reserved individual who carefully reflects upon what he writes and says. He stated that he believes Mr. FRIEDMAN's temperament is such that he would be well suited to the bench and stated that he has the highest regard for the applicant's professional ethics. He stated that there is nothing in the background of Mr. FRIEDMAN or his family that would reflect unfavorably upon their character, associates, reputation or loyalty to the Government and stated that he would recommend him highly for a Judgeship with the U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7c

WFO 77-105009

JPC:sjs

1

Chief Judge HAROLD H. GREENE, Superior Court of the District of Columbia, Washington, D. C. (WDC), advised [redacted] on February 14, 1978, that he has been professionally acquainted with the applicant since approximately 1965, when Judge GREENE was Chief of the Appeals and Research Section, Civil Rights Division, U. S. Department of Justice, WDC. The applicant was with the office of the Solicitor General, USDJ, WDC. He described the applicant as being a very quiet and judicious individual who had a keen intelligence and substantial knowledge of the law. He stated that while he has not socialized with the applicant, based upon his professional acquaintance with Mr. FRIEDMAN, he would have no reason to question any aspect of his character, reputation, associates or loyalty to the Government. He stated that it was his understanding that Mr. FRIEDMAN was being considered for a Judgeship with the U. S. Court of Claims, WDC, and in this capacity would recommend him most highly. He stated the applicant displays an even temperament and equanimity that would serve him well on the bench, and indicated that he believes Mr. FRIEDMAN has the highest professional ethics. He stated that he is certain Mr. FRIEDMAN is sensitive to civil rights matters and believes in this area the applicant would be unbiased and objective. He stated that the applicant has an excellent ability at writing and speaking and suggested that he would present a first rate courtroom presence.

b6
b7c

WFO 77-105009

JDD:sjs

1

[redacted] Superior Court, Washington, D. C., was interviewed by SA [redacted] on February 15, 1978, and stated that he has known the applicant professionally for a period of seven years. Applicant's writing and speaking ability are described as being excellent. Applicant has an excellent working knowledge of the law. Applicant's personality, appearance and health are excellent. There is no question as to the applicant's honesty, integrity, or loyalty to the U. S. Government. Applicant is unbiased, objective and does not have any political affiliations or belong to any racial organizations. Applicant is recommended for the position of Judge in the U. S. Court of Claims.

b6
b7c

WFO 77-105009

JDD:sjs

1

The following Superior Court Judges were interviewed on February 15, 1978, by SA [redacted] and both advised they were neither socially nor professionally acquainted with the applicant and were therefore unable to account for his suitability as a Judge in the U. S. Court of Claims:

b6
b7C

Judge WILLIAM STEWART
Superior Court, District of Columbia
Washington, D. C.

b6
b7C

[redacted]
Superior Court, District of Columbia
Washington, D. C.



b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

AEP:sjs

1

[redacted] advised he has known DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN for five years and has found him to possess good temperament and a great deal of enthusiasm for his work. The applicant has a fine knowledge of the law and has poise and equanimity. [redacted] declared FRIEDMAN would be a credit to the federal service in a high position of trust and he so endorsed him without qualification.

b6
b7c

CO-COUNSELS

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

On February 14, 1978, LLOYD N. CUTLER, Partner, Wilmer, Cutler and Pickering, 1666 K Street, N.W., WDC, advised he has known the applicant professionally for approximately 15 years. He has worked with the applicant on several occasions.

He holds the applicant in highest regard. He is bright, intelligent and extremely knowledgeable of the law. He is diligent, hardworking and totally ethical. He has no reservations concerning applicant's ability to render fair and impartial decisions.

He advised the applicant has excellent courtroom demeanor. His temperament is excellent for a judicial position. The applicant possesses an excellent professional reputation among his peers and his honesty and integrity are second to none.

He stated that he is not intimately acquainted with applicant socially. He stated however that he has no reason to believe applicant's personal character and conduct are other than impeccable. To his knowledge applicant is not involved in any professional or civic organizations and he feels the applicant does not harbor any racial prejudices or biases.

Mr. CUTLER advised he made one of the original recommendations for the applicant to be considered for a Judgeship. He has complete confidence in the applicant and feels he will be a credit to the Court. He gave applicant his highest recommendation for the position of Judge, United States Court of Claims.

OPPOSITION COUNSELS

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

On February 14, 1978 [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]
advised he has known the applicant professionally for at least 15 years.

He has great admiration for the applicant and has found him to be an excellent advocate on many occasions. The applicant has an in depth knowledge of the law. His speaking and writing abilities are excellent and he handles himself well in court. He has found the applicant to be completely honorable and ethical. He is a very dedicated and hardworking lawyer who is fair minded and objective. He has the temperament necessary to serve in a judicial position.

The applicant is a very easy person to get along with. He has a pleasant personality and has always conducted himself as a gentleman. He is a completely honest, trustworthy and loyal individual.

He has no knowledge of applicant's participation in professional or civic organizations, nor if applicant is active politically. He does feel the applicant is completely unbiased and free of any prejudices.

He holds the applicant in highest regard and feels he will be an asset serving in the position of Judge, United States Court of Claims. He highly recommended the applicant.

CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS

WFO 77-105009

DMB:lmg

1

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] at Washington, D. C. on February 14,
1978.

b6
b7C

[redacted] Washington
Urban League advised that he does not know applicant either
personally or professionally.

b6
b7C

Mr. DAVID BROADY, Director, B'nai B'rith, Anti-
Defamation League advised that he has known applicant
for over 40 years and attended Law school with him.
He stated that applicant would be an excellent choice
for a U. S. District Court Judgeship. He advised applicant
is a very loyal American whose character, and associates
are beyond question. He recommended applicant highly.

b6
b7C

[redacted], Washington
Bureau, National Association for the Advancement of Colored
People, advised that he does not know applicant well
enough to comment on his suitability for a position
of trust in the United States Government.

BAR ASSOCIATION OFFICIALS

WFO 77-105009

MLD:sjs

1

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] at Washington, D. C. (WDC), on
February 14, 1978.

b6
b7C

[redacted] District of
Columbia Bar (Unified Bar), 1150 Connecticut Avenue, WDC,
advised that he met DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN several years
ago at a social function. This was the only personal
contact he has had with FRIEDMAN and he recalled that
FRIEDMAN appeared to have a pleasing personality and
presented himself well. [redacted] advised that even though
this was his only personal contact he was aware of
FRIEDMAN's reputation while serving in the office of the
Solicitor General. FRIEDMAN's reputation was that of a
well qualified attorney with excellent legal ability and
experience. [redacted] advised that FRIEDMAN also has a
reputation of being impartial and objective. [redacted] knew
of no derogatory information concerning FRIEDMAN's
character, loyalty or associates and advised that based
upon his contact with FRIEDMAN and his reputation he would
recommend him for a position of trust and responsibility
with the government.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

MLD:lmg

1

The following investigation was conducted
by SA [redacted] at Washington, D. C. on February
13, 1970.

b6
b7C

[redacted] Washington,
D. C. Bar Association, [redacted]
[redacted], advised that
he was not acquainted with DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

MLD:lmg

1

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] at Washington, D. C. on February 13,
1978.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

[redacted]
Judicial Selection Committee, District of Columbia
Bar Association, King and Nordlinger Law Firm, 1000
Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D. C., advised
that he was not acquainted with DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN.

WFO 77-105009

MLD:lmg

1

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] at Washington, D. C. on February 14,
1970.

b6
b7C

b6
b7C

[redacted]
Bar Association of the District of Columbia, Union
Trust Building, 15th and H Street, N.W., Washington, D. C.
advised he was not acquainted with DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN.

RELIGIOUS LEADERS

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

The following investigation was conducted on February 13, 1978:

[redacted]
[redacted] Adas Israel Congregation, Connecticut Avenue and Porter Streets, N.W., Washington, D. C. (WDC), advised [redacted] was not acquainted with the applicant.

b6
b7C

[redacted]
[redacted] Jewish Community Council of Greater Washington, 1330 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., WDC, advised [redacted] was not acquainted with the applicant.

b6
b7C

[redacted] United States Catholic Conference (USCC), 1312 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., WDC, advised he has been associated with applicant in a professional capacity for several years. He has worked with applicant on several cases in which the Conference had a mutual interest. He considers the applicant to be a brilliant attorney. He is fair and equitable in all his dealings and makes careful analysis of all the facts before making a decision in a matter. The applicant is the epitome of honesty, integrity and loyalty. His judicial temperament is excellent and his professional ethics go unquestioned.

b6
b7C

He advised that he is not socially acquainted with applicant but from his dealings with him he has every reason to believe applicant's personal conduct and reputation are impeccable. He always conducts himself as a complete gentleman.

He feels the applicant will be a definite asset to the Federal bench and highly recommended him for the position of Judge, United States Court of Claims.

POLITICAL LEADERS

WFO 77-105009

TET:lmg

1

On the dates indicated, SA [redacted] determined that the following individuals are not acquainted with the applicant either personally or through reputation:

b6
b7C

[redacted]
Republican Central Committee
1735 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C., on February 14, 1978

[redacted]
Republican National Committee
310 1st Street, S.E.
Washington, D. C., on February 13, 1978

b6
b7C

[redacted]
Democratic National Committee
1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C., on February 15, 1978

[redacted]
Democratic State Committee
1120 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D. C., on February 15, 1978

LABOR LEADERS

WFO 77-105009

JLM:sjs

1

The following labor leaders were contacted concerning the applicant and advised they did not know the applicant:

ANDREW BIEMILLER, Legislative Director
AFL-CIO
815 16th Street, N.W.,
Washington, D. C.

ELLIOT BREDHOFF, Attorney
General Counsel for the Industrial Union Department
AFL-CIO
1000 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D. C.

CREDIT AND POLICE

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

SC [] caused a search to be made of the files of the Credit Bureau, Incorporated, Washington, D. C., and was advised on February 9, 1978, that the files contained a satisfactory credit record for the applicant.

b6
b7C

On February 9, 1978, SC [] determined that no arrest record was contained in the Metropolitan Police Department files concerning the applicant or his spouse.

b6
b7C

It is to be noted that at all times an indefinite number of unidentified records are out of file and not available for review.

On February 9, 1978, SC [] determined that no record was contained in the Department of Motor Vehicles, Government of the District of Columbia files concerning the applicant or his spouse.

b6
b7C

On February 9, 1978, SC [] searched the files of the U. S. Park Police and no identifiable Adult Criminal or Traffic records could be located regarding the applicant or his spouse.

b6
b7C

MISCELLANEOUS

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

Bar Membership

On February 13, 1978, SC [] caused a search to be made of the files of the Supreme Court of the United States. The files indicated the applicant was admitted to practice before that court on May 17, 1948, and is in good standing.

b6
b7C

The files of the Lawyers Register, U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia and The District of Columbia Bar (D. C. Unified Bar) contain no record of applicant.

Tax Liens and Covenants

On February 14, 1978, SC [] caused a search to be made of the records at the Office of the Recorder of Deeds, Documents Section, US-DC Tax Liens, and found no record concerning applicant.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

FM:sjs

1

PERSONAL PHYSICIAN

On February 14, 1978, [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED], advised he has been seeing the applicant on a regular basis since December 17, 1963. He gave applicant a complete physical examination on August 12, 1977, and found him to be in very good health. He advised that applicant is very health conscious and has a physical annually. The applicant has absolutely no problems which would preclude the applicant serving on the Federal bench.

WFO 77-105009

FM:lmg

1

George Washington University Hospital

[redacted] On February 17, 1978 [redacted]
[redacted], Medical Records, made available applicant's
operative records.

b6
b7C

The applicant was admitted March 23, 1969 and
discharged April 9, 1969. On March 24, 1969 a right
inguinal herniorrhaphy was performed by [redacted]
for repair of a right inguinal hernia. On March 31, 1969
[redacted] repaired a left direct inguinal hernia. Applicant
was discharged in satisfactory condition.

b6
b7C

On January 11, 1970 applicant was readmitted for a
right inguinal hernia. Surgery was performed by [redacted]
on January 12, 1970, with applicant being discharged
January 19, 1970.

b6
b7C

Applicant was again admitted on August 2, 1971
for right inguinal hernia repair. Surgery was performed
by [redacted] on August 3, 1971. Applicant was
discharged August 9, 1971 in good condition.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/23/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/17-23/78
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED]	TYPED BY lmg
This report does not complete the investigation. You will be furnished with additional information when it is received.		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI	

b6
b7C

Reference: WFOreport of SA [REDACTED] 2/17/78.

b6
b7C

-P-

ADMINISTRATIVE:

All persons contacted were advised of the provisions of the Privacy Act. None requested confidentiality.

LEADWASHINGTON FIELD

AT WASHINGTON, D. C. Report CSC.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
								PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED <i>NS/Rm</i>							SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES MADE:							DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
3-Bureau 1-WFO (77-105009)							77-49096-45 NOT RECORDED 23 APR 25 1978	
360-1-1-131 100-1-1-131								
Dissemination Record of Attached Report							Notations	
Agency								
Request Recd.								
Date Fwd.								
How Fwd.	1 cc - Office of Associate Attorney							
By	General 2-24-78							

57 MAY 1 1978

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:

SA

[Redacted]

Office:

Washington, D. C.

Date:

2/23/78

Field Office File #:

77-105009

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
JUDGE,
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

Not known to D. C. Government Official. Highly
recommended by Opposition Counsels.

-P-

DETAILS: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

b6
b7C

WFO 77-105009

OLP:sjs

1

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA OFFICIALS

The following investigation was conducted by
SA [redacted] on February 17, 1978:

b6
b7C

[redacted] Metropolitan Police
Department, Washington, D. C., advised that he was not
acquainted with the applicant.

b6
b7C

[redacted] Corporation Counsel, District
of Columbia, advised that he does not know the applicant
well enough to comment on his qualifications to be
considered for a judicial position.

b6
b7C

WALTER WASHINGTON, Mayor, Washington, D. C.,
advised that the applicant is not known to him.

WFO 77-105009

FM:lmj

1

On February 23, 1978 [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] advised he has known the applicant for approximately 15 years both professionally and socially and he holds the applicant in highest regard in both respect.

The applicant is a very intellectual and articulate lawyer. He is very open-minded and is able to view and weigh all facets of any issue. He is thorough, dedicated and hard working. He has confidence in the applicant's ability to be impartial and objective. His temperament and equanimity are perfectly suited for a judicial position. His professional ethics could be a model for all lawyers. He has always found the applicant to be totally honest and straight forward in all his dealings.

As a man, the applicant's personal reputation is above reproach. He is known for his honesty, integrity and loyalty. His personal conduct is impeccable. The applicant has a pleasing personality and is in good health. He knows of no organized participation by the applicant nor does he harbor any biases or prejudices.

He feels the Court will be lucky to get the applicant and gave him this highest recommendation for the position of Judge, U. S. Court of Claims.

WFO 77-105009

FM:lmg

1

OPPOSITION COUNSELS

On February 17 1978

b6
b7C

[redacted] advised he
[redacted] has known applicant for almost 20 years.

He considers the applicant to be an extremely intelligent individual and able lawyer. Over the years he has observed applicant's writing and speaking abilities and knowledge of the law to be superior. The applicant is a very experienced and skilled lawyer in handling Supreme Court cases. He has had occasion to observe him there and has found his preparation and presentation to be thorough and professional. He has always found him to be thoroughly fair and objective.

equanimity desired of the member of the bench. The applicant
quanimity desired of the member fof the bench. The applicant
is a very ethical and honorable attorney. From the time
he first met when they worked together in the Antitrust
Division, he has known applicant' reputation to be one of
honesty, integrity and loyalty.

The applicant's pesonal reputation is above
reproach. He is always the perfect gentleman. To
his knowledge , the applicant is not active as any Civic
or profesional organization. He harbors no biases or
prejudices of any type.

He feels the applicant is eminently qualified
to sit as a Judge on the United States Court of Claims
and he recommended him without reservation.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE BOSTON	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/27/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/24/78	b6 b7C
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN		REPORT MADE BY <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 20px;"></div>		TYPED BY eh
		CHARACTER OF CASE DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT; JUDGE UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS		

REFERENCE: WFO teletype to Bureau, 2/9/78.

-RUC-

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES		
							PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
APPROVED <i>[Signature]</i>						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES MADE:						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW	
3 - Bureau						77-47076 46	
1 - Boston (77-17145)						NOT RECORDED 23 MAR 2 1978	
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations	
Agency	Request Recd.					A* <i>[Signature]</i>	
Date Fwd.	3/3/78						
How Fwd.							
By							

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

b6
b7C

Report of:

Office: Boston, Massachusetts

Date:

February 27, 1978

Field Office File #:

77-17145

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
JUDGE
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

A review of Certificate of Title for property of DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN and ELIZABETH M. ELLIS located in Edgartown Mass., indicates no restrictive covenant.

-RUC-

Details:

b6
b7CAt Edgartown, Massachusetts

On February 24, 1978, [redacted] Dukes County Registry of Deeds, advised she had reviewed a Certificate of Title on property of DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN and ELIZABETH M. ELLIS located in Edgartown, Massachusetts (Certificate of Title Number 40109, Registered Land Section, Dukes County Registry of Deeds, Book 22, Page 31) and registered on November 15, 1976. According to [redacted] this title contains no protective covenant or restrictive clauses.

1*

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD	OFFICE OF ORIGIN BUREAU	DATE 2/24/78	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 2/22-23/78
TITLE OF CASE DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN 2,		REPORT MADE BY SA [REDACTED]	TYPED BY lmg
		CHARACTER OF CASE DAPLI	
Reference: WFOreport of SA [REDACTED]		2/23/78.	

-RUC-

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED						<input type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT- TALS	CASE HAS BEEN: PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
CONVIC.	PRETRIAL DIVERSION	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
APPROVED NS/R						SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE		
COPIES MADE: 3-Bureau 1-WFO (77-105009)						DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW 77-105009-473 RECORDED MAY 8 1978 6-18		
Dissemination Record of Attached Report						Notations		
Agency	cc - Office of Associate Attorney General					SECTION		
Request Recd.	General 3/3/78					MAY 8 1978		
Date Fwd.								
How Fwd.								
By								

58 MAY 9 1978

COVER PAGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to:

Report of:
Date:

SA [REDACTED]

Office:

Washington, D. C.

b6
b7C

2/24/78

Field Office File #:

77-105009

Bureau File #:

Title:

DANIEL MORTIMER FRIEDMAN

Character:

DEPARTMENTAL APPLICANT
JUDGE,
UNITED STATES COURT OF CLAIMS

Synopsis:

No additional pertinent information at CSC. No covenants against applicant's current residence.

-P-

DETAIL: AT WASHINGTON, D. C.

WFO 77-105009

FM:lmG

1

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

SC [] caused a search to be made of the files of the Bureau of Personnel Investigations, Civil Service Commission, and was advised on February 22, 1978 that no additional pertinent information was found regarding the applicant.

b6
b7C

COVENANTS

On February 23, 1978, [] [] advised SA [] that a decision by the U. S. Court of Appeals on June 30, 1972 prohibited the recording of deeds in which there were racial covenants. The U. S. Court of Appeals decision stated "Racial Covenants are null and void and unenforceable under our laws." [] further stated that at one time racial covenants were allowed to be recorded but were not enforceable and as of the June 30, 1972 decision racial covenants can neither be recorded nor enforced. Based on this decision there are at present no racial covenants against the property at 3249 Newark Street, N.W., Washington, D. C.

b6
b7C

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 1
Page 110 ~ Referral/Direct